1	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
3	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
4	SAN JOSE DIVISION
5	
6	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)) CR-18-00258-EJD
7	PLAINTIFF,)) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA
8	VS.)) JUNE 22, 2022 RAMESH "SUNNY" BALWANI,)
9	DEFENDANT.)
10	
11	
12	TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE EDWARD J. DAVILA
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	APPEARANCES:
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE BY: JOHN C. BOSTIC
16	JEFFREY B. SCHENK 150 ALMADEN BOULEVARD, SUITE 900
17	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113
18	BY: ROBERT S. LEACH KELLY VOLKAR
19	1301 CLAY STREET, SUITE 340S OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612
20	(APPEARANCES CONTINUED ON THE NEXT PAGE.)
21	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS:
22	IRENE L. RODRIGUEZ, CSR, RMR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8074
23	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595
24	PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY
25	TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED WITH COMPUTER

	1	APPEARANCES:	(CONT'D)
	2	FOR DEFENDANT BALWANI:	ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP BY: MOLLY MCCAFFERTY
	3		SHAWN ESTRADA
09:00AM	4		SACHI SCHURICHT THE ORRICK BUILDING
	5		405 HOWARD STREET SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94105
	6		BY: JEFFREY COOPERSMITH AARON BRECHER
	7		AMANDA MCDOWELL
	8		701 FIFTH AVENUE, SUITE 5600 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98104
	9		BY: STEPHEN CAZARES
-	10		77 SOUTH FIGUEROA STREET, SUITE 3200 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90017
-	11		BY: AMY WALSH 51 W 52ND STREET
	12		NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10019
-	13		
-	14	ALSO PRESENT:	OFFICE OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY BY: MADDI WACHS, PARALEGAL SARA SLATTERY, PARALEGAL
-	15		ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE
-	16		JENNIFER CYGNOR, PARALEGAL
-	17		PROLUMINA BY: COREY ALLEN
-	18		2200 SIXTH AVENUE, SUITE 425 SEATTLE, WASHINGTON 98121
-	19		UNITED STATES POSTAL INSPECTION SERVICE
4	20		BY: CHRISTOPHER MCCOLLOW
2	21		FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION BY: MARIO C. SCUSSEL
,	22		
,	23		UNITED STATES FOOD & DRUG ADMINISTRATION
,	24		BY: GEORGE SCAVDIS
,	25		

1		
2	INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS	
3	DEFENDANT'S CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. COOPERSMITH P. 7160	
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA JUNE 22, 2022
09:12AM	2	PROCEEDINGS
09:12AM	3	(COURT CONVENED AT 9:12 A.M.)
09:12AM	4	(JURY IN AT 9:12 A.M.)
09:12AM	5	THE COURT: WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD. ALL COUNSEL
09:12AM	6	ARE PRESENT.
09:12AM	7	MR. BALWANI IS PRESENT. AND OUR JURY IS PRESENT.
09:13AM	8	GOOD MORNING, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN.
09:13AM	9	BEFORE WE BEGIN, LET ME ASK OUR JURY IF ANY OF YOU HAVE
09:13AM	10	HAD OCCASION SINCE OUR BREAK TO READ OR LEARN OR DISCUSS
09:13AM	11	ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CASE? IF THAT HAS HAPPENED, WOULD YOU
09:13AM	12	PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND.
09:13AM	13	AGAIN, I SEE NO HANDS. THANK YOU AGAIN FOR YOUR FIDELITY
09:13AM	14	TO THE ADMONITION.
09:13AM	15	MR. COOPERSMITH, WOULD YOU LIKE TO CONTINUE WITH YOUR
09:13AM	16	ARGUMENT, SIR?
09:13AM	17	MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR.
09:13AM	18	THE COURT: PLEASE CONTINUE. THANK YOU.
09:13AM	19	MR. COOPERSMITH: MAY I REMOVE MY MASK, YOUR HONOR?
09:13AM	20	THE COURT: OF COURSE, YES.
09:13AM	21	(MR. COOPERSMITH RESUMED HIS CLOSING ARGUMENT ON BEHALF OF
09:13AM	22	THE DEFENDANT.)
09:13AM	23	MR. COOPERSMITH: GOOD MORNING EVERYONE.
09:13AM	24	WE HAVE A LOT TO GET THROUGH TODAY, SO THANK YOU IN
09:13AM	25	ADVANCE FOR YOUR CONTINUED PATIENCE AND ATTENTIVENESS.

I DIDN'T SAY THIS YESTERDAY, BUT IF YOU NEED A BREAK FOR 1 09:13AM SOME REASON, RAISE YOUR HAND AND JUDGE DAVILA CAN DECIDE 2 09:13AM WHETHER WE'LL GET A BREAK. 3 09:13AM 4 I'LL TRY TO TAKE, OR ASK JUDGE DAVILA FOR BREAKS AT 09:13AM CERTAIN TIMES, BUT IF THERE'S SOME REASON BEFORE THAT, JUST 09:14AM PLEASE GO AHEAD AND DON'T BE SHY ABOUT THAT. THANK YOU. 09:14AM I WANT TO START THIS MORNING WITH ONE ISSUE AND SHOW 09:14AM SOMETHING THAT YOU'VE SEEN YESTERDAY JUST TO ADDRESS SOMETHING 8 09:14AM THAT THE GOVERNMENT SAID IN THEIR CLOSING. 9 09:14AM 10 THIS IS, YOU MIGHT REMEMBER FROM YESTERDAY, IS THE START 09:14AM OF ALL OF THE VALIDATION REPORTS FOR THE CLIA LAB THAT 09:14AM 11 12 DR. ROSENDORFF SIGNED DURING THE TIME HE WAS AT THERANOS. YOU 09:14AM 09:14AM 13 CAN SEE IT GOES FROM SEPTEMBER OF 2013 THROUGH SEPTEMBER OF 14 2014. 09:14AM AND THE POINT I WANTED TO JUST ADDRESS REALLY OUICKLY IS 15 09:14AM DURING THE GOVERNMENT'S CLOSING, THEY SHOWED YOU A CHART THAT 16 09:14AM 17 THEY CLAIMED SHOWED SOME TYPE OF CORRELATION BETWEEN THERANOS'S 09:14AM 18 RAISING OF INVESTOR FUNDS AND VALIDATION OF ASSAYS IN THE CLIA 09:14AM 19 LAB. 09:14AM 20 AND IT JUST DOESN'T WORK. THEY CERTAINLY POINTED TO SOME 09:14AM 21 OF THE VALIDATIONS ON THE LEFT SIDE OF THE CHART IN THE FALL OF 09:15AM 2013, BUT, OF COURSE, THAT'S WHEN THERANOS WAS GOING THROUGH 22 09:15AM THE LAUNCH WITH WALGREENS, SO OF COURSE THERE'S GOING TO BE A 23 09:15AM LOT OF VALIDATION WORK DONE AT THAT TIME. 24 09:15AM BUT THEN WHEN YOU GO TO THE RIGHT SIDE OF THE CHART YOU 25 09:15AM

CAN SEE ALL OF THE VALIDATION WORK THAT OCCURS IN FEBRUARY, 1 09:15AM MARCH, AND APRIL AND LATER. 2 09:15AM AND JUST TO ORIENT EVERYONE IN TIME, AND WE'LL SEE THIS 3 09:15AM 4 LATER, BUT MR. GROSSMAN INVESTED -- HIS INVESTMENT WAS 09:15AM FEBRUARY 6TH OF 2014. 09:15AM AND THEN THE RDV INVESTMENT AND MR. MOSLEY'S INVESTIGATION 09:15AM WERE IN OCTOBER OF '14. SO ALL OF THESE VALIDATIONS IN 09:15AM FEBRUARY, THAT DOESN'T -- THEY DON'T CORRELATE WITH ANY NEED TO 8 09:15AM 9 FUND RAISE. 09:15AM SO I JUST WANTED TO POINT THAT OUT BECAUSE IT WAS 10 09:15AM SOMETHING THAT THE GOVERNMENT -- THEY HAD A CHART THAT THEY 09:15AM 11 12 CONCOCTED ABOUT THAT, BUT IT'S JUST NOT CORRECT. 09:15AM 09:15AM 13 OKAY. WHEN WE LEFT OFF YESTERDAY, THOUGH, WE WERE TALKING 14 ABOUT QUALITY CONTROL, AND I JUST HAVE A LITTLE BIT MORE TO SAY 09:16AM ABOUT THAT. 15 09:16AM SO YOU MAY RECALL FROM THE TESTIMONY OF DR. ROSENDORFF 16 09:16AM 17 THAT THIS WAS A QUALITY SYSTEMS PRESENTATION THAT WAS 09:16AM 18 LANGLY GEE, WHO WAS THE QUALITY CONTROL MANAGER, PRESENTED AT 09:16AM 19 THERANOS AND THE DATE OF IT IS JULY 10TH, 2014. 09:16AM 20 AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE PAGE THAT IS ON THE SCREEN NOW, 09:16AM IT'S PAGE 12 OF EXHIBIT 13809, THIS IS A QUALITY CONTROL GRAPH 21 09:16AM 22 THAT MR. GEE PRESENTED SHOWING THAT THE Q1, THAT'S JANUARY, 09:16AM FEBRUARY, AND MARCH, THE FIRST QUARTER OF 2014, THAT AS YOU CAN 23 09:16AM SEE, 2.9 PERCENT OF THE CONTROLS, THE QUALITY CONTROLS FAILED. 24 09:16AM NOW, THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU A CHART YESTERDAY, AND YOU 25 09:16AM

1 09:17AM 2 09:17AM 3 09:17AM 09:17AM 09:17AM 09:17AM 09:17AM 8 09:17AM 9 09:17AM 10 09:17AM 09:17AM 11 12 09:17AM 13 09:17AM 14 09:17AM 15 09:17AM 16 09:17AM 17 09:17AM 18 09:18AM 19 09:18AM 09:18AM 20 21 09:18AM 22 09:18AM 23 09:18AM 24 09:18AM

25

09:18AM

SAW THIS AT TRIAL, TOO, THAT HAD QUALITY CONTROL RESULTS IN THE MONTH OF MARCH OF 2014, JUST THAT MONTH. AND THERE WAS SOME TESTIMONY ABOUT WHETHER THAT WAS A TYPICAL MONTH OR NOT.

THIS IS WHAT MR. GEE PRESENTED.

NOW, THERE WAS SOME DEBATE, AND DR. ROSENDORFF HAD SOME EXPLANATION, AND IT INCLUDES THE THERANOS TECHNOLOGY, THE EDISONS AND THE MODIFIED PREDICATES, BUT THERE REALLY WOULD BE ONLY ONE WAY TO RESOLVE THAT DEBATE, IF THERE IS ONE, AS TO WHAT THE QUALITY CONTROL RATE OR THE FAILURE RATE IS. IS IT 2.9 PERCENT? IS IT HIGHER? IS IT MORE CONSISTENT WITH THE MARCH FIGURES THAT THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU.

THE ONLY WAY TO RESOLVE THAT IS TO GO INTO THE LABORATORY INFORMATION SYSTEMS WHERE, AS DR. ROSENDORFF TESTIFIED, ALL OF THE QUALITY CONTROL INFORMATION IS STORED, AND YOU CAN LOOK AT EVERY SINGLE DAY, EVERY SINGLE MONTH, EVERY SINGLE WEEK, AND THEN YOU WOULD KNOW HOW TO RESOLVE THIS.

BUT THIS IS THE INFORMATION THAT MR. GEE PRESENTED.

AND I SHOULD NOTE IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, THIS WAS MR. GEE PRESENTING THE MATERIAL ACCORDING TO DR. ROSENDORFF, NOT MR. BALWANI. THIS WAS THE QUALITY CONTROL MANAGER THAT MR. OR DR. ROSENDORFF HIRED, RECOMMENDED TO BE HIRED AND WORKED THERE, AND THAT YOU DIDN'T HEAR FROM.

WHICH BY THE WAY, IT MIGHT BE ANOTHER WAY TO RESOLVE THIS ISSUE. IF THE GOVERNMENT CALLED MR. GEE, HE COULD TELL YOU HIMSELF ABOUT THIS. BUT THE GOVERNMENT DECIDED NOT TO DO THAT.

09:18AM	1	INSTEAD, THEY PRESENTED TO YOU A CHART SHOWING A MONTH.
09:18AM	2	AND AGAIN, IT DOESN'T SEEM TO REALLY RESOLVE ANYTHING
09:18AM	3	WITHOUT KNOWING THE BACKGROUND AND THE DATA IN THE LIS.
09:18AM	4	OKAY. LET'S MOVE TO A DIFFERENT TOPIC. YOU'VE HEARD A
09:18AM	5	LOT ABOUT THIS ASSAY, HCG. AS I SAID YESTERDAY, THIS IS
09:18AM	6	DESIGNED TO DETERMINE PREGNANCY AND ALSO TO EVALUATE WHETHER
09:19AM	7	THIS IS A VIABLE PREGNANCY.
09:19AM	8	SO HCG WAS AN ASSAY THAT THERANOS USED. AND THE PICTURE
09:19AM	9	THAT THE GOVERNMENT PRESENTED OF HCG IS JUST INCORRECT AND
09:19AM	10	INCOMPLETE, AND LET ME GO THROUGH THAT STEP BY STEP BECAUSE THE
09:19AM	11	GOVERNMENT SPENT A LONG TIME ON THIS AND SO I THINK IT'S
09:19AM	12	IMPORTANT TO GET ALL OF THE FACTS OUT.
09:19AM	13	SO, FIRST OF ALL, THIS IS THE VALIDATION REPORT THAT
09:19AM	14	DR. ROSENDORFF SIGNED IN 2014. IT WAS MARCH 19TH, 2014.
09:19AM	15	YOU CAN SEE THAT IT ALSO HAS THE SIGNATURES OF
09:19AM	16	DR. SIVARAMAN AND DR. YOUNG AS THE REVIEWERS, AND THEN THE
09:19AM	17	APPROVAL OF DR. ROSENDORFF.
09:19AM	18	AND THEN LATER DR. DHAWAN SIGNED THAT AS WELL, THAT VERY
09:19AM	19	LAST SIGNATURE.
09:19AM	20	SO THAT'S WHEN THE HCG ASSAY VALIDATION WAS COMPLETED, AND
09:19AM	21	THAT MEANT, AS WE ALL KNOW NOW, THE ASSAY COULD GO INTO THE
09:19AM	22	CLIA LAB FOR PATIENT USE.
09:19AM	23	NOW, THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU THIS NEXT EXHIBIT WHERE ON
09:20AM	24	MAY 30TH OF 2014 DR. ROSENDORFF WROTE THIS EMAIL TO THE WHOLE
09:20AM	25	CLS TEAM, THE CLINICAL LAB SCIENTIST TEAM, AND HE SAID ALL

FURTHER HCG TESTING (CTN OR VACUTAINER) BOTH THE FINGERSTICKS 1 09:20AM AND VENOUS DRAWS, ARE TO BE RUN ON THE IMMULITE; HOLD ALL 2 09:20AM EDISON CTN RESULTS, DO NOT RELEASE, AND THEN ANAM CONTACT 3 09:20AM 4 PENDING HCG FOR REDRAW. 09:20AM AND SO THAT'S DR. ROSENDORFF'S EMAIL, AND THAT WAS HIS 09:20AM PREROGATIVE AS LAB DIRECTOR. IF HE SAW AN ISSUE, HE SHOULD DO 09:20AM WHAT HE THINKS IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO. IN THIS CASE HE 09:20AM DECIDED TO HALT HCG TESTING AND RUN IT ON A COMMERCIAL MACHINE 8 09:20AM CALLED THE IMMULITE AT THAT PARTICULAR TIME. THAT'S MAY 30TH. 9 09:20AM OKAY. WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. THIS IS THE TESTIMONY 10 09:20AM FROM DR. ROSENDORFF ON DIRECT EXAMINATION, AND HE MISSPOKE AT 09:20AM 11 12 FIRST. HE SAID, "MY UNDERSTANDING WAS NO, THAT IT WENT BACK ON 09:21AM THE IMMULITE WITHOUT MY KNOWLEDGE." 13 09:21AM 14 BUT I THINK HE MEANT EDISONS, SO THEN HE CORRECTS IT. I'M 09:21AM SORRY. IT WENT BACK ON THE EDISON WITHOUT MY KNOWLEDGE. 15 09:21AM SO DR. ROSENDORFF IS CLAIMING THAT EVEN THOUGH HE SAID ON 16 09:21AM 17 MAY 30TH, STOP, THAT WAS SOMEHOW IGNORED AND IT WENT BACK ON 09:21AM 18 THE EDISON DEVICE, THIS HCG ASSAY, WITHOUT HIS KNOWLEDGE. 09:21AM 09:21AM 19 NOTHING COULD BE FURTHER FROM THE TRUTH. ON MAY 30TH, 2014, THAT SAME DAY, ELIZABETH HOLMES WRITES 09:21AM 20 21 TO SUNNY BALWANI, "I'VE DONE MANY MEETINGS ON THIS DAY." 09:21AM 22 SO THEY'RE TAKING THIS SERIOUSLY. IT'S NOT, WELL, THAT'S 09:21AM TOO BAD HCG IS NOT WORKING, TOO BAD. LET'S GO FORWARD ANYWAY. 23 09:21AM 24 NO. THEY'RE TAKING THIS SERIOUSLY AND THEY'RE HAVING 09:21AM 25 MEETINGS. 09:21AM

09:21AM	1	SO THEN WHAT HAPPENS?
09:22AM	2	THIS EMAIL IS FOUR DAYS LATER ON JUNE 4TH. AND
09:22AM	3	DR. ROSENDORFF SAYS TO SUNNY BALWANI, "SUNNY,
09:22AM	4	"DANIEL, CHINMAY AND I HAVE DISCUSSED THE PLAN FOR HCG."
09:22AM	5	HE SAYS DETERMINE AN INVALID TIP COUNT CUT OFF. THIS IS
09:22AM	6	DR. ROSENDORFF.
09:22AM	7	GOING FORWARD. CHANGE HCG TO VACUTAINER AND RUN ON
09:22AM	8	IMMULITE. OKAY, THAT'S GOING TO THE COMMERCIAL DEVICES.
09:22AM	9	NEXT, HE SAYS, HOLD CURRENT CTN ORDERS FOR HCG AND CALL
09:22AM	10	FOR REDRAW ON VACUTAINER AS NEEDED.
09:22AM	11	SO HOLD THE ORDERS, STILL CONSISTENT WITH HIS MAY 30TH
09:22AM	12	EMAILS.
09:22AM	13	AND THEN HE SAYS, NUMBER 3, WHICH THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T
09:22AM	14	TALK ABOUT, RESUME CTN HCG WHEN WE HAVE RESOLVED THESE ISSUES.
09:22AM	15	THE CTN IS THE CAPILLARY TO THE NANOTAINER, SO THAT'S THE
09:22AM	16	FINGERSTICK TESTING. THAT'S THE EDISON. RESUME CTN HCG WHEN
09:22AM	17	WE HAVE RESOLVED THESE ISSUES.
09:22AM	18	SO HE WANTS THESE ISSUES RESOLVED. THAT MAKES SENSE.
09:22AM	19	BUT HE DIDN'T SAY HALT FOR ALL TIME. HE SAYS LET'S SEE IF
09:23AM	20	WE CAN RESOLVE THE ISSUES AND THEN WE CAN CONTINUE.
09:23AM	21	SO THEN WHAT HAPPENS?
09:23AM	22	HERE'S AN EMAIL THAT THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T SHOW YOU ON
09:23AM	23	EXAMINATION OF DR. ROSENDORFF OR DURING THEIR CLOSING.
09:23AM	24	SO DR. ROSENDORFF STARTS OUT AT THE BOTTOM HE SAYS,
09:23AM	25	"PLEASE HOLD THE RESULT UNTIL CHINMAY IS DONE WITH HIS RLU

09:23AM	1	STUDY TODAY WE CAN RUN CTN HCG BUT NEED TO HOLD RESULTS
09:23AM	2	UNTIL WE KNOW WHAT THE INVALID CUTOFF IS."
09:23AM	3	SO HE SAYS GO AHEAD AND COLLECT THE FINGERSTICK SAMPLES,
09:23AM	4	BUT WE STILL NEED THIS INFORMATION FROM DR. PANGARKAR BEFORE WE
09:23AM	5	CAN GO FORWARD.
09:23AM	6	AND THEN MS. ALAMDAR WRITES, "ADAM/CHINMAY,
09:23AM	7	"ANY UPDATES ON THE HCG? WE HAVE MULTIPLE PATIENTS
09:23AM	8	PENDING TO BE RELEASED."
09:23AM	9	THAT'S SIX DAYS AFTER DR. ROSENDORFF SAYS HALT.
09:23AM	10	THEN DR. ROSENDORFF WRITES, "CHINMAY WILL BE IMPLEMENTING
09:23AM	11	A SCRIPT SHORTLY TO RE-QUALIFY VALID VERSUS INVALID HCG VALUES.
09:24AM	12	STAY TUNED."
09:24AM	13	AND THEN ON THE TOP DR. PANGARKAR FOLLOWS THROUGH WITH
09:24AM	14	THAT AND HE WRITES TO DR. ROSENDORFF AND HE SAYS, AND
09:24AM	15	MS. ALAMDAR, HE SAYS, "HI HODA," AND HE HAS TWO SAMPLE NUMBERS,
09:24AM	16	AND HE SAYS "ARE READY TO BE RELEASED. THE LATEST RESULTS THAT
09:24AM	17	YOU CAN RELEASE ARE IN," AND THERE'S A SHARED DRIVE THAT HE
09:24AM	18	PUTS FORWARD THERE. "WE ARE WORKING ON ANOTHER SAMPLE AND WILL
09:24AM	19	LET YOU KNOW WHEN IT IS READY."
09:24AM	20	SO DR. ROSENDORFF AGAIN IS SAYING HOLD OFF UNTIL WE DO THE
09:24AM	21	STUDY THAT CHINMAY PANGARKAR IS DOING AND CHINMAY PANGARKAR DID
09:24AM	22	HIS WORK AND HE'S NOW INFORMING DR. ROSENDORFF FOR RELEASING.
09:24AM	23	AND YOU SEE NOTHING THAT THERE'S ANY PUSHBACK FROM
09:24AM	24	DR. ROSENDORFF ON THAT AT ALL.
09:24AM	25	AND IF THERE'S ANY DOUBT ABOUT THAT, LET'S GO TO THE NEXT

09:24AM	1	DOCUMENT, WHICH IS ANOTHER EMAIL. AND THIS IS JUNE 9TH. THIS
09:24AM	2	IS NINE DAYS AFTER DR. ROSENDORFF SAYS HALT HCG TESTING.
09:24AM	3	AT THE BOTTOM THERE TINA LIN SAID, "HI CLS'S," THOSE ARE
09:25AM	4	THE CLINICAL LAB SCIENTISTS.
09:25AM	5	"CTN FOR A NUMBER, WAS CALCULATED NOT TO HAVE ENOUGH
09:25AM	6	PLASMA TO RUN HCG USING THE AUTOMATED SOFTWARE AFTER RUNNING
09:25AM	7	TSH AND SO IT WAS RUN OUTSIDE OF THE SOFTWARE. THE INITIAL RUN
09:25AM	8	RESULT WAS INVALID. THE RERUN RESULT WAS OORH," OUT OF RANGE
09:25AM	9	HIGH.
09:25AM	10	AND THEN WHAT HAPPENS?
09:25AM	11	MS. ALAMDAR TELLS DR. ROSENDORFF, "I BELIEVE I CAN REPORT
09:25AM	12	THIS PATIENT AS GREATER THAN 4941."
09:25AM	13	AND DR. ROSENDORFF SAYS, "YES PLEASE REPORT AS GREATER
09:25AM	14	THAN 4941, IF THAT IS THE LATEST OORH VALUE."
09:25AM	15	SO HERE DR. ROSENDORFF IS SPECIFICALLY SAYING THAT THIS
09:25AM	16	CTN SAMPLE, THAT'S THE FINGERSTICK SAMPLE FOR EDISON, SHOULD BE
09:25AM	17	RELEASED.
09:25AM	18	SO WHEN HE SAYS THAT HE NEVER AUTHORIZED IT TO GO BACK ON
09:25AM	19	EDISON, THAT IS SIMPLY NOT TRUE, OKAY?
09:25AM	20	AND THAT'S WHY THESE CONTEMPORANEOUS DOCUMENTS ARE WHERE
09:26AM	21	YOU SEE WHAT THE FACTS REALLY ARE. IT'S NOT PEOPLE'S MEMORIES,
09:26AM	22	YOU KNOW, LONG AFTER THE FACT WHEN THEY HAVE CERTAIN
09:26AM	23	INCENTIVES, WHICH I'LL EXPLAIN IN A MINUTE.
09:26AM	24	LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.
09:26AM	25	AND IF THERE'S ANY DOUBT ABOUT THAT, THIS IS TALKING ABOUT

09:26AM	1	THE EMAIL THAT I JUST SHOWED YOU.
09:26AM	2	AND SO THE QUESTION ON RECROSS-EXAMINATION TO
09:26AM	3	DR. ROSENDORFF WAS, "AND SO YOU WERE DIRECTING MS. ALAMDAR TO
09:26AM	4	RELEASE THE RESULTS FOR THAT CTN SAMPLE; CORRECT?"
09:26AM	5	HE SAYS YES.
09:26AM	6	AND THIS IS FOR HCG?
09:26AM	7	YES.
09:26AM	8	DR. ROSENDORFF'S TESTIMONY, WHICH HE WAS FORCED TO GIVE
09:26AM	9	AFTER LOOKING AT THE DOCUMENTS THAT WE SHOWED HIM ON
09:26AM	10	CROSS-EXAMINATION.
09:26AM	11	SO WHY IS DR. ROSENDORFF DOING THIS? LET'S JUST THINK
09:26AM	12	ABOUT THIS FOR A MINUTE. HE TESTIFIED THAT HE'S STILL IN THE
09:26AM	13	LAB INDUSTRY.
09:26AM	14	AND SOMEONE LIKE THAT, AFTER ALL THAT HAS HAPPENED HERE
09:26AM	15	WITH THERANOS, I MEAN, AFTER ALL, WE'RE IN A FEDERAL CRIMINAL
09:26AM	16	TRIAL HERE, THE LAST THING THAT SOMEONE LIKE DR. ROSENDORFF
09:26AM	17	WANTS TO SAY IS, OH, YES, WHEN I WAS AT THERANOS, I APPROVED
09:27AM	18	THE TEST AND I RELEASED THE RESULT.
09:27AM	19	AT THIS POINT HE WANTS TO DISTANCE HIMSELF AND SAY, OH, I
09:27AM	20	HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THIS. I DIDN'T DO ANYTHING. THEY DID
09:27AM	21	THIS WITHOUT MY KNOWLEDGE. HE NEEDS TO DO THAT TO PLAY THE
09:27AM	22	HERO AT THIS POINT BECAUSE HE'S STILL IN THE LAB INDUSTRY AND
09:27AM	23	WE'RE NOW BASICALLY IN A FEDERAL CASE.
09:27AM	24	BUT REGARDLESS OF WHAT YOU THINK ABOUT DR. ROSENDORFF, THE
09:27AM	25	FACTS ARE THAT WHEN HE SAID THAT HE NEVER ALLOWED HCG TO GO

BACK ON TO THE EDISON DEVICE, THAT WAS SIMPLY UNTRUE. 1 09:27AM NOW, I THINK THE GOVERNMENT KIND OF COMPOUNDED THE PROBLEM 2 09:27AM HERE BECAUSE EVEN AFTER THAT, DR. ZACHMAN TESTIFIED LATER THAN 3 09:27AM 4 DR. ROSENDORFF, THEY ASKED HER THIS QUESTION ON REDIRECT: 09:27AM "DURING YOUR CONVERSATIONS WITH THERANOS, WERE YOU EVER TOLD AT 09:27AM ANY TIME THAT THERANOS'S LAB DIRECTOR MADE THE DECISION TO HALT 6 09:27AM ALL HCG TESTING ON THE COMPANY'S EDISON DEVICE IN MAY OF 2014?" 09:28AM SHE SAID NO. 8 09:28AM 9 WELL, THE PROBLEM WITH THAT QUESTION AND ANSWER IS THAT 09:28AM IT'S NOT COMPLETE. AT THIS POINT THE GOVERNMENT KNEW ABOUT THE 10 09:28AM EMAILS THAT WE SHOWED DR. ROSENDORFF AND HIS ADMISSION THAT, 09:28AM 11 12 YES, HE RELEASED -- AUTHORIZED THE RELEASE OF THE FINGERSTICK 09:28AM 13 RESULT. 09:28AM 14 SO THAT'S A COMPLETELY INVALID QUESTION. 09:28AM AND OF COURSE, YOU KNOW, DR. ZACHMAN DOESN'T KNOW ANYTHING 15 09:28AM ABOUT THIS, RIGHT? SHE'S JUST COMING IN. SHE WAS THE DOCTOR 16 09:28AM 17 FOR BRITTANY GOULD. 09:28AM 18 AND THE SAME THING HAPPENED WITH DR. WOOTEN: 09:28AM 09:28AM 19 OUESTION. AND IF YOU HAD KNOWN IN 2015 THAT HCG TESTING 20 AT THERANOS HAD BEEN HALTED BY THE LAB DIRECTOR, WOULD THAT 09:28AM 21 HAVE AFFECTED YOUR DECISION TO YOURSELF TO GET HCG TESTING AT 09:28AM 22 THERANOS? 09:28AM AND SHE SAYS, IT WOULDN'T HAVE HALTED ME. 23 09:28AM 24 WHY IS THAT? YOU WOULD HAVE ASSUMED THEY FIXED THE 09:28AM 25 PROBLEM? 09:28AM

1 CORRECT. 09:28AM BUT IF THEY HADN'T, WOULD THAT HAVE AFFECTED YOUR OPINION? 2 09:28AM 3 CORRECT. 09:28AM 4 WELL, THE PROBLEM WITH THAT EXCHANGE IS THAT, AGAIN, THE 09:28AM CORRECT HYPOTHETICAL IS -- WELL, NOT EVEN A HYPOTHETICAL, THE 09:28AM REALITY IS THAT IF DR. WOOTEN, IF YOU KNEW THAT THEY HAD AN 09:29AM ISSUE WITH HCG AND THEY DID THE STUDY AND THEY FIXED IT, AND 09:29AM 8 THE LAB DIRECTOR SAID PLEASE PROCEED, WOULD THAT HAVE AFFECTED 09:29AM 9 YOUR OPINION? 09:29AM 10 THAT'S A VERY DIFFERENT QUESTION. 09:29AM SO THIS CONTINUED SUGGESTION THAT DR. ROSENDORFF DIDN'T 09:29AM 11 12 APPROVE THE RETURN TO EDISON IS JUST WRONG. AND IT'S IN THE 09:29AM 13 FACE OF INCONTROVERTIBLE EVIDENCE, WHICH IS PUZZLING. 09:29AM 14 OKAY. LET'S TALK ABOUT ANOTHER TOPIC, PROFICIENCY TESTING 09:29AM AT THERANOS. SO YOU'VE HEARD A LOT ABOUT THIS. SOMETIMES IT'S 15 09:29AM 16 CALLED PT. 09:29AM SO JUST TO LEVEL SET HERE, WHAT THIS MEANS IS THAT 17 09:29AM 18 CLINICAL LABORATORIES IN THE UNITED STATES HAVE TO DO THIS SORT 09:29AM OF EXAMINATION. IT'S APPROXIMATELY EVERY SIX MONTHS. 19 09:29AM AND WHAT HAPPENS IS TRADITIONAL PT IN THAT WORLD SAMPLES, 09:29AM 20 21 PT SAMPLES, OFTEN THEY'RE SYNTHETIC MATERIAL, BUT THEY COME 09:30AM 22 FROM DIFFERENT OUTSIDE GROUPS, LIKE THE COUNCIL OF AMERICAN 09:30AM PATHOLOGISTS, CAP, OR API, OR SOMETIMES -- NEW YORK STATE HAS A 23 09:30AM PROGRAM AND THEY SEND SAMPLES TO LABS. 24 09:30AM SO LABS ARE SUPPOSED TO TAKE THOSE SAMPLES AND RUN THEM ON 25 09:30AM

1 09:30AM 2 09:30AM 3 09:30AM 4 09:30AM 09:30AM 09:30AM 09:30AM 8 09:30AM 9 09:30AM 10 09:30AM 09:30AM 11 12 09:30AM 09:31AM 13 14 09:31AM 15 09:31AM 16 09:31AM 17 09:31AM 18 09:31AM 19 09:31AM 09:31AM 20 21 09:31AM 22 09:31AM 23 09:31AM 24 09:31AM 25 09:31AM

THEIR EQUIPMENT AND THEN REPORT THE RESULTS BACK TO THE OUTSIDE TESTING AGENCY, LET'S SAY IT'S API.

AND THEN THE TRADITIONAL METHOD OF PT AS YOU HEARD AT TRIAL YOU MAY REMEMBER IS WHAT THEY CALL PEER GROUP TESTING.

SO LET'S SAY THAT YOU'RE RUNNING A SIEMENS ADVIA MACHINE. WELL, THERE ARE A LOT OF OTHER LABS IN THE COUNTRY THAT ARE RUNNING A SIEMENS ADVIA MACHINE.

SO WHAT HAPPENS IS YOU RETURN YOUR RESULT THAT YOU GET AT YOUR LAB TO API AND THEN THEY LOOK AT ALL THE OTHER RESULTS FROM OTHER LABS THAT RAN THE SAME SAMPLE BATCH AND THEY DECIDE, OKAY, WHERE DOES THE RESULT FROM ANY PARTICULAR LAB, SAY IT'S THERANOS, FALL COMPARE TO THE PEER GROUPS? IT'S NOT LIKE IT'S THE EXACT VALUE. IT'S LIKE ARE YOU WITHIN A RANGE THAT MAKES SENSE OR ARE YOU OUTSIDE OF THE STANDARD DEVIATION, THINGS LIKE THAT.

SO THERE'S A PEER GROUP COMPARISON, AND IT'S BASED ON THE FACT THAT MANY LABS ARE RUNNING THE SAME TECHNOLOGY, YOU KNOW, WHETHER IT'S A SIEMENS ADVIA OR SOME OTHER COMMERCIAL MACHINE.

THAT'S TRADITIONAL PT TESTING.

AND THERANOS DID THAT FOR ITS COMMERCIAL DEVICES, AND WE'LL SEE SOME MORE ABOUT THAT.

BUT FOR THE COMMERCIAL FDA APPROVED MACHINES THAT THEY WERE RUNNING IN THE LAB, THEY DID THAT, AND THAT WAS REQUIRED.

WELL, BECAUSE THIS WHOLE SYSTEM OF TRADITIONAL PT TESTING IS BASED ON THIS PEER GROUP CONCEPT, WHAT IF YOU'RE RUNNING A

LAB THAT DOESN'T HAVE ANY PEERS? YOU'RE WORKING WITH YOUR OWN 1 09:31AM TECHNOLOGY, IN THIS CASE THE THERANOS EDISON, OR THE MODIFIED 2 09:31AM PREDICATE DEVICES THAT THERANOS WAS USING. 3 09:31AM THERE'S NO OTHER PEERS. THERE'S NO OTHER LAB THAT IS 4 09:31AM RUNNING THAT EQUIPMENT. IT'S THERANOS'S PROPRIETARY 09:31AM 6 TECHNOLOGY. 09:31AM SO THAT'S TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT AND THE METHODOLOGY FOR THAT 09:31AM IS CALLED AAP, ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE. IT SOUNDS 8 09:31AM COMPLICATED BUT IT'S REALLY NOT. IT'S REALLY JUST A 9 09:32AM HEAD-TO-HEAD COMPARISON USING REAL BLOOD SAMPLES OF THE 10 09:32AM PROPRIETARY EQUIPMENT, IN THIS CASE THE EDISON OR THE MODIFIED 09:32AM 11 12 PREDICATES, WITH THE FDA COMMERCIAL DEVICES THAT THERANOS ALSO 09:32AM 13 HAD. 09:32AM SO IT'S JUST HEAD-TO-HEAD COMPARISON. AND YOU TAKE FIVE 14 09:32AM SAMPLES AND YOU GET THE BLOOD FROM EMPLOYEES OR SOME OTHER 15 09:32AM 16 SOURCE WHO DONATE IT, YOU RUN THE REAL BLOOD SAMPLES AND YOU DO 09:32AM 17 THIS HEAD-TO-HEAD COMPARISON, AND YOU SEE HOW YOUR TECHNOLOGY 09:32AM 18 STACKS UP AGAINST THE COMMERCIAL TECHNOLOGY, THE SO-CALLED GOLD 09:32AM 09:32AM 19 STANDARD TECHNOLOGY. 20 SO THAT'S THE AAP TECHNOLOGY. AND THERE'S A PROCEDURE FOR 09:32AM 21 THAT. 09:32AM 22 SO WHAT HAPPENED HERE AT THERANOS? 09:32AM SO FROM THE VERY EARLY TIME IN JULY OF 2013, AND THAT'S 23 09:32AM 24 THE EMAIL THAT YOU'RE SEEING ON THE SCREEN, MR. BALWANI WAS 09:32AM 25 SENT THIS EMAIL FROM SILVIA CHANG WITH A COPY TO DR. ROSENDORFF 09:32AM

09:33AM	1	THAT SAID, "SUNNY,
09:33AM	2	"PER YOUR REQUEST, HERE ARE THE DETAILS FOR HANDLING,
09:33AM	3	SCHEDULING, AND SUBMITTING PROFICIENCY SAMPLES. I'VE ATTACHED
09:33AM	4	THE SOP FOR REFERENCE."
09:33AM	5	SO THIS WAS AT MR. BALWANI'S REQUEST THAT THEY WANTED TO
09:33AM	6	MAKE SURE THEY HAD THE RIGHT PT SYSTEM IN PLACE FROM AN EARLY
09:33AM	7	TIME, EVEN BEFORE THE WALGREENS LAUNCH.
09:33AM	8	SO HERE'S THE NEXT PAGE. THIS IS THE PROTOCOL OR THE SOP,
09:33AM	9	STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE, THAT MS. CHANG SENT BACK IN JULY
09:33AM	10	OF 2013. AND IT DEFINES RESPONSIBILITIES.
09:33AM	11	THE LABORATORY TESTING PERSONNEL ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE
09:33AM	12	FOLLOWING:
09:33AM	13	ON 4.2, THAT SECTION, IT SAYS THE LABORATORY TECHNICAL
09:33AM	14	SUPERVISOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR MAKING SURE THAT PROFICIENCY
09:33AM	15	TESTING SAMPLES ARE IDENTIFIED AND PREPARED FOR THE LABORATORY.
09:33AM	16	AND THEN ENSURING THAT THE WORK IS DONE.
09:33AM	17	AND THEN AT THE BOTTOM, 4.3, THE LABORATORY DIRECTOR IS
09:33AM	18	RESPONSIBLE FOR THE FOLLOWING:
09:34AM	19	REVIEWING AND APPROVING EACH PT TESTING EVENT
09:34AM	20	DOCUMENTATION.
09:34AM	21	SO THAT'S THE RESPONSIBILITIES.
09:34AM	22	SO IT'S NOT ONLY RUNNING THE TESTS, BUT THEN THE LAB
09:34AM	23	DIRECTOR IS SUPPOSED TO REVIEW AND APPROVE. HOLD ON TO THAT
09:34AM	24	THOUGHT, BECAUSE I'LL GET BACK TO THAT IN JUST A BIT.
09:34AM	25	OKAY. AND THEN GOING ON WITH THIS SAME EXHIBIT, IT'S

13905 IS THE EXHIBIT NUMBER, ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE. 1 09:34AM AND IT DESCRIBES WHAT I JUST TOLD YOU. 2 09:34AM FOR NON-CMS-REGULATED TESTS, FOR THOSE WHICH LACK FDA 3 09:34AM 4 CLEARANCE, COMMERCIAL OR EXTERNAL PT PROGRAMS MAY NOT BE 09:34AM AVAILABLE FOR CERTAIN ANALYTES. IN SUCH INSTANCES, AN AAP MAY 09:34AM HELP VALIDATE THE QUALITY OF ONGOING TEST SYSTEM PERFORMANCE. 09:34AM AND THEN IT TALKS ABOUT IN SECTION 5.3.2 IN MOST CASES 09:34AM BLIND RETESTING OF 3 TO 5 PATIENT SAMPLES BY A DIFFERENT 8 09:34AM OPERATOR EVERY 6 MONTHS. 9 09:34AM SO THAT'S THE PROCEDURE THAT WAS DISCUSSED IN JULY 2013. 10 09:34AM SO THEN LATER, IF WE GO TO THE NEXT EXHIBIT, AND THIS IS 09:35AM 11 12 EXHIBIT 9939, DR. ROSENDORFF ACTUALLY PUTS THIS PROCEDURE IN 09:35AM 13 PLACE AT THERANOS. PROFICIENCY TESTING FOR THERANOS 09:35AM 14 LAB-DEVELOPED TESTS: EDISON 3.5. 09:35AM SO THIS IS DR. ROSENDORFF'S PROCEDURE. AND YOU CAN SEE --15 09:35AM 16 IF YOU GO BACK TO THE FIRST PAGE, MR. ALLEN. 09:35AM -- IT'S SIGNED BY LI DING-CHIANG AND DR. YOUNG AND THEN 17 09:35AM 18 IT'S APPROVED BY DR. ROSENDORFF ON DECEMBER 2ND, 2013. 09:35AM 19 OKAY, IF WE GO TO THE NEXT PAGE. THE PURPOSE OF THIS 09:35AM PROPOSAL IS TO DEVISE AN ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL, AND 09:35AM 20 THEN IT SAYS FOR NONCMS REGULATED TESTS. IT'S BASICALLY THE 21 09:35AM 22 SAME THING THAT I JUST READ YOU, AND AAP WOULD BE APPROPRIATE 09:35AM FOR THOSE CERTAIN TYPES OF TESTS. 23 09:35AM 24 AND IF WE GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, IT EXPLAINS THE 09:36AM RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE TECHNICAL SUPERVISOR AND THE LAB 25 09:36AM

PERSONNEL.

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

09:36AM

09:37AM

AND THEN IN 3.4, IT SAYS THAT THE LABORATORY DIRECTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR REVIEWING AND APPROVING EACH PT TESTING EVENT DOCUMENTATION.

SO THAT'S DR. ROSENDORFF'S OWN PROCEDURE HE IMPLEMENTS ON DECEMBER 2ND, 2013.

IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, YOU CAN SEE THAT THIS IS THE PROCEDURE. OBTAIN FIVE VENOUS CLINICAL SAMPLES. YOU SPLIT THE SAMPLES INTO TWO ALIQUOTS, BASICALLY TWO CONTAINERS, AND THEN YOU RUN THE SIEMENS IMMULITE 2000 AND THERANOS LDT, THE LABORATORY DEVELOPED TEST, METHODS IN PARALLEL USING N EQUALS FIVE, SO FIVE SAMPLES.

SO THIS IS HEAD-TO-HEAD COMPARISON. SO YOU BASICALLY HAVE FIVE SAMPLES, YOU SPLIT THEM, RUN ONE HALF ON THE COMMERCIAL DEVICE, AND ONE HALF ON THE THERANOS TECHNOLOGY AND SEE WHAT HAPPENS, RIGHT.

AND THEN THE NEXT PAGE THERE'S CRITERIA FOR ACCEPTANCE.

AND YOU CAN SEE THAT IF AN ANALYTE FAILS GREATER THAN

20 PERCENT OF THE TIME, THAT'S 1 OUT OF 5, AND THEN THE

PROFICIENCY WILL BE DEEMED TO HAVE FAILED. YOU HAVE TO GET

ABOVE 80 BASICALLY. BELOW THAT IS A FAILURE. OKAY?

AND THIS IS A REFERENCE THAT YOU MIGHT REMEMBER FROM

TRIAL, THE CLSI, THAT'S THE CLINICAL LABORATORY STANDARDS

INSTITUTE. AND DR. ROSENDORFF TESTIFIED THIS WAS AN

AUTHORITATIVE GROUP FROM PENNSYLVANIA THAT PUT OUT GUIDANCE FOR

1 09:37AM 2 09:37AM 3 09:37AM 4 09:37AM 09:37AM 09:38AM 09:38AM 8 09:38AM 9 09:38AM 10 09:38AM 09:38AM 11 12 09:38AM 13 09:38AM 14 09:38AM 15 09:38AM 16 09:38AM 17 09:38AM 18 09:38AM 19 09:38AM 09:38AM 20 21 09:39AM 22 09:39AM 23 09:39AM 24 09:39AM 25

09:39AM

CLINICAL LABS. AND THAT WAS CITED IN DR. ROSENDORFF'S PROTOCOL.

SO THEY'RE NOT MAKING THIS STUFF UP FROM SCRATCH. YOU KNOW, THEY'RE RELYING ON SOURCES, AND THOSE WERE THE REFERENCES IN DR. ROSENDORFF'S PROTOCOL.

OKAY. JUST TO QUICKLY SHOW YOU, THERE WAS A PRETTY MUCH IDENTICAL PROCEDURE FOR THE MODIFIED PREDICATES, SO THAT IS EXHIBIT 9940. AND I WON'T GO THROUGH THIS, BUT IT IS REALLY THE SAME AS 9939 AND IT APPLIES TO THOSE MODIFIED PREDICATE DEVICES, WHEREAS 9939 APPLIED TO THE EDISON TESTS.

AND YOU CAN SEE THAT ONE WAS SIGNED, AGAIN, THE SAME DATE DR. ROSENDORFF APPROVED IT ON DECEMBER 2ND, 2013.

AND JUST ANOTHER WORD ABOUT THE CLINICAL LABORATORY STANDARDS INSTITUTE. DR. ROSENDORFF TESTIFIED THAT THEY PROVIDED GUIDANCE ON THE ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE AND THAT HE REVIEWED THAT TO HELP DEVISE THE PROTOCOL.

SO, AGAIN, HE'S NOT JUST, YOU KNOW, FLAILING AROUND IN THE DARK. HE'S GOT GUIDANCE AND HE'S GOT STANDARDS AND HE'S USING THOSE TO DEVELOP HIS PROTOCOL.

OKAY. WITH THAT BACKGROUND, WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS SHOWN REPEATEDLY AT TRIAL, INCLUDING AT CLOSING -- AND GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE -- IS THIS EMAIL FROM FEBRUARY OF 2014 AND IT'S FROM ERIKA CHEUNG, SHE FORWARDS IT TO HER FRIEND TYLER SHULTZ.

BUT IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, THIS IS THE RESULTS OF A PARTICULAR EXPERIMENT WE'LL CALL IT WHERE SOME LEFTOVER

1 09:39AM 2 09:39AM 3 09:39AM 4 09:39AM 09:39AM 09:39AM 09:39AM 8 09:39AM 9 09:39AM 10 09:39AM 09:40AM 11 12 09:40AM 13 09:40AM 14 09:40AM 15 09:40AM 16 09:40AM 17 09:40AM 18 09:40AM 19 09:40AM 09:40AM 20 21 09:40AM 22 09:40AM 23 09:40AM 24 09:40AM

25

09:41AM

PROFICIENCY TESTING SAMPLES FROM NEW YORK STATE AND API WERE RUN ON EDISON.

AND WE'LL SEE THIS IN A MINUTE, BUT DR. PANDORI TESTIFIED THAT THEY DID THE STANDARD PROFICIENCY TESTING YOU HAVE TO DO ON COMMERCIAL DEVICES, AND THEN THEY HAD SOME LEFTOVER SAMPLES, SO DR. PANDORI, HE TESTIFIED, WITHOUT KNOWING OR REALIZING THERE WAS A POLICY. I THINK HE JUST STARTED LIKE AROUND DECEMBER OF 2013.

SO WITHOUT KNOWING THAT THERE WAS THIS PROTOCOL THAT DR. ROSENDORFF PUT IN PLACE, THIS SOP, DR. PANDORI ARRANGED FOR THESE LEFTOVER SAMPLES TO BE RUN ON THE EDISON DEVICE, WHICH WAS TOTALLY AGAINST THE SOP. THERE WAS NO SOP FOR RUNNING LEFTOVER SAMPLES FROM API OR NEW YORK STATE ON THE EDISON DEVICE. BUT THAT'S WHAT THEY DID, RIGHT?

SO THE GOVERNMENT IS REALLY FOCUSSED ON THIS TPSA SECTION, AND YOU CAN SEE THERE'S PURPORTEDLY A RERUN. SO THIS SAMPLE 267 AND THE RERUN VALUE IS 3.1, AND THEN THERE'S ANOTHER RERUN FOR SAMPLE 268 AND IT LISTS IT AS 7.5.

SO THE GOVERNMENT'S POINT ON CLOSING WAS, WELL, BOY, ISN'T THAT WONDERFUL? THE PREDICATE DEVICE, THE COMMERCIAL DEVICE GOT 3.1 AND THEN A RERUN OF 3.1, AND THEN A 7.5 ON A DIFFERENT SAMPLE AND THEN A RERUN OF 7.5. SO IT'S IDENTICAL. IT'S AMAZING.

WELL, YOU HEARD AT TRIAL THAT THESE BLOOD TESTING SYSTEMS, WHATEVER IT IS, GETTING IDENTICAL NUMBERS I GUESS IS

09:41AM	1	THEORETICALLY POSSIBLE, BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT THAT'S NOT THE
09:41AM	2	WORLD OF BLOOD TESTING. THERE ARE STANDARD DEVIATIONS. THEY
09:41AM	3	HAVE TO BE WITHIN CERTAIN PARAMETERS, 5 PERCENT, 10 PERCENT OR
09:41AM	4	EVEN 20 OR 30 PERCENT.
09:41AM	5	SO GETTING AN IDENTICAL VALUE WOULD BE VERY UNUSUAL BASED
09:41AM	6	ON THE TESTIMONY AT TRIAL.
09:41AM	7	BUT THOSE BOXES ARE HIGHLIGHTED. SO IT'S NOT EVEN CLEAR
09:41AM	8	THAT THEY WERE RERUN.
09:41AM	9	DR. PANDORI TESTIFIED THAT HE WAS NOT AWARE, DIDN'T
09:41AM	10	SUPERVISE IT PERSONALLY.
09:41AM	11	SO THERE'S A PRETTY GOOD CHANCE THAT THEY HAD A VALUE FROM
09:41AM	12	RUNNING THE SAMPLE ON A PREDICATE DEVICE AND THEY DIDN'T RUN IT
09:41AM	13	AGAIN, BUT THEY JUST PUT THAT IN SHADING AND THEN THEY RAN THE
09:41AM	14	THERANOS TEST AGAIN.
09:41AM	15	SO I DON'T EVEN KNOW IF THERE WERE, I DON'T THINK ANYONE
09:41AM	16	KNOWS WHETHER THERE IS A RERUN, BUT LET'S JUST ASSUME THAT
09:41AM	17	THERE WAS, OKAY.
09:41AM	18	THE PROBLEM IS MUCH DEEPER THAN THAT WITH THE GOVERNMENT'S
09:41AM	19	USE OF THIS CHART OR THIS DATA.
09:41AM	20	SO LET'S KIND OF GO THROUGH WHAT HAPPENED HERE.
09:42AM	21	DR. PANDORI, HE TESTIFIED THAT HE USED LEFTOVER PT
09:42AM	22	MATERIAL, AS I JUST SAID, AND IT WASN'T A PROCEDURE SET FORTH
09:42AM	23	IN THE SOP, REFLECTED IN THAT EXHIBIT 9939 THAT WE SAW A MINUTE
09:42AM	24	AGO.
09:42AM	25	HE SAYS I WOULD HAVE TO READ THE WHOLE PROCEDURE.

09:42AM	1	AND THE QUESTION WAS, AND YOU HADN'T DONE THAT AT THE
09:42AM	2	TIME; CORRECT?
09:42AM	3	ME? NO.
09:42AM	4	SO HE WENT FORWARD WITH THIS EXPERIMENT WITHOUT EVER
09:42AM	5	READING THE PROTOCOL OR KNOWING WHAT IT IS. THAT WAS HIS
09:42AM	6	TESTIMONY.
09:42AM	7	NOW, DR. ROSENDORFF, ON CROSS-EXAMINATION I ASKED HIM THE
09:42AM	8	FOLLOWING QUESTION: AND THE EXPERIMENT THAT WAS RUN WITH THOSE
09:42AM	9	SAMPLES, BECAUSE IT WASN'T IN THE PROTOCOL, WOULD YOU AGREE
09:42AM	10	WITH ME THAT THAT EXPERIMENT RUNNING EDISON RUNNING
09:42AM	11	PROFICIENCY TESTING SAMPLES FROM NEW YORK STATE AND API ON
09:42AM	12	EDISON, THE RESULTS CANNOT BE DEEMED A FAILURE OF PROFICIENCY
09:42AM	13	TESTING ON THE EDISON; CORRECT?
09:43AM	14	AND HE SAID NOT ACCORDING TO THE STANDARD OPERATING
09:43AM	15	PROCEDURE, NO.
09:43AM	16	SO THAT'S WHAT LABS DO. AND AS YOU HEARD, THERE'S
09:43AM	17	STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES. AND THAT'S YOU CAN CHANGE IT
09:43AM	18	IF YOU WANT TO IF THERE'S A REASON TO CHANGE IT, AS YOU HEARD.
09:43AM	19	BUT YOU HAVE TO FOLLOW THESE SOP'S. AND IT WAS NOT A
09:43AM	20	FAILURE BECAUSE IT WASN'T AUTHORIZED. THERE WAS A REASON,
09:43AM	21	WE'RE GOING TO SEE IN A MINUTE, WHY THERANOS DECIDED ON THIS
09:43AM	22	AAP METHOD RATHER THAN USING THESE PT SAMPLES FROM A SOURCE
09:43AM	23	LIKE API OR NEW YORK STATE.
09:43AM	24	SO WHAT HAPPENS?
09:43AM	25	SO BECAUSE OF THIS CONFUSION AND YOU MIGHT REMEMBER,

09:43AM	1	YOU KNOW, MS. CHEUNG, YOU KNOW, SHE MIGHT HAVE BEEN PRETTY
09:43AM	2	WORKED UP ABOUT THIS. I MEAN, SHE WAS AN ENTRY LEVEL PERSON,
09:43AM	3	AND IN FAIRNESS TO HER, SHE'S RAISING ISSUES, SHE TOOK THAT AS
09:43AM	4	A FAILURE. I MEAN, DR. ROSENDORFF DIDN'T THINK SO, BUT
09:43AM	5	MS. CHEUNG THOUGHT SO.
09:43AM	6	SO THERE'S A LOT OF DISCUSSION, INCLUDING WITH MS. CHEUNG,
09:44AM	7	ABOUT THIS ISSUE.
09:44AM	8	SO ULTIMATELY DR. PANDORI PUTS TOGETHER THIS POWERPOINT
09:44AM	9	PRESENTATION ABOUT THIS QUESTION OF AAP VERSUS PT, OKAY?
09:44AM	10	AND I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO LOOK AT IT.
09:44AM	11	SO THIS IS DR. PANDORI'S POWERPOINT. SO HE SAYS PT, AS I
09:44AM	12	EXPLAINED A FEW MINUTES AGO, YOUR LAB IS COMPARED TO PEER
09:44AM	13	GROUPS ON THE BASIS OF EQUIPMENT. THAT'S STANDARD PT.
09:44AM	14	AND THEN HE RECITES THAT THERANOS TESTS HAVE NO PEER
09:44AM	15	GROUPS BECAUSE IT'S GOT PROPRIETARY TECHNOLOGY.
09:44AM	16	AND THE NORMAL PROCESS OF PT IS THEREFORE NOT APPROPRIATE.
09:44AM	17	ADDITIONALLY, THE SYNTHETIC MATRIX OF MANY COMMERCIALLY
09:44AM	18	AVAILABLE PT SAMPLES IS NOT AN APPROPRIATE ONE FOR MANY
09:44AM	19	THERANOS TESTS.
09:44AM	20	SO THAT'S DR. PANDORI'S WORDS.
09:44AM	21	AND THEN IT GOES ON.
09:44AM	22	HOW IS PT PERFORMED THEN ON THERANOS TESTS?
09:44AM	23	AND HE CITES THE SAME SOURCE, THE CLINICAL AND LABORATORY
09:45AM	24	STANDARDS INSTITUTE REFERS TO THE USE OF AN AAP, OR ALTERNATIVE
09:45AM	25	ASSESSMENT PROTOCOL, SO HE'S DRAWING FROM THAT SAME SOURCE FOR

SENDS AN EMAIL ON OCTOBER 17TH, 2014, TO ANAM KHAN, AND IT'S 1 09:46AM ABOUT A PHYSICIAN INQUIRY FROM A DR. KRAL. 2 09:46AM 3 AND HE SAYS IN THE HIGHLIGHTED SECTION, "THE M.D. IS 09:46AM 4 EXTREMELY POSITIVE AND EXCITED ABOUT THERANOS AND WANTS US TO 09:46AM 'CRUSH QUEST DIAGNOSTICS,'" A COMPETITOR YOU HAVE HEARD ABOUT. 09:47AM AND DR. ROSENDORFF WRITES, "NOTE: AAP PERFORMED ON 09:47AM 4/18/2014 INDICATES THAT THE THERANOS METHOD IS, ON AVERAGE, 09:47AM 10 PERCENT LOWER THAN THE PREDICATE, WHICH IS ACCEPTABLE." 8 09:47AM SO THAT'S DR. ROSENDORFF'S VIEW AND HE WAS CLEARLY ABLE TO 9 09:47AM REFER TO THE AAP THAT WAS DONE TO LOOK AT THIS PARTICULAR 10 09:47AM ASSAY, IN THIS CASE I THINK IT'S FT4, AND USE THAT AS AN AID TO 09:47AM 11 12 ANSWER A PHYSICIAN INQUIRY. 09:47AM 13 AND SO THE AAP IS GOING ON, AND WE'LL SEE MORE ABOUT THAT. 09:47AM 14 AND THAT'S THE METHOD THAT DR. PANDORI AND DR. ROSENDORFF 09:47AM PUT IN PLACE, NOT THE CHART THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU WHERE 15 09:47AM IT'S AGAINST THE SOP, IT'S NOT A FAILURE, IT'S RUNNING PT 16 09:47AM 17 SAMPLES WHICH DR. PANDORI SAID IS NOT APPROPRIATE BECAUSE THERE 09:47AM 18 WERE SCIENTIFIC REASONS TO NOT DO THAT. 09:47AM 09:47AM 19 SO THAT CHART THAT THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU WITH THE PT EXPERIMENT WITH NEW YORK STATE AND API, THAT IS MEANINGLESS IN 09:47AM 20 21 THIS CASE. 09:47AM 22 BUT, AGAIN, THIS IS ANOTHER THING THAT WE HAD TO SHOW YOU, 09:47AM BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU A CHART AND RELIED ON DATA 23 09:48AM 24 THAT IS JUST NOT APPROPRIATE TO RELY ON, AND THIS IS BASICALLY 09:48AM 25 A SCIENTIFIC FRAUD CASE, RIGHT? 09:48AM

09:48AM	1	IF WE CAN GO, MR. ALLEN, TO SLIDE 110.
09:48AM	2	I JUST WANT TO COVER THIS TEXT MESSAGE STRING JUST FOR A
09:48AM	3	MOMENT.
09:48AM	4	SO FAR THE GOVERNMENT HAS REPEATEDLY SHOWED THIS FIRST
09:48AM	5	TEXT MESSAGE FROM SUNNY BALWANI TO ELIZABETH HOLMES ON
09:48AM	6	NOVEMBER 28TH, 2014. AND IT SAYS "NORMANDY IS A," AND I WON'T
09:48AM	7	USE THE WORD HERE IN COURT, "DISASTER ZONE. GLAD I CAME HERE.
09:48AM	8	WILL WORK ON FIXING THIS."
09:48AM	9	SO THIS IS MR. BALWANI TEXTING IT LOOKS LIKE AT
09:48AM	10	11:12 P.M., LATE IN THE EVENING.
09:49AM	11	BUT IT GOES ON, RIGHT?
09:49AM	12	AND THE GOVERNMENT DOESN'T KNOW WHAT WAS REALLY GOING ON
09:49AM	13	HERE. AND PEOPLE LATE AT NIGHT USE ALL KINDS OF WORDS.
09:49AM	14	THEY'RE FRUSTRATED WITH SOMETHING, THEY VENT.
09:49AM	15	BUT WE DO HAVE SOME INSIGHT INTO WHAT THIS WAS REALLY
09:49AM	16	ABOUT. AND THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE YOU BELIEVE THAT THIS IS
09:49AM	17	BASICALLY MR. BALWANI OPINING ABOUT THE ENTIRE LAB, LIKE I GOT
09:49AM	18	HERE LATE AT NIGHT ON NOVEMBER 28TH, AND I'VE DETERMINED THAT
09:49AM	19	THE LAB IS A DISASTER, MEANING LIKE ALL OF THE TESTS ARE
09:49AM	20	INVALID.
09:49AM	21	THAT'S NOT EVEN POSSIBLE. MR. BALWANI IS NOT A LAB
09:49AM	22	SCIENTIST. HE'S NOT QUALIFIED TO GO TO A LAB AND SPEND SOME
09:49AM	23	TIME THERE AND LATE IN THE EVENING SAY I HAVE DETERMINED IT'S
09:49AM	24	NOT FOUND.
09:49AM	25	HE'S GOT A SCIENTIFIC TEAM THAT YOU'VE SEEN REPEATEDLY

09:49AM	1	THAT WHEN THERE'S AN ISSUE, THEY'RE THE PEOPLE WHO HELP
09:49AM	2	DETERMINE WHETHER SOMETHING IS RIGHT OR NOT RIGHT.
09:49AM	3	AND SO MR. BALWANI HAS NO ABILITY TO BASICALLY OPINE ON
09:49AM	4	THE ENTIRE LAB.
09:49AM	5	SO WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON HERE? AGAIN, WE HAVE SOME
09:50AM	6	INSIGHT INTO THIS.
09:50AM	7	SO LATER IN THIS HE SAYS AT 11:32, "I WILL GET TINA OUT.
09:50AM	8	WE NEED A SOFTWARE PERSON RUNNING THIS. BETWEEN TINA AND MAX
09:50AM	9	WE HAVE A MESS."
09:50AM	10	SO THIS IS THE MESS THAT MR. BALWANI IS APPARENTLY
09:50AM	11	REFERRING TO.
09:50AM	12	TINA AND MAX ARE PROJECT MANAGERS. THEY'RE NOT LAB
09:50AM	13	SCIENTISTS. SO THE MESS SEEMS TO BE WITH SOMETHING OTHER THAN
09:50AM	14	THE LAB SCIENTISTS.
09:50AM	15	AND THEN HE GOES ON TO SAY, "WE BUILT SOFTWARE TO REMOVE
09:50AM	16	HUMAN ERROR AND HUMAN JUDGMENT. ALL DAY I SAW THESE PEOPLE USE
09:50AM	17	THEIR JUDGMENTS TO WORK AROUND OUR PROCESSES."
09:50AM	18	AND THEN HE SAYS, "THIS IS WHERE OUR PROBLEMS ARE. WHICH
09:50AM	19	MEANS WE CAN FIX IT. THANK GOD." THAT'S WHAT ELIZABETH HOLMES
09:50AM	20	SAYS.
09:50AM	21	SO THIS HAS TO DO WITH PROCEDURES THAT ARE IN PLACE THAT
09:50AM	22	ARE APPARENTLY NOT BEING FOLLOWED, TWO PROJECT MANAGERS. HE'S
09:50AM	23	NOT SAYING I'VE TALKED TO THE LAB STAFF LIKE DR. SAKSENA OR
09:50AM	24	DR. PANGARKAR, PEOPLE LIKE THAT, AND THEY'RE TELLING ME THINGS
09:50AM	25	ARE A DISASTER.

1 09:50AM 2 09:51AM 3 09:51AM 4 09:51AM 09:51AM 09:51AM 09:51AM 8 09:51AM 9 09:51AM 10 09:51AM 09:51AM 11 12 09:51AM 13 09:51AM 14 09:51AM 15 09:51AM 16 09:51AM 17 09:51AM 18 09:51AM 19 09:51AM 09:52AM 20 21 09:52AM 22 09:52AM 23 09:52AM 24 09:52AM 25 09:52AM

SO THIS IS REALLY -- I MEAN, I UNDERSTAND WHY THE GOVERNMENT LIKES THE TEXT MESSAGE BECAUSE THE FIRST ONE LOOKS BAD. BUT WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT IT, IT REALLY DOESN'T MAKE SENSE THAT MR. BALWANI IS SAYING, YEAH, I PERSONALLY HAVE COME HERE AND DETERMINED ON MY OWN THAT THE LAB IS A DISASTER ZONE, AND THAT MEANS SOMEHOW ALL OF THE TESTING IS BAD, THROWING ASIDE ALL OF THE OTHER VALIDATION REPORTS AND AAP THAT IS BEING DONE AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

SO WE'LL TALK ABOUT THAT MORE, BUT THAT'S THE TEXT MESSAGE. I JUST WANTED TO ADDRESS THAT.

OKAY. THIS LEADS US TO DR. MARK PANDORI.

SO DR. PANDORI WAS INTERESTING. HE HAD A WAY, YOU MIGHT REMEMBER, DURING THE TRIAL OF TURNING TO YOU, THE JURY, AND EXPLAINING IT LIKE HE WAS A PROFESSOR EXPLAINING THINGS. AND HE DID VERY WELL WITH THAT ON DIRECT LIKE PRESENTING LIKE HE WAS IN A CLASS OR SOMETHING.

AND HE WAS VERY MUCH AT EASE WITH THE GOVERNMENT'S DIRECT EXAMINATION. HE REMEMBERED ALL 19 EMAILS THAT THEY SHOWED HIM, NO PROBLEM, HAD SOMETHING TO SAY TO EXPLAIN TO YOU.

AND THEN ALL OF A SUDDEN ON CROSS EVERYTHING CHANGED AND HE HAD MEMORY ISSUES, MAJOR MEMORY ISSUES WITH 13 EMAILS WE SHOWED HIM ON CROSS. ALL OF A SUDDEN HE HAD A FAILURE OF MEMORY AND COULDN'T REMEMBER THINGS. AND I WANT TO GO THROUGH THOSE THINGS ABOUT DR. PANDORI.

SO THE FIRST ISSUE HERE IS ABOUT A CONVERSATION HE CLAIMS

09:52AM	1	TO HAVE HAD WITH MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES ABOUT READING AN
09:52AM	2	ARTICLE IN THE PRESS, OKAY?
09:52AM	3	SO WHAT EXCUSE ME.
09:52AM	4	SO WHAT DR. PANDORI SAYS WAS THAT HE SAW THIS ARTICLE IN
09:52AM	5	"WIRED" MAGAZINE, AND HE REMEMBERS IT WAS RIGHT AROUND HIS
09:52AM	6	BIRTHDAY, HE SAID MAY 19TH, 2014, THAT WAS HIS BIRTHDAY, AND IT
09:52AM	7	WAS WITHIN A DAY OR TWO OF MAY 19TH. THAT'S WHAT HE SAID. AND
09:52AM	8	THAT'S HIS TESTIMONY, RIGHT?
09:53AM	9	SO IS HE REMEMBERS THAT HE SAYS.
09:53AM	10	AND THEN THERE'S THIS "WIRED" ARTICLE, THAT'S THE
09:53AM	11	CATALYST, HIS WORDS, THAT HE HAD TO GO IN AND SEE MR. BALWANI
09:53AM	12	AND MS. HOLMES AND SAY, HEY, THERE ARE THINGS IN THE PRESS, IN
09:53AM	13	THIS CASE A "WIRED" ARTICLE, THAT AREN'T ACCURATE, SO YOU'VE
09:53AM	14	GOT TO INVOLVE THE SCIENTIFIC TEAM HERE, YOU KNOW, TO MAKE SURE
09:53AM	15	THAT THE PRESS IS GETTING ACCURATE INFORMATION.
09:53AM	16	AND HE CLAIMS THAT MR. BALWANI SAYS, THAT'S NEVER GOING TO
09:53AM	17	HAPPEN.
09:53AM	18	AND THEN MR OR DR. PANDORI SAYS HE QUIT FIVE MINUTES
09:53AM	19	LATER. SO LET'S LOOK AT THAT.
09:53AM	20	HE SAID HE HAD THIS MEETING. WHAT WAS THE OUTCOME OF THE
09:53AM	21	MEETING?
09:53AM	22	AND HE SAYS WHEN DID YOU QUIT THE COMPANY?
09:53AM	23	DR. PANDORI SAYS FIVE MINUTES LATER.
09:53AM	24	SO BASICALLY THE IMPRESSION THAT HE'S TRYING TO GIVE
09:53AM	25	EVERYONE WAS THAT THE COMPANY WOULDN'T LISTEN TO ME ABOUT

09:53AM	1	ACCURACY AND MEDIA ARTICLES, AND I WAS OUT THE DOOR. FIVE
09:54AM	2	MINUTES, RIGHT?
09:54AM	3	HERE'S THE PROBLEM WITH DR. PANDORI. IT'S JUST NOT TRUE,
09:54AM	4	RIGHT?
09:54AM	5	SO FIRST OF ALL, THE SO-CALLED CATALYST FOR THE MAY 19TH
09:54AM	6	CONFRONTATION WITH MS. HOLMES AND MR. BALWANI ABOUT THIS ISSUE,
09:54AM	7	THE "WIRED" ARTICLE IS SENT TO HIM THREE MONTHS EARLIER, A
09:54AM	8	LITTLE UNDER THREE MONTHS EARLIER, IN FEBRUARY OF 2014.
09:54AM	9	SO HE'S GOT THIS ARTICLE. HE'S DOING ALL KINDS OF THINGS
09:54AM	10	BETWEEN FEBRUARY AND MAY. SO IT'S NOT THE CATALYST FOR A
09:54AM	11	MEETING, OKAY?
09:54AM	12	SO THAT'S THE ARTICLE THAT IS SENT TO DR. PANDORI ON
09:54AM	13	FEBRUARY 27TH OF 2014.
09:54AM	14	OKAY. SO THEN HE'S ASKED A QUESTION ABOUT THAT ON
09:54AM	15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION. "AND DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THAT LINK WAS
09:54AM	16	TO THE ACTUAL ARTICLE THAT WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT?"
09:54AM	17	SO LIKE A LIFELINE, DR. PANDORI SAYS "NO, I DON'T KNOW THE
09:54AM	18	ANSWER TO THE QUESTION," HOPING MAYBE IT'S A DIFFERENT ARTICLE,
09:55AM	19	RIGHT, SO MY STORY COULD STILL HOLD TOGETHER.
09:55AM	20	THE PROBLEM IS THAT'S NOT TRUE EITHER.
09:55AM	21	IT IS THE SAME ARTICLE. AND THE COURT READ YOU THE
09:55AM	22	STIPULATION DURING TRIAL AFTER DR. PANDORI'S TESTIMONY. THE
09:55AM	23	"WIRED" MAGAZINE ARTICLE INCLUDED AS A LINK IN THE EXHIBIT THAT
09:55AM	24	I JUST SHOWED YOU FROM FEBRUARY AND DISCUSSED DURING
09:55AM	25	DR. PANDORI'S TESTIMONY WAS PUBLISHED BY "WIRED" MAGAZINE

09:55AM	1	ONLINE ON FEBRUARY 18TH, 2014. SO THERE'S AN ONLINE VERSION OF
09:55AM	2	IT THAT WAS SENT TO DR. PANDORI. SO IT IS THE SAME ARTICLE.
09:55AM	3	SO THIS WAS NOT THE CATALYST FOR A MEETING. IT DOESN'T
09:55AM	4	MAKE ANY SENSE.
09:55AM	5	OKAY. BUT WITH DR. PANDORI IT GETS MUCH WORSE, OKAY?
09:55AM	6	SO LET'S LOOK AT THE NEXT SLIDE.
09:55AM	7	QUESTION TO HIM ON DIRECT: "DID YOU EVER DISCUSS OR WERE
09:55AM	8	YOU EVER IN A CONVERSATION WITH MR. BALWANI ABOUT THE
09:55AM	9	RECOMMENDATION THAT YOU HAD MADE TO STOP USING THE EDISONS?"
09:55AM	10	HE SAID, "YES."
09:55AM	11	SO THE GOVERNMENT TALKED ABOUT THIS A LITTLE BIT IN THEIR
09:56AM	12	CLOSING YESTERDAY.
09:56AM	13	DR. PANDORI CLAIMS THAT HE MADE A RECOMMENDATION TO
09:56AM	14	MR. BALWANI THAT THEY SHOULD JUST STOP USING THE EDISON DEVICE,
09:56AM	15	IT WASN'T A DEVICE THAT SHOULD BE USED FOR PATIENT TESTING,
09:56AM	16	THEY SHOULD DO MORE WORK WITH IT, BUT IT SHOULD NOT BE USED.
09:56AM	17	THEY SHOULD JUST STOP, RIGHT? THAT'S WHAT DR. PANDORI CLAIMED.
09:56AM	18	AND BY THE WAY, THAT CLAIM THAT DR. PANDORI MADE, THERE'S
09:56AM	19	NOT A SINGLE EMAIL, NOTE, DOCUMENT OF ANY SORT THAT SUPPORTS
09:56AM	20	THAT CLAIM. HE JUST SAID THAT FROM HIS SUPPOSED MEMORY, BUT
09:56AM	21	THAT'S WHAT HE SAYS.
09:56AM	22	AND HE FURTHER TESTIFIED THAT AFTER THAT DISCUSSION WITH
09:56AM	23	MR. BALWANI, THAT MR. BALWANI VISITED DR. PANDORI IN HIS OFFICE
09:56AM	24	WITH TWO PROJECT MANAGERS AND TOLD HIM THAT'S NOT GOING TO
09:56AM	25	HAPPEN. THAT'S DR. PANDORI'S CLAIM, OKAY?

09:56AM	1	SO, AGAIN, NO NOTES, NO EMAILS, NOTHING THAT SUPPORTS THAT
09:56AM	2	OTHER THAN DR. PANDORI'S STORY.
09:56AM	3	BUT THAT'S WHAT HE SAYS, STOP USING EDISON. AND HE TOLD
09:57AM	4	THIS TO BALWANI, AND BALWANI SAID NOT GOING TO HAPPEN, RIGHT?
09:57AM	5	OKAY. WELL, WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED HERE?
09:57AM	6	THE TRANSITION MEMO.
09:57AM	7	SO MONA RAMAMURTHY, WHO IS THE HEAD OF HUMAN RESOURCES AND
09:57AM	8	EMPLOYMENT COUNSEL AT THERANOS, SENDS AN EMAIL TO DR. PANDORI
09:57AM	9	ON MAY 27TH, 2014.
09:57AM	10	AND MIND YOU, DO YOU REMEMBER DR. PANDORI SAID HE QUIT,
09:57AM	11	YOU KNOW, FIVE MINUTES AFTER THAT DISCUSSION ON MAY 19TH OR IT
09:57AM	12	COULD HAVE BEEN A DAY OR SO OF MAY 19TH, SO MAYBE IT'S
09:57AM	13	MAY 20TH, BUT AROUND MAY 19TH, DR. PANDORI SAYS I QUIT, FIVE
09:57AM	14	MINUTES.
09:57AM	15	THIS IS SEVEN DAYS LATER AND HE'S STILL THERE. OKAY? SO
09:57AM	16	THAT'S ANOTHER UNTRUE STATEMENT BY DR. PANDORI.
09:57AM	17	SO MS. RAMAMURTHY SAYS, "HI MARK,
09:57AM	18	"SUNNY IS OUT TODAY SO HE WANTED ME TO CONNECT WITH YOU ON
09:58AM	19	TRANSITION."
09:58AM	20	AND IT GOES ON, WANTS DR. PANDORI TO FOCUS ON DOCUMENTING
09:58AM	21	THE FOLLOWING, AND IT HAS A LIST OF THINGS THAT DR. PANDORI
09:58AM	22	SHOULD DO AS A TRANSITION BECAUSE HE'S GOING TO BE LEAVING
09:58AM	23	THERANOS.
09:58AM	24	AND THE LAST ONE, WHICH IS HIGHLIGHTED THERE, SAYS
09:58AM	25	"ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU BELIEVE NEEDS TO BE DOCUMENTED FOR

1 09:58AM 2 09:58AM 3 09:58AM 4 09:58AM 09:58AM 09:58AM 09:58AM 8 09:58AM 9 09:58AM 10 09:59AM 09:59AM 11 12 09:59AM 13 09:59AM 14 09:59AM 15 09:59AM 16 09:59AM 17 09:59AM 18 09:59AM 09:59AM 19 20 09:59AM 21 09:59AM 22 09:59AM

23

24

25

09:59AM

09:59AM

09:59AM

EFFECTIVE TRANSITION PURPOSES."

SO DR. PANDORI IS GIVEN A COMPLETELY OPEN MIKE TO SAY WHATEVER HE WANTS ABOUT THERANOS, ANYTHING HE NEEDS TO BE DOCUMENTED, WHICH BY THE WAY THIS ISSUE ABOUT HIS CLAIM ABOUT THE EDISON, THAT HE TOLD SUNNY TO STOP USING THE EDISON, THAT'S NOT DOCUMENTED, RIGHT? SO THIS IS HIS OPPORTUNITY, RIGHT?

SO WHAT HAPPENS?

HERE'S THE TRANSITION MEMO. THREE DAYS LATER ON MAY 30TH, HE'S STILL THERE, HE SENDS MS. RAMAMURTHY, WITH A COPY TO MR. BALWANI, THE TRANSITION MEMO. YOU CAN SEE THE ATTACHMENT THERE, TRANSITION MWP 05 2014.DOCX.

AND THEN HE WRITES "ATTACHED,

"A DOCUMENT DETAILING THE STATE OF VARIOUS PROJECTS OR WORKS IN THE CLIA/BUGS LABORATORIES. IT CONTAINS ADDITIONAL TRANSITIONAL INFORMATION DETAILING THE ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS WHICH I HAVE BEEN PERFORMING AS NEW EMPLOYEES ARRIVE AND ON A DAILY BASIS.

"I WAS GOING TO SHARE THIS WITH ADAM," THAT'S DR. ROSENDORFF, "WITH YOUR PERMISSION."

SO THIS IS HIS EMAIL TO MS. RAMAMURTHY.

AND THEN LET'S LOOK AT THE CONTENT. YOU CAN SEE THIS IS MAY 29TH, 30, SO IT'S 2014, IT'S M.W. PANDORI, THAT'S HIS NAME.

AND THEN YOU CAN SEE, IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, HERE'S HIS OTHER NOTES. SO HERE'S THE OTHER SECTION WHERE HE'S ADDRESSING ANYTHING ELSE THAT NEEDS TO BE DOCUMENTED.

10:00AM	1	AND WHAT DOES HE WRITE? "EDISONS: THE PRIMARY CONCERN IN
10:00AM	2	THIS SECTION IS THE AVAILABLE NUMBER OF DEVICES. FOR FT4,
10:00AM	3	VITAMIN D, AND TSH, AT LEAST THE DOUBLING OF THE NUMBER OF
10:00AM	4	UNITS IS NECESSARY, IN MY OPINION."
10:00AM	5	SO THIS GUY, DR. PANDORI, WHO SAYS, I TOLD HIM TO STOP
10:00AM	6	USING EDISON, HE SAYS IN HIS TRANSITION MEMO WHERE HE CAN SAY
10:00AM	7	ANYTHING HE WANTS, HE SAYS THE PRIMARY CONCERN ABOUT EDISONS IS
10:00AM	8	WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH. WE NEED TO MAKE MORE.
10:00AM	9	SO WHAT DOES DR. PANDORI DO NEXT? HE SENDS THE MEMO TO
10:00AM	10	DR. ROSENDORFF ON MAY 30TH, 2014. THERE'S THE MEMO THAT
10:00AM	11	DR. PANDORI SENDS TO DR. ROSENDORFF WITH THE SAME NOTE ABOUT
10:00AM	12	NEEDING TO DOUBLE THE NUMBER OF EDISONS.
10:00AM	13	SO WHAT HAPPENED WHEN DR. PANDORI WAS CONFRONTED WITH THIS
10:01AM	14	ISSUE? HE'S ASKED ON CROSS-EXAMINATION, "AND THIS IS A MEMO
10:01AM	15	YOU DRAFTED?
10:01AM	16	HE SAYS, "NO, I DON'T RECALL THIS.
10:01AM	17	"YOU SENT THE MEMO TO DR. ROSENDORFF?"
10:01AM	18	HE'S PANICKED. HE'S NOW CONFRONTED WITH A DOCUMENT THAT
10:01AM	19	IS COMPLETELY INCONSISTENT WITH HIS STORY, AND HE'S CLAIMING
10:01AM	20	THAT HE DIDN'T EVEN WRITE HIS OWN TRANSITION MEMO THAT HE SENT
10:01AM	21	NOT ONLY TO MS. RAMAMURTHY AND MR. BALWANI, BUT ALSO TO
10:01AM	22	DR. ROSENDORFF.
10:01AM	23	SO THAT'S DR. PANDORI. HE'S NOW CAUGHT IN A LIE, AND HE'S
10:01AM	24	PANICKED, OKAY?
10:01AM	25	NOW, HE KEEPS ON GOING.

10:01AM	1	HE'S ASKED: OKAY. AND THE REPORT IS REFLECTING ISSUES IN
10:01AM	2	THE BUGS LAB?
10:01AM	3	"I DON'T KNOW. I'VE NEVER SEEN THIS REPORT BEFORE."
10:01AM	4	THIS IS HIS OWN TRANSITION MEMO THAT HE WROTE AND HE SAYS
10:01AM	5	HE'S NEVER SEEN IT BEFORE.
10:01AM	6	AND HE'S ASKED, "AND YOU SENT A TRANSITION REPORT TO
10:01AM	7	DR. ROSENDORFF ON MAY 30TH, 2014, AS REFLECTED ON
10:02AM	8	EXHIBIT 20281; CORRECT?
10:02AM	9	"NO, I DON'T RECALL DOING SO."
10:02AM	10	REMEMBER, HE HAD NO SUCH MEMORY PROBLEMS WHEN THE
10:02AM	11	GOVERNMENT WAS ASKING QUESTIONS.
10:02AM	12	HE GOES ON. AND IT'S YOUR SWORN TESTIMONY TODAY THAT YOU
10:02AM	13	DID NOT WRITE THE ATTACHMENT TO DR. ROSENDORFF REFLECTED IN
10:02AM	14	EXHIBIT 20279; IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY?
10:02AM	15	HE SAYS, "I DON'T I HAVE TO REVIEW THIS DOCUMENT. I
10:02AM	16	DON'T RECALL AUTHORING IT."
10:02AM	17	OKAY. SO HE'S STILL IN PANIC MODE.
10:02AM	18	AND THEN ON THE NEXT DAY OF CROSS, APRIL 6TH, HE SAYS
10:02AM	19	THE QUESTION IS, "AND YOU STILL DON'T RECALL AUTHORING THE
10:02AM	20	DOCUMENT? IS THAT YOUR TESTIMONY?
10:02AM	21	HE SAYS, "I DON'T RECALL."
10:02AM	22	SO THE GOVERNMENT TRIED TO CLAIM, WELL, MAYBE HE DIDN'T
10:02AM	23	PUT EVERYTHING DOWN IN THE TRANSITION MEMO SO MAYBE WE CAN GIVE
10:02AM	24	HIM A PASS.
10:02AM	25	ACTUALLY, THAT TRANSITION MEMO IS NOT THE ONLY TIME THAT

1 10:02AM 2 10:03AM 3 10:03AM 4 10:03AM 10:03AM 10:03AM 10:03AM 8 10:03AM 9 10:03AM 10 10:03AM 10:03AM 11 12 10:03AM 13 10:03AM 14 10:03AM 15 10:03AM 16 10:03AM 17 10:04AM 18 10:04AM 10:04AM 19 10:04AM 20 21 10:04AM 22 10:04AM 23 10:04AM 24 10:04AM

25

10:04AM

HE MADE THE SAME POINT.

LET'S LOOK AT EXHIBIT 20490, WHICH IS A MAY 20TH, 2014 EMAIL. THIS IS DR. PANDORI ON MAY 20TH, AND THIS IS THE DAY AFTER HE HAS THE SUPPOSED MEETING WHERE HE SUPPOSEDLY QUIT.

HE WRITES, "HI, CHINMAY, NISHIT," THAT'S DR. PANGARKAR AND MR. DOSHI.

"THERE REMAINS AN ISSUE IN NORMANDY WITH THE NUMBER OF EDISON READERS AVAILABLE FOR PATIENT TESTING. WE WILL SURELY NEED MORE READERS FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

"THE NUMBER OF EDISONS TESTS IS ALREADY CHALLENGING THE NUMBER WE HAVE;

"MORE STORES ARE OPENING THIS WEEK (WE CANNOT ESTIMATE HOW MANY MORE SPECIMENS WILL BE COMING IN AS A RESULT)."

AND THEN HE GOES ON, "I'D LIKE TO DISCUSS A PLAN TO DOUBLE THE NUMBER OF READERS FOR THREE MAIN TESTS. THIS WILL BE NECESSARY AS MORE STORES WILL BE OPENING IN JUNE AND WE STILL DON'T KNOW THE IMPACT OF OPENINGS IN MAY."

SO HE'S SAYING THERE ARE GOING TO BE MORE STORES, THERE ARE GOING TO BE MORE PATIENTS, THERE ARE GOING TO BE MORE SAMPLES, AND WE ARE GOING TO NEED MORE OF THE EDISONS TO DO THE TESTS. AND THIS IS ON MAY 20TH.

SO, AGAIN, DR. PANDORI COMPLETELY INCONSISTENT WITH HIS CLAIM THAT HE SAID STOP USING EDISONS. HE'S ACTUALLY SAYING --THE ONLY DOCUMENTED THING THAT DR. PANDORI SAYS IS MAKE MORE EDISONS BECAUSE WE NEED TO USE THEM FOR PATIENT TESTING.

1 10:04AM 2 10:04AM 3 10:04AM 4 10:04AM 10:04AM 10:04AM 10:04AM 8 10:04AM 9 10:04AM 10 10:04AM 10:05AM 11 12 10:05AM 13 10:05AM 14 10:05AM 15 10:05AM 16 10:05AM 17 10:05AM 18 10:05AM 19 10:05AM 10:05AM 20 21 10:05AM 22 10:05AM 23 10:05AM 24 10:05AM 25 10:05AM

AND THEN THAT'S NOT ALL. THEN ON -- A COUPLE DAYS LATER, OR THE NEXT DAY RATHER, ON MAY 21ST, 2014, HE SENDS ANOTHER EMAIL. THIS TIME HE SENDS IT TO MR. BALWANI AND A GROUP OF PEOPLE, INCLUDING DR. ROSENDORFF AND DR. YOUNG.

HE SAYS -- THIS IS NOW TWO DAYS AFTER THE SUPPOSED MEETING WHERE HE SUPPOSEDLY OUIT.

HE SAYS, "RELATEDLY I HAVE ON TWO OCCASIONS IN THE LAST 2 WEEKS REQUESTED MORE EDISON READERS TO BE MADE AVAILABLE IN NORMANDY.

"TESTING VOLUMES ARE GOING UP AND HAVING ONLY 2 TO 3 READERS PER TEST CAUSES SPECIMENS TO BACK UP AND FOR THE WORKFLOW TO GAIN COMPLEXITY. I AM TOLD THAT MORE READERS ARE ON THEIR WAY, AT LEAST FOR THE MOST COMMON TESTS."

SO REPEATEDLY DR. PANDORI IS SAYING WE NEED MORE EDISONS.

JUDGE DAVILA WILL INSTRUCT YOU AFTER WE'RE DONE WITH OUR CLOSING AND THE GOVERNMENT IS DONE WITH THE REBUTTAL ABOUT THE LAW THAT YOU SHOULD APPLY, AND THIS ONE IS ABOUT THE CREDIBILITY OF WITNESSES. "IN DECIDING THE FACTS IN THIS CASE, YOU MAY HAVE TO DECIDE WHICH TESTIMONY TO BELIEVE AND WHICH TESTIMONY NOT TO BELIEVE. YOU MAY BELIEVE EVERYTHING A WITNESS SAYS, OR PART OF IT, OR NONE OF IT."

IN THE CASE OF DR. PANDORI, YOU CANNOT BELIEVE A WORD THIS MAN SAYS. HE'S REPEATEDLY LIED ON THE STAND, AND IN PARTICULAR ABOUT THIS ISSUE OF THE CLAIM ABOUT MR. BALWANI BEING TOLD WE SHOULDN'T BE USING EDISONS.

10:05AM	1	AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT THOSE EMAILS, INCLUDING THE LAST ONE
10:06AM	2	THAT WE SAW TO MR. BALWANI, "WE NEED MORE EDISONS," WHAT IS
10:06AM	3	MR. BALWANI SUPPOSED TO THINK WITH HIS CO-LAB DIRECTOR,
10:06AM	4	DR. PANDORI, WITH A COPY TO TWO OTHER LEAD SCIENTISTS,
10:06AM	5	DR. ROSENDORFF AND DR. YOUNG, AND DR. PANDORI SAYING MAKE MORE
10:06AM	6	EDISONS SO WE CAN USE THEM FOR PATIENT TESTING.
10:06AM	7	IS MR. BALWANI SUPPOSED TO THINK, OH, WE'VE GOT A PROBLEM
10:06AM	8	WITH EDISONS?
10:06AM	9	OBVIOUSLY THE REASONABLE THING FOR MR. BALWANI TO THINK AT
10:06AM	10	THAT POINT IS THE OPPOSITE OF THAT, IT LOOKS LIKE THE
10:06AM	11	SCIENTIFIC TEAM IS ON TOP OF THIS.
10:06AM	12	BUT, AGAIN, DR. PANDORI IS NOT SOMEONE WHOSE TESTIMONY YOU
10:06AM	13	CAN TRUST.
10:06AM	14	WHY, AGAIN, WOULD DR. PANDORI DO THAT? WHY WOULD HE CLAIM
10:06AM	15	NOT TO HAVE WRITTEN A DOCUMENT THAT HE WROTE? I THINK YOU
10:06AM	16	REMEMBER, HE SAYS, WELL, HE'S STILL IN THE LAB INDUSTRY, HE
10:06AM	17	TALKED ABOUT THAT. HE SAID HE WAS AT A PUBLIC HEALTH
10:06AM	18	LABORATORY IN NEVADA SOMEWHERE.
10:06AM	19	AND AGAIN, HE DOESN'T WANT TO SAY THAT HE APPROVED OF
10:06AM	20	ANYTHING AT THERANOS. AT THIS POINT, LOOK AT WHERE WE ARE. HE
10:06AM	21	WANTS TO SAY I WAS THE HERO, I TOLD THEM TO STOP.
10:07AM	22	THAT IS SIMPLY NOT TRUE.
10:07AM	23	OKAY. LET'S MOVE ON TO DR. ROSENDORFF'S DEPARTURE FROM
10:07AM	24	THERANOS.
10:07AM	25	SO THE STORY OF DR. ROSENDORFF'S DEPARTURE IS VERY MUCH

10:07AM	1	TIED UP WITH A PARTICULAR ASSAY CALLED POTASSIUM. YOU MAY HAVE
10:07AM	2	HEARD THE TERM ISE, AND POTASSIUM IS ONE OF THE ISE ASSAYS.
10:07AM	3	THE OTHERS ONES, BY THE WAY, ARE SODIUM AND CHLORIDE.
10:07AM	4	SO THE PROBLEM WITH DR. ROSENDORFF IS HE IMPLEMENTS
10:07AM	5	PROCEDURES AND THEN STARTS COMPLAINING ABOUT HIS OWN
10:07AM	6	PROCEDURES, AND BASICALLY THE COMPANY JUST LOST CONFIDENCE IN
10:07AM	7	HIM.
10:07AM	8	SO LET'S LOOK AT THE POTASSIUM ISSUE HERE.
10:07AM	9	SO THE POTASSIUM ASSAY ON MODIFIED SIEMENS, MODIFIED
10:07AM	10	PREDICATE IS APPROVED BY DR. ROSENDORFF ON MARCH 24TH, 2014.
10:08AM	11	THAT'S EXHIBIT 9315.
10:08AM	12	AND THEN DR. ROSENDORFF ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ABOUT THE
10:08AM	13	NATURE OF POTASSIUM.
10:08AM	14	AND THE ONE THING THAT COULD HAPPEN WITH REGARD TO
10:08AM	15	POTASSIUM IN PARTICULAR IS SOMETHING CALLED HEMOLYSIS?
10:08AM	16	HE SAYS CORRECT.
10:08AM	17	AND AGAIN, AN ISSUE THAT IS PARTICULARLY AN ISSUE WITH
10:08AM	18	THIS PARTICULAR ASSAY HEMOLYSIS, OR POTASSIUM RATHER.
10:08AM	19	AND THEN DR. ROSENDORFF WAS ASKED, AND THAT WOULD BE WHERE
	20	THE ACTUAL PROCESS OF COLLECTING THE SAMPLE RESULTS IN RED
	21	BLOOD CELLS BASICALLY BURSTING?
	22	HE SAYS CORRECT.
	23	SO TAKING A FINGERSTICK, THE COLLECTION ISN'T DONE
	24	CORRECTLY, AND THE RED BLOOD CELLS BURST AND IT CREATES THIS
10:08AM	25	CONDITION CALLED HEMOLYSIS IN THE SAMPLE. SO IT'S A SAMPLE

10:08AM	1	COLLECTION ISSUE.
10:08AM	2	QUESTION. THAT CREATES KIND OF ARTIFACTS THAT DON'T MAKE
10:09AM	3	THE TEST WORK AS DESIGNED?
10:09AM	4	HE SAYS CORRECT.
10:09AM	5	AND THAT HAPPENED AT THERANOS FROM TIME TO TIME; RIGHT?
10:09AM	6	HE SAYS FREQUENTLY.
10:09AM	7	AND THAT HAPPENS IN OTHER LABS, TOO?
10:09AM	8	HE SAYS YES.
10:09AM	9	AND IN FACT ALL LABS MAKE ERRORS; RIGHT?
10:09AM	10	HE SAYS YES.
10:09AM	11	AND SO THAT'S THE HEMOLYSIS ISSUE AND THAT'S SOMETHING TO
10:09AM	12	KEEP IN MIND AS WE GO THROUGH THE REST OF THE POTASSIUM STORY.
10:09AM	13	SO DR. ROSENDORFF EVENTUALLY COMPLAINED ABOUT THE ISSUE OF
10:09AM	14	RELEASING WHAT ARE CALLED CRITICAL RESULTS, THOSE ARE ABNORMAL
10:09AM	15	RESULTS WHERE IT COULD INDICATE THAT THE PERSON IS HAVING A
10:09AM	16	MEDICAL CONDITION, AND THERE'S PARTICULAR CARE THAT NEEDS TO BE
10:09AM	17	PAID OBVIOUSLY TO CRITICAL RESULTS BECAUSE IF SOMEONE IS HAVING
10:09AM	18	A POTASSIUM ISSUE, THEY SHOULD KNOW THAT, AND IF THEY'RE NOT,
10:09AM	19	YOU KNOW, YOU WOULDN'T WANT TO REPORT THAT INCORRECTLY.
10:09AM	20	SO THIS CARE TAKING WITH CRITICAL RESULTS.
10:09AM	21	BUT DR. ROSENDORFF CERTAINLY COULD RELEASE AND DID RELEASE
10:09AM	22	CRITICAL RESULTS. HERE'S ONE FROM APRIL 2014 WHERE HE WRITES,
10:09AM	23	"HODA, I AM ASSUMING YOU HAVE CONFIRMED WITH TINA LIN AND
10:10AM	24	DANIEL YOUNG THAT THE CTN WAS NOT COMPROMISED IN ANY WAY? IF
10:10AM	25	THIS IS THE CASE, THEN PLEASE CALL A CRITICAL FOR THIS

PATIENT." 1 10:10AM SO THEY'RE CHECKING TO MAKE SURE THAT THE CAPILLARY TUBE 2 10:10AM AND NANOTAINER IS NOT COMPROMISED LIKE A SAMPLE COLLECTION 3 10:10AM 10:10AM 4 ISSUE. AND MS. ALAMDAR REPORTS, "HI ADAM, 10:10AM "I LOOKED AT THE CTN IMAGE." SO SHE IS LOOKING AT AN 10:10AM IMAGE OF THE ACTUAL CAPILLARY TUBE AND NANOTAINER. 10:10AM REPORTED IN THIS CASE, IT IS NOT HEMOLYSIZED. "WE'RE GOING TO 8 10:10AM 9 RELEASE ALL OF THE ISE'S INCLUDING THE CRITICAL K," A SYMBOL 10:10AM 10 FOR POTASSIUM. 10:10AM SO, IF THIS SAMPLE WASN'T COMPROMISED, THEN HE WOULD CALL 10:10AM 11 12 THE CRITICAL RESULT. 10:10AM AND NOW THERE IS MORE ABOUT POTASSIUM WE SHOULD DISCUSS. 13 10:10AM SO HERE IS, ON MAY 23RD, 2014, DR. YOUNG SENDS AN EMAIL 14 10:10AM TO MS. HOLMES WITH A COPY TO MR. BALWANI. 10:10AM 15 AND HERE DR. YOUNG SAYS, "I WANTED TO UPDATE YOU ON THE 16 10:10AM 17 ISE STUDIES." 10:11AM AND THEN HE SAYS, "WITH OUR NEW APPROACH, WE HAVE NOW 18 10:11AM SHOWN THAT WE CAN PROCESS AND RUN ISE'S WITH DILUTED VENOUS 10:11AM 19 20 SAMPLES WITH GREAT ACCURACY AND PRECISION (SHOWN NOW OVER 10:11AM 10 DAYS AND 48 SUBJECTS)." 21 10:11AM 22 SO DR. YOUNG IS RUNNING A STUDY AND HE'S TRYING TO 10:11AM OPTIMIZE THE POTASSIUM, WHICH IS ONE OF THE ISE ASSAYS, AND 10:11AM 23 24 HE'S REPORTING TO MR. BALWANI THAT HE BELIEVES THAT THEY CAN 10:11AM RUN WITH GREAT ACCURACY AND PRECISION. 10:11AM 25

10:11AM	1	SO, AGAIN, WHAT IS MR. BALWANI SUPPOSED TO THINK WHEN HE
10:11AM	2	GETS THAT FROM HIS LEAD SCIENTIST? HE'S SUPPOSED TO THINK IT
10:11AM	3	LOOKS LIKE THEY'VE GOT THIS, RIGHT? I'VE ANSWERED MY OWN
10:11AM	4	QUESTION.
10:11AM	5	LET'S GO TO THE NEXT DOCUMENT.
10:11AM	6	SO MR. BALWANI RESPONDS TO THE EMAIL WE JUST SAW AND HE
10:11AM	7	SAYS, "THIS IS TRULY GREAT PROGRESS AND A BIG COMPETITIVE
10:12AM	8	ADVANTAGE FOR US."
10:12AM	9	SO MR. BALWANI IS EXCITED ABOUT THE WORK THAT THE
10:12AM	10	SCIENTISTS HAVE DONE.
10:12AM	11	HE SAYS "WE NEED TO KEEP THIS PROJECT, THE CODE,
10:12AM	12	CALIBRATION AND EVERYTHING WE LEARNED HERE AS THERANOS TRADE
10:12AM	13	SECRET."
10:12AM	14	HE SAYS, LOOK, WE HAVE SOLVED FOR DOING A SMALL SAMPLE,
10:12AM	15	FINGERSTICK SAMPLES WITH POTASSIUM, AND AS FAR AS MR. BALWANI
10:12AM	16	KNOWS, THAT'S SOMETHING THAT THERANOS WAS ABLE TO ACCOMPLISH
10:12AM	17	SCIENTIFICALLY, THAT NOT SOMETHING OTHER COMPETITORS HAVE, AND
10:12AM	18	HE WANTS TO MAKE SURE THAT THE TECHNOLOGY IS PROTECTED. THAT'S
10:12AM	19	HOW EXCITED MR. BALWANI IS ABOUT THE SCIENTIFIC WORK THAT IS
10:12AM	20	GOING ON.
10:12AM	21	SO WHAT HAPPENS? DR. YOUNG SAYS, "SOUNDS GOOD. I'LL GET
10:12AM	22	TOGETHER WITH MONA AND DRAFT THE STRATEGY."
10:12AM	23	AND DR. ROSENDORFF SAYS, "DANIEL,
10:12AM	24	"CONGRATULATIONS ON CRACKING THIS."
10:12AM	25	SO SOLVING THE POTASSIUM ISSUE THAT THEY WERE LOOKING AT.

10:12AM	1	SO AGAIN, I THINK I SAID THIS YESTERDAY AT THE BEGINNING,
10:13AM	2	BUT WHEN THERE'S A PROBLEM, THE METHOD HERE THAT MR. BALWANI
10:13AM	3	WANTS TO SEE IS DO THE STUDY, SOLVE THE PROBLEM, GET TO THE
10:13AM	4	BOTTOM OF IT.
10:13AM	5	IT'S NOT, YEAH, WE'RE TAKING MONEY FROM PATIENTS SO LET'S
10:13AM	6	JUST KEEP GOING, LIKE WHY DISTURB IT.
10:13AM	7	NO, THEY WANT TO KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON. THEY WANT TO GET
10:13AM	8	TO THE BOTTOM OF THINGS, THAT'S WHAT MR. BALWANI THAT'S WHAT
10:13AM	9	ALL OF THE EMAILS SHOW THAT MR. BALWANI IS INTERESTED IN, AND
10:13AM	10	THIS IS ONE OF THOSE EXAMPLES.
10:13AM	11	SO LET'S TALK ABOUT POTASSIUM SOME MORE.
10:13AM	12	DR. YOUNG REPORTS ON JUNE 28TH, "WE HAVE PLANNED A STUDY
10:13AM	13	TO UNDERSTAND THESE FACTORS AND WILL THEN MAKE REFINEMENTS
10:13AM	14	WHERE NEEDED. I THINK WE ARE VERY CLOSE TO HAVING PERFECTED
10:13AM	15	OUR POTASSIUM ASSAY, MAKING IT THE MOST ROBUST POTASSIUM ASSAY
10:13AM	16	FROM FINGERSTICK SAMPLES IN THE WORLD."
10:13AM	17	AND MR. BALWANI WRITES, "I AGREE WITH THIS BUT WOULD LIKE
10:14AM	18	TO MOVE RAPIDLY SO CAN HAVE THE RIGHT PERFECT SOLUTION IN PLACE
10:14AM	19	ASAP."
10:14AM	20	THAT'S MR. BALWANI'S DIRECTION. HE CAN'T DO THE
10:14AM	21	SCIENTIFIC WORK HIMSELF BUT HE CAN DIRECT, OKAY, LET'S GET THE
10:14AM	22	PERFECT SOLUTION IN PLACE.
10:14AM	23	AND THEN DR. YOUNG REPORTS, "INITIAL STUDY WAS RUN AND
10:14AM	24	ANALYZED TODAY.
10:14AM	25	"WE ARE INITIATING THE MORE EXTENSIVE STUDY ACROSS TWO

1 10:14AM 2 10:14AM 3 10:14AM 4 10:14AM 10:14AM 10:14AM 10:14AM 8 10:14AM 9 10:14AM 10 10:14AM 10:15AM 11 12 10:15AM 13 10:15AM 14 10:15AM 15 10:15AM 16 10:15AM 17 10:15AM 18 10:15AM 19 10:15AM 20 10:15AM 21 10:15AM 22 10:15AM 23 10:15AM 24 10:15AM 25 10:15AM

DAYS WITH ANALYSIS AT TIME 0 AND 24 HOURS AFTER SAMPLE

COLLECTION. THE GOAL OF THIS STUDY IS TO ESTABLISH AND

DEMONSTRATE OPTIMAL PROCEDURES FOR SAMPLE PROCESSING TO ENSURE

RELIABLE TEST RESULTS."

THAT'S WHAT THEY'RE TRYING TO DO, TO ENSURE RELIABLE RESULTS.

OKAY. LATER THERE'S A MEETING AMONG THE LAB STAFF, NOT MR. BALWANI, INCLUDING DR. ROSENDORFF, ABOUT POTASSIUM. AND THIS IS THE FLOW CHART THAT COMES OUT OF THIS MEETING. AND IF YOU JUST GO THROUGH IT, YOU CAN SEE THAT THE LIHEP CTN, IF IT'S NORMAL IT'S GOOD TO RELEASE THE RESULT.

IF THERE ARE ABNORMAL RESULTS FROM THE ASSAY, THE SAMPLE
TEST, THEN YOU CHECK CTN IMAGE. WE SAW THAT BEFORE. THERE WAS
A CHECK OF THE IMAGE BEFORE THEY CALLED THE CRITICAL RESULT.

IF THE CHECK OF THE IMAGE SHOWS BRIDGE OR INTERFERENCE OR COMPROMISE, IF THAT'S THE CASE, THEN YOU GO TO THE BOTTOM, YES, VOID ABNORMAL AND CRITICAL RESULTS.

SO, OF COURSE, IF IT WAS A COMPROMISED SAMPLE, WE DON'T WANT TO CALL IT CRITICAL FOR THE PATIENT IF THE SAMPLE COLLECTION HAD AN ISSUE.

THE SAMPLE COLLECTION IS OF COURSE THE WAY THE SAMPLE IS COLLECTED, THAT'S A HEMOLYSIS ISSUE, NOT, WELL, THE EDISON DEVICE DOESN'T WORK OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, OR THE MODIFIED PREDICATE IN THIS CASE DOESN'T WORK. THIS IS A SAMPLE COLLECTION.

UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS

AND SO DR. ROSENDORFF AGAIN PUT A PROCEDURE IN PLACE, AND

25

10:17AM

10:17AM	1	THEN HE'S CRITICIZING HIS OWN PROCEDURE IN A WAY THAT IS NOT
10:17AM	2	EVEN REALLY CORRECT WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE SOP THAT HE WROTE.
10:17AM	3	SO THE COMPANY LOSES CONFIDENCE IN HIM.
10:17AM	4	BUT ANYWAY, IN ANY EVENT, DR. ROSENDORFF, HE'S ASKED THE
10:17AM	5	QUESTION, AND, IN FACT ALL LABS MAKE ERRORS?
10:17AM	6	HE SAYS YES.
10:17AM	7	AND THEN HE TALKS ABOUT HIS EXPERIENCE WELL, THE
10:17AM	8	QUESTION IS ABOUT HIS EXPERIENCE AT OTHER LABS, INCLUDING THE
10:17AM	9	UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, THERE WERE ERRORS THAT WERE
10:17AM	10	OCCASIONALLY MADE; RIGHT?
10:17AM	11	HE SAYS YES.
10:17AM	12	AND YOU DID YOUR BEST TO CORRECT THEM?
10:17AM	13	HE SAYS YES.
10:17AM	14	OKAY. BUT THIS IS DR. ROSENDORFF'S OWN WORDS FROM
10:17AM	15	JULY 18TH, 2014. SO WHATEVER HE SAYS ON THE WITNESS STAND,
10:18AM	16	THIS IS WHAT HE WROTE TO DR. PHILLIP CHEN WHEN HE WAS
10:18AM	17	RESPONDING TO AN INQUIRY.
10:18AM	18	HE SAYS PHYSICIAN EXPRESSED DOUBTS REGARDING OUR
10:18AM	19	TECHNOLOGY AND REPEATEDLY ASKED ME WHAT OUR METHOD IS, AND HOW
10:18AM	20	WE ENSURE ACCURACY.
10:18AM	21	DR. ROSENDORFF REPLIED THAT THERANOS METHODS HAVE BEEN
10:18AM	22	EXTENSIVELY VALIDATED AGAINST FDA APPROVED PREDICATE METHODS, I
10:18AM	23	DIDN'T DESCRIBE THE THERANOS METHOD PER COMPANY'S NONDISCLOSURE
10:18AM	24	AND CONFIDENTIALITY RULES.
10:18AM	25	AND DR. ROSENDORFF IS THE ONE WHO KNOWS THIS BECAUSE HE'S

10:18AM	1	THE ONE WHO SIGNED ALL OF THOSE VALIDATION REPORTS, SO HE KNOW
10:18AM	2	ALL THE VALIDATION REPORTS ARE COMPARING SAMPLES WITH FDA
10:18AM	3	APPROVED PREDICATE METHODS.
10:18AM	4	SO HE KNOWS THEY'VE BEEN EXTENSIVELY VALIDATED. THAT WAS
10:18AM	5	DR. ROSENDORFF'S OWN WORK, SO HE'S IN THE BEST POSITION TO
10:18AM	6	SPEAK TO THAT AND THAT'S WHAT HE TELLS DR. CHEN.
10:18AM	7	AND HE GOES ON.
10:18AM	8	DR. ROSENDORFF SAYS, PHYSICIAN ASKED IF HE IS THE ONLY
10:18AM	9	M.D. QUERYING LIPID RESULTS.
10:19AM	10	I ANSWERED THAT THE RATE OF PHYSICIAN QUERIES IS NOT
10:19AM	11	HIGHER THAN MIGHT BE EXPECTED AND IS NOT HIGHER THAN IN MY
10:19AM	12	PREVIOUS JOB AT THE UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH.
10:19AM	13	SO HE'S NOT SEEING SOME SPIKE IN PHYSICIAN QUERIES AT
10:19AM	14	THERANOS.
10:19AM	15	OKAY. DR. ROSENDORFF IS ASKED THIS VERY IMPORTANT
10:19AM	16	QUESTION.
10:19AM	17	"AND YOU NEVER PROVIDED PATIENT RESULTS THAT YOU KNEW WERE
10:19AM	18	INACCURATE OR UNRELIABLE AT THE TIME YOU PROVIDED THEM;
10:19AM	19	CORRECT?
10:19AM	20	HE SAYS CORRECT.
10:19AM	21	AND YOU WERE NEVER TOLD BY MR. BALWANI TO REPORT AN
10:19AM	22	INACCURATE REPORT?
10:19AM	23	NO.
10:19AM	24	AND YOU WERE NEVER TOLD BY MS. HOLMES TO REPORT AN
10:19AM	25	INACCURATE REPORT?

1 10:19AM 2 10:19AM 3 10:19AM 4 10:19AM 10:19AM 10:19AM 10:20AM 8 10:20AM 9 10:20AM 10 10:20AM 10:20AM 11 12 10:20AM 13 10:20AM 14 10:20AM 15 10:20AM 16 10:20AM 17 10:20AM 18 10:20AM 19 10:20AM 20 10:20AM 21 10:20AM 22 10:20AM 23 10:20AM 24 10:20AM 25 10:20AM

NO.

SO NO ONE IS DIRECTING HIM TO REPORT INACCURATE RESULTS AND HE DOESN'T EVER RELEASE RESULTS THAT HE THOUGHT, THAT HE BELIEVED WERE INACCURATE.

AND THEN THERE'S OTHER TESTIMONY.

THE QUESTION IS: OKAY. IS IT YOUR TESTIMONY UNDER OATH,

DR. ROSENDORFF, THAT WHILE YOU WERE AT THERANOS, THAT YOU

RELEASED OR ALLOWED THE RELEASE OF RESULTS TO PATIENTS THAT YOU

DID NOT THINK WERE ACCURATE?

AND HIS ANSWER WAS, I NEVER RELEASED RESULTS THAT I THOUGHT WERE INACCURATE, NO.

SO IF DR. ROSENDORFF DOESN'T THINK THAT HE'S RELEASING
INACCURATE RESULTS, HOW WOULD MR. BALWANI THINK THAT THERANOS
WAS RELEASING INACCURATE RESULTS?

DR. ROSENDORFF IS THE LAB DIRECTOR. YES, HE REPORTED TO MR. BALWANI AND MR. BALWANI OVERSAW THE OPERATIONS OF THE CLINICAL LAB, BUT IF DR. ROSENDORFF DOESN'T THINK HE'S RELEASING INACCURATE RESULTS, THERE'S NO WAY THAT MR. BALWANI WOULD HAVE THAT KNOWLEDGE THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS TRYING TO CLAIM THAT HE HAS.

AND THAT'S WHAT THIS CASE IS ABOUT, RIGHT? LIKE, IS

MR. BALWANI KNOWINGLY CHEATING PATIENTS OUT OF THEIR MONEY,

THEIR \$35 OR WHATEVER THEY ARE PAYING, IS MR. BALWANI KNOWINGLY

AND INTENTIONALLY DOING THAT KNOWING THAT THE LAB IS

INTENSIONALLY RELEASING INACCURATE RESULTS? THAT'S REASONABLE

DOUBT RIGHT THERE. 1 10:20AM NOW, THE GOVERNMENT LIKES TO TALK ABOUT THE NEXT TOPIC, 2 10:21AM WHICH IS TEMPORARY LAB DIRECTORS. SO THIS IS DR. DHAWAN AND 3 10:21AM 4 MS. SAWYER. 10:21AM THE PICTURE THE GOVERNMENT IS TRYING TO PAINT IS THAT 10:21AM MR. BALWANI DOESN'T LIKE DR. ROSENDORFF ASKING QUESTIONS SO 10:21AM HE'S NOW GOING TO FIND PEOPLE THAT MAY NOT ASK SO MANY 10:21AM 8 QUESTIONS. 10:21AM THAT SOUNDS LIKE A NICE STORY FROM THE GOVERNMENT'S 9 10:21AM PERSPECTIVE, BUT IT'S JUST NOT TRUE. 10 10:21AM HERE'S WHAT HAPPENS. FIRST OF ALL, JUST TO SET A BASELINE 10:21AM 11 12 HERE, THE GOVERNMENT LIKES TO SAY, WELL DR. DHAWAN WAS 10:21AM 13 MR. BALWANI'S DERMATOLOGIST. 10:21AM 14 WELL, YEAH, THAT'S TRUE. MR. BALWANI HAD BEEN A PATIENT 10:21AM OF DR. DHAWAN'S. 15 10:21AM BUT DR. DHAWAN ALSO WAS A MEDICAL DOCTOR WHO RAN HIS OWN 16 10:21AM 17 CLINICAL LAB AND HAD THE QUALIFICATIONS TO BE A LAB DIRECTOR. 10:22AM AND AS YOU MIGHT REMEMBER, THE ORIGINAL REQUEST FROM 18 10:22AM 10:22AM 19 MR. BALWANI IS, DR. DHAWAN, DO YOU WANT TO DO THIS OR DO YOU 20 KNOW SOMEONE ELSE WHO COULD DO THIS? AND IT WASN'T ABOUT, 10:22AM 21 OKAY, IT'S GOT TO BE DR. DHAWAN, I'M HAND PICKING THIS GUY. 10:22AM 22 SO DR. DHAWAN AGREED TO BECOME THE LAB DIRECTOR. THERE 10:22AM WAS A CO-LAB DIRECTOR NAMED DR. SAWYER. WE'LL TALK ABOUT THAT 23 10:22AM 24 IN A MINUTE. 10:22AM 25 LET'S JUST TALK ABOUT THESE TEMPORARY LAB DIRECTORS AND 10:22AM

WHAT IS REQUIRED AND WHAT IS NOT REQUIRED. 1 10:22AM SO WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 2 10:22AM A LAB DIRECTOR DOES NOT HAVE TO BE IN THE LAB FULL TIME. 3 10:22AM 10:22AM 4 THAT'S NOT THE REQUIREMENT. A LAB DIRECTOR COULD BE A LAB DIRECTOR OF AS MANY AS FIVE 10:22AM 5 LABS AT THE SAME TIME. 6 10:22AM DR. DHAWAN SAID THE SAME THING AS DR. ROSENDORFF ABOUT 10:22AM THAT TOPIC. 8 10:22AM AND DR. SAWYER SAID THE SAME THING AS WELL. 9 10:22AM OKAY. DR. SAWYER'S UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT HER POSITION 10 10:23AM WAS TEMPORARY AND THAT A NEW LAB DIRECTOR WOULD COME ON IN 10:23AM 11 10:23AM 12 THREE MONTHS. THAT WAS HER EXPECTATION AND THAT'S WHAT SHE 13 BELIEVED BASED ON THE INFORMATION THAT SHE HAD WHEN SHE STARTED 10:23AM 14 THIS JOB, RIGHT? 10:23AM SO NO ONE WAS PICKING TEMPORARY LAB DIRECTORS TO BE THERE 15 10:23AM FOR ALL TIME. 16 10:23AM 17 NEXT SLIDE. 10:23AM 18 DR. DHAWAN WAS AWARE THAT DR. SURAJ SAKSENA BEGAN THE 10:23AM 10:23AM 19 PROCESS TO BECOME QUALIFIED AS A LAB DIRECTOR AT THE HIGH 20 COMPLEXITY LAB, WHICH WAS NEWARK, IN 2014, THAT HE HAD BEEN 10:23AM SEEKING TO DO THIS SINCE 2014. 21 10:23AM 22 DR. SAKSENA, YOU'VE SEEN MANY EMAILS WITH HIS NAME ON 10:23AM THEM, AND HE WAS SOMEONE WHO WORKED AT THE LAB AND CONTINUED TO 23 10:23AM 24 WORK AT THE LAB, AND HE WAS QUALIFYING TO BE THE LAB DIRECTOR 10:23AM 25 AT NEWARK IN 2014. 10:24AM

1 10:24AM 2 10:24AM 3 10:24AM 4 10:24AM 10:24AM 10:24AM 10:24AM 8 10:24AM 9 10:24AM 10 10:24AM 10:24AM 11 12 10:24AM 13 10:24AM 14 10:24AM 15 10:24AM 16 10:24AM 17 10:25AM 10:25AM 18 19 10:25AM 10:25AM 20 21 10:25AM 22 10:25AM 23 10:25AM

24

25

10:25AM

10:25AM

REMEMBER, DR. DHAWAN LEFT NEAR THE END OF NOVEMBER OF 2014. SO THE INTENTION WAS NOT TO HAVE ABSENTEE LAB DIRECTORS, AS THE GOVERNMENT CALLS THEM, BUT TO HAVE DR. SAKSENA IN PLACE AS THE LAB DIRECTOR, AND THAT'S WHAT DR. DHAWAN WAS AWARE OF AS WELL WAS GOING ON.

OKAY. THE NEXT DOCUMENT.

SO MR. BALWANI WAS INFORMED ABOUT THIS PROCESS. SO THIS IS DR. SAKSENA ACTUALLY FILING HIS ONLINE APPLICATION FOR CLINICAL LABORATORY DIRECTOR LICENSURE WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH.

AND DR. SAKSENA IS REPORTING THIS. HE SAYS THAT HE APPLIED WITH THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH LABORATORY FIELD SERVICES FOR A CLINICAL LABORATORY DIRECTOR LICENSURE.

HE SAYS, I APPLIED ON MAY 20TH OF 2015, SUBMITTED A SIGNED COPY OF THE ATTESTATION FORM ON MAY 26TH, 2015. SEE BELOW MY CORRESPONDENCE.

HE SAYS, IT HAS BEEN CLOSE TO 9 WEEKS SINCE MY APPLICATION WAS SUBMITTED. CAN YOU PLEASE LET ME KNOW WHEN I CAN EXPECT TO HEAR FROM YOU REGARDING THE APPROVAL OF MY APPLICATION. PLEASE LET ME KNOW IF THERE'S ANYTHING I CAN DO TO HELP EXPEDITE THE PROCESS.

SO DR. SAKSENA IS TRYING TO MOVE THIS PROCESS ALONG. IT'S GOING SLOWLY WITH THE STATE REGULATORS.

THE POINT IS THAT MR. BALWANI IS TOLD WHEN HE GETS THIS

10:25AM	1	EMAIL, THAT'S WHAT DR. SAKSENA IS DOING IS TRYING TO BE THE LAB
10:25AM	2	DIRECTOR. THERE'S NOT AN INTENTION TO KEEP DR. DHAWAN OR
10:25AM	3	DR. SAWYER AS ABSENTEE LAB DIRECTORS.
10:25AM	4	BY THE WAY, DR. SAKSENA AND OTHER SCIENTISTS THAT WE'LL
10:25AM	5	TALK ABOUT IN A FEW MINUTES, THEY'RE STILL THERE. THEY ARE
10:25AM	6	SCIENTISTS WHO WERE RUNNING THE LAB. IT'S NOT LIKE NO ONE IS
10:25AM	7	HOME AND SOMEHOW THE BLOOD TESTING IS GOING ON WITH UNQUALIFIED
10:25AM	8	PEOPLE.
10:25AM	9	THIS EMAIL CHAIN GOES ON. YOU CAN SEE THAT MR. BALWANI IS
10:26AM	10	COPIED ON THIS ONE.
10:26AM	11	DR. SAKSENA WRITES TO MR. BALWANI, HI SUNNY,
10:26AM	12	PLEASE SEE BELOW.
10:26AM	13	I AM VERY PLEASED TO SEE THAT NRCC IS NOW ON THEIR LIST OF
10:26AM	14	APPROVED BOARDS FOR CLINICAL CHEMIST.
10:26AM	15	HE SAYS THEY ARE ASKING ME TO SUBMIT A HARD COPY OF MY
10:26AM	16	PH.D. DISSERTATION AND ALSO MY TRANSCRIPTS. MY TRANSCRIPTS
10:26AM	17	HAVE ALREADY BEEN SENT TO LFS, SO I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHAT THE
10:26AM	18	ISSUE IS HERE.
10:26AM	19	SO THEY'RE TRYING TO PUSH THIS ALONG AND THAT'S WHAT
10:26AM	20	MR. BALWANI IS INFORMED OF.
10:26AM	21	AS I SAID A FEW MINUTES AGO, THE SCIENTIFIC LEADERSHIP
10:26AM	22	TEAM IS STILL THERE: DANIEL YOUNG, DR. YOUNG, DR. SAKSENA,
10:26AM	23	DR. PANGARKAR, DR. MASINDE, HODA ALAMDAR, GURBIR SIDHU,
10:26AM	24	LANGLY GEE, AND NOSHIT DOSHI.
10:26AM	25	SO THOSE REMAIN, THE SCIENTIFIC LEADERSHIP TEAM,

REGARDLESS OF WHO THE LAB DIRECTOR IS. 1 10:27AM AND AS WE SAW FROM THE TESTIMONY OF DR. SAWYER, YOU CAN 2 10:27AM DELEGATE RESPONSIBILITIES TO OTHER SCIENTISTS IN THE LAB AND 3 10:27AM 4 THAT'S WHAT DR. SAWYER IS TALKING ABOUT HERE. SHE'S ACTUALLY 10:27AM TALKING ABOUT THE DELEGATION TO MR. GEE, THE QUALITY CONTROL 10:27AM MANAGER. 10:27AM AND DR. DHAWAN ALSO TALKS ABOUT THE DELEGATION. 10:27AM UNDERSTANDS HE'S ABLE TO DELEGATE DUTIES AND THERE WAS A 8 10:27AM 9 DOCUMENT THAT HE SAW WHERE HE DID DELEGATE DUTIES. 10:27AM IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 10 10:27AM 10:27AM 11 AND HE BELIEVED THAT HE HAD BEEN DELEGATING ALL ALONG. 12 SO THE SCIENTIFIC TEAM IS STILL THERE. IT'S NOT 10:27AM 13 MR. BALWANI RUNNING THE LAB. 10:27AM 14 OKAY. REGARDING DR. SAWYER, DR. SAWYER IS CO-LAB DIRECTOR 10:27AM AND SHE COMES IN BECAUSE THERE'S A CONSULTANT NAMED JERRY HURST 15 10:28AM WHO SHE WORKED FOR, AND SHE, THROUGH THAT CONSULTANT 16 10:28AM 17 ARRANGEMENT THAT THERANOS HAD, WAS BROUGHT IN AS A 10:28AM 18 CO-LAB DIRECTOR. SO IT WASN'T JUST DR. DHAWAN. IT WAS ALSO 10:28AM 19 DR. SAWYER. 10:28AM NOW, DR. SAWYER TESTIFIED THAT SHE DIDN'T REALLY DO VERY 10:28AM 20 21 MUCH. YOU KNOW, SHE SIGNED SOME SOP'S. WE SAW SOME OF THOSE. 10:28AM BUT WHY? IT WASN'T MR. BALWANI WHO WAS STANDING IN HER 22 10:28AM WAY. SHE SAYS SHE NEVER CALLED THE LAB TO SCHEDULE AN 23 10:28AM APPOINTMENT TO GO SEE THE LAB. 24 10:28AM SHE KNEW THE ADDRESS OF THE LAB, BUT SHE NEVER TOOK A 25 10:28AM

DRIVE OVER THERE TO VISIT THE LAB. 1 10:28AM GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 2 10:28AM SHE KNEW WHO SOME OF THE PEOPLE WERE. IN THIS CASE SHE'S 3 10:28AM 4 GOT THE NAMES OF THE PEOPLE THERE, GODFRED MASINDE, 10:28AM HODA ALAMDAR, LANGLY GEE, WHO SHE DELEGATED TO. SHE SEES 10:28AM BROOKE BIVENS. SHE HAS ALL OF THESE NAMES AND SHE'S FREE TO 10:28AM GIVE THEM A CALL OR STOP BY THE LAB AND CHAT WITH THEM. 10:28AM AND SHE SAYS SHE DIDN'T REACH OUT TO ANY OF THESE PEOPLE 8 10:29AM 9 ABOUT THE THERANOS LAB, THAT SHE SAW THE DIFFERENT NAMES THAT 10:29AM WERE LAB PERSONNEL, AND SHE SAW THEIR TITLES, AND SHE DIDN'T 10 10:29AM REACH OUT TO THEM. 10:29AM 11 12 AND SHE DOESN'T EXPLAIN WHY NOT. 10:29AM 13 AND SHE CERTAINLY DIDN'T SAY, WELL, MR. BALWANI TOLD ME 10:29AM 14 NOT TO, OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. 10:29AM SO IT'S NOT CLEAR WHY DR. SAWYER WASN'T DOING HER JOB. IF 15 10:29AM 16 SHE NEEDED TO TALK TO THE LAB STAFF, SHE WAS FREE TO DO THAT, 10:29AM 17 AND SHE TESTIFIED THAT WAY. 10:29AM NOW, MORE IMPORTANTLY, THE GOVERNMENT IS PAINTING A 18 10:29AM PICTURE HERE THAT THERE'S BASICALLY NO ONE HOME. LIKE THERE'S 10:29AM 19 NO LAB DIRECTOR, THERE'S NO ONE TO TALK TO. IF A PHYSICIAN HAS 10:29AM 20 21 AN INQUIRY, THERE'S NOTHING YOU CAN DO. 10:29AM 22 THAT'S THE OPPOSITE OF WHAT HAPPENED HERE. 10:29AM AND WE HEARD FROM DR. BURNES. AND DR. BURNES SAYS -- AND 23 10:29AM 24 THIS IS BECAUSE HE HAD A PATIENT DR. ELLSWORTH WHO YOU SAW AS 10:29AM 25 WELL, AND THIS WAS AN ISSUE THAT AROSE REGARDING THE PSA TEST 10:29AM

10:30AM	1	THAT DR. ELLSWORTH HAD.
10:30AM	2	DR. BURNES SAYS QUESTION: AND YOU HAD A CONVERSATION
10:30AM	3	WITH THE LAB?
10:30AM	4	DR. BURNES SAYS YES.
10:30AM	5	OKAY. I THINK YOU FOUND YOU SAID YOU FOUND THEM
10:30AM	6	PLEASANT TO TALK TO?
10:30AM	7	HE SAYS YES.
10:30AM	8	AND THEN HE'S LOOKING AT AN EXHIBIT, 4415.
10:30AM	9	AND HE SAYS THIS TIME IN THIS CALL YOU SPOKE TO THE LAB
10:30AM	10	DIRECTORS, AND THAT WAS DR. YOUNG?
10:30AM	11	AND HE SAYS I BELIEVE SO. I ASKED FOR THE NATIONAL LAB
10:30AM	12	DIRECTOR.
10:30AM	13	QUESTION. AND YOU WERE CONNECTED WITH DR. YOUNG?
10:30AM	14	I BELIEVE SO.
10:30AM	15	HE DOESN'T REMEMBER THE NAME.
10:30AM	16	SO WE'LL CALL HIM THE LAB DIRECTOR IN THAT CASE.
10:30AM	17	SO THE LAB DIRECTOR THAT YOU TALKED TO, YOU UNDERSTAND
10:30AM	18	THAT WAS HIS POSITION?
10:30AM	19	YES.
10:30AM	20	AND THE LAB DIRECTOR WAS ALSO PLEASANT AND RESPONSIVE TO
10:30AM	21	YOUR CONCERNS?
10:30AM	22	YES.
10:30AM	23	SO HE WAS ABLE VERY EASILY TO GET AHOLD OF DR. YOUNG WHO
10:30AM	24	WAS THE LAB DIRECTOR IN ARIZONA AND A QUALIFIED SCIENTIST TO
10:30AM	25	TALK TO ABOUT WHAT HE WANTED TO TALK ABOUT.

10:30AM	1	SO THIS IMPRESSION THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS TRYING TO LEAVE
10:31AM	2	YOU WITH IS THAT THERE'S NO ONE HOME, THERE'S NO ONE TO TALK
10:31AM	3	TO, DR. DHAWAN IS NOT RESPONDING TO PHYSICIAN QUERIES.
10:31AM	4	WELL, DR. BURNES HAD NO TROUBLE, NO TROUBLE GETTING
10:31AM	5	THROUGH TO DR. YOUNG TO ASK WHATEVER QUESTIONS HE WANTED TO
10:31AM	6	ASK. HE FOUND THE EXPERIENCE QUITE PLEASANT.
10:31AM	7	IT GOES FURTHER.
10:31AM	8	QUESTION. YOU'VE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH OTHER PEOPLE WHO
10:31AM	9	WORK AT DIFFERENT LABORATORIES?
10:31AM	10	DR. BURNES SAYS, TO BE HONEST, VERY INFREQUENTLY, AND
10:31AM	11	MOSTLY BECAUSE THEY WEREN'T AS EASY TO ANSWER THE PHONE AS
10:31AM	12	THERANOS WAS.
10:31AM	13	OKAY.
10:31AM	14	IT'S VERY DIFFICULT TO GET AHOLD OF SOMEBODY AT, LIKE,
10:31AM	15	LABCORP.
10:31AM	16	I SEE. IN THE THERANOS CASE IT WAS EASY TO GET AHOLD OF
10:31AM	17	SOMEONE?
10:31AM	18	YES, IT WAS. THAT'S WHY I REMEMBER IT SO WELL.
10:31AM	19	SO NOT ONLY DID DR. BURNES TALK TO DR. YOUNG, HE ALSO
10:31AM	20	FOUND IT EXTREMELY EASY, WHERE HE FOUND IT VERY DIFFICULT TO
10:31AM	21	GET AHOLD OF SOMEONE AT A COMPETITIVE OF THERANOS CALLED
10:31AM	22	LABCORP, WHICH YOU'VE HEARD SOME THINGS ABOUT.
10:31AM	23	AGAIN, THIS IDERE THAT NO ONE IS HOME AT THE LAB, IT'S
10:32AM	24	JUST NOT TRUE.
10:32AM	25	AND, OF COURSE, THERANOS HAD THE HOURS IN THE LAB. THE

10:32AM	1	GOVERNMENT HAS TALKED VERY LITTLE OR NOT AT ALL ABOUT THAT LAB.
10:32AM	2	YOU CAN SEE THAT, IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, THERE WERE
10:32AM	3	42 STORES OPEN, OR 42 COLLECTION CENTERS, INCLUDING THE
10:32AM	4	WALGREENS STORES OPENED IN ARIZONA, AND THAT THERE WAS ONE IN
10:32AM	5	CALIFORNIA.
10:32AM	6	DR. YOUNG WAS THE LAB DIRECTOR IN ARIZONA, AS I'VE SAID.
10:32AM	7	NEXT SLIDE.
10:32AM	8	DR. DHAWAN KNEW THAT THERANOS OPENED A LAB IN ARIZONA AND
10:32AM	9	THAT DR. YOUNG WAS THE LAB DIRECTOR.
10:32AM	10	SO, AGAIN, THERE'S A REASON THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS NOT
10:32AM	11	PRESENTING OR NOT TALKING TO ANYONE IN ARIZONA ABOUT THE LAB.
10:32AM	12	THERE'S A REASON WHY THE GOVERNMENT IS NOT PRESENTING
10:32AM	13	DR. YOUNG, WHO, AGAIN, WAS ON SOMETHING LIKE 78 EMAILS IN THIS
10:32AM	14	CASE.
10:32AM	15	BUT YOU DIDN'T HEAR FROM HIM BECAUSE THIS IS NOT
10:32AM	16	CONSISTENT WITH THE GOVERNMENT'S STORY THAT THEY WANT TO TELL
10:33AM	17	ABOUT ABSENTEE LAB DIRECTORS AND SORT OF CHAOS.
10:33AM	18	OKAY. IT'S IMPORTANT TO SPEND JUST A LITTLE BIT OF TIME
10:33AM	19	TALKING ABOUT SARAH BENNETT AND CMS. AND AS YOU'VE HEARD, THE
10:33AM	20	CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES, CMS, AMONG OTHER
10:33AM	21	THINGS THAT AGENCY DOES, IT REGULATES CLINICAL LABORATORIES IN
10:33AM	22	THE UNITED STATES.
10:33AM	23	AND MS. BENNETT WAS THE WITNESS WHO YOU HEARD FROM FROM
10:33AM	24	CMS. MS. BENNETT WAS AN INSPECTOR FROM THE HEADQUARTERS
10:33AM	25	OFFICE.

1 10:33AM 2 10:33AM 3 10:33AM 4 10:33AM 10:33AM 10:33AM 10:34AM 8 10:34AM 9 10:34AM 10 10:34AM 10:34AM 11 12 10:34AM 13 10:34AM 14 10:34AM 15 10:34AM 16 10:34AM 17 10:34AM 10:34AM 18 19 10:34AM 10:34AM 20 21 10:34AM 22 10:34AM 23 10:34AM 24 10:35AM 25 10:35AM

THERE WAS ANOTHER INSPECTOR THAT YOU DID NOT HEAR FROM NAMED GARY YAMAMOTO. MR. YAMAMOTO WAS AN INSPECTOR FROM THE LOCAL SAN FRANCISCO OFFICE OF CMS AND WAS ALSO INVOLVED IN THIS INSPECTION STARTING IN SEPTEMBER OF 2015, AND WE'LL TALK MORE ABOUT MR. YAMAMOTO IN A MINUTE.

SO BEFORE WE GET TO THE 2015 INFORMATION, I JUST WANT TO SPEND A MINUTE ON AN EARLIER INSPECTION. AND YOU MIGHT REMEMBER THE TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE WAS THAT THESE ROUTINE INSPECTIONS HAPPENED ABOUT EVERY TWO YEARS.

SO THE PREVIOUS ONE OCCURRED IN ABOUT DECEMBER OF 2013. THERE WAS AN INSPECTOR FROM THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH WHO CAME AND INSPECTED THERANOS IN DECEMBER OF 2013.

AND DURING TRIAL AND ON CLOSING ARGUMENT, THE GOVERNMENT TRIED TO SUGGEST THAT THERE WAS SOME PLAN TO NOT ALLOW THIS 2013 INSPECTOR TO SEE WHAT WAS CALLED THE NORMANDY LAB, THE LAB THAT WAS PARTLY AN R&D LAB BUT IT WAS ALSO A CLINICAL LAB RUNNING THE THERANOS PROPRIETARY TESTING LIKE THE EDISONS AND THE MODIFIED PREDICATES.

AND THE GOVERNMENTS PAINTS THIS PICTURE THAT THIS INSPECTOR WAS SOMEHOW DENIED ACCESS.

FIRST OF ALL, DR. ROSENDORFF NEVER TESTIFIED TO THAT. HE SAID -- AND YOU SAW THIS TESTIMONY YESTERDAY, I THINK MR. SCHENK PUT IT ON THE SCREEN -- THAT IT WAS SIMPLY A MATTER OF MR. BALWANI NOT WANTING THE PEOPLE GOING IN AND OUT OF THE LAB DURING THE TIME THAT THE LAB WAS INSPECTED.

10:35AM	1	DR. ROSENDORFF DID NOT TESTIFY THAT PEOPLE WERE DENIED
10:35AM	2	ACCESS OR, YOU KNOW, MS. NORKIN WAS NOT ALLOWED THERE OR SOME
10:35AM	3	DECEPTION WAS ORCHESTRATED.
10:35AM	4	BUT HERE'S WHAT DID HAPPEN, AND THIS IS WHAT WE, THE
10:35AM	5	DEFENSE, HAVE TO SHOW YOU.
10:35AM	6	DR. ROSENDORFF IS ASKED A QUESTION, AND THEN YOU ALSO SAID
10:35AM	7	IN YOUR DIRECT EXAMINATION THAT YOU SHOWED HER VALIDATION
10:35AM	8	REPORTS?
10:35AM	9	HE SAID YES.
10:35AM	10	AND THE VALIDATION REPORTS WERE FOR THE EDISON DEVICE?
10:35AM	11	I BELIEVE SO, YES.
10:35AM	12	AND ALSO FOR THE MODIFIED PREDICATE DEVICE?
10:35AM	13	YES.
10:35AM	14	AND IT SAYS, I WAS INFORMING HER, MEANING THE INSPECTOR
10:35AM	15	FROM THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH, I WAS
10:35AM	16	INFORMING HER OF THE LDT'S THAT WE WERE RUNNING.
10:35AM	17	QUESTION. RIGHT. AND THE LDT'S WERE THE EDISON AND THE
10:35AM	18	MODIFIED PREDICATE; RIGHT?
10:35AM	19	CORRECT.
10:35AM	20	AND THE LAST QUESTION THERE, AND IT'S HIGHLIGHTED, YOU
10:35AM	21	WEREN'T TRYING TO HIDE ANYTHING FROM MS. NORKIN, THE CALIFORNIA
10:36AM	22	INSPECTOR, WERE YOU?
10:36AM	23	I WAS NOT.
10:36AM	24	SO DR. ROSENDORFF TESTIFIED THAT HE SHOWED HER THE REPORTS
10:36AM	25	FOR THE LABORATORY DEVELOPED TESTS FOR THE THERANOS PROPRIETARY

10:36AM	1	TECHNOLOGY. NOTHING WAS BEING HIDDEN FROM MS. NORKIN IN
10:36AM	2	DECEMBER OF 2013.
10:36AM	3	AND, IN FACT, TALKING ABOUT MR. BALWANI FOR HIS PART, HE'S
10:36AM	4	ASKED A QUESTION AND IT STARTS WITH THIS EMAIL FROM
10:36AM	5	SCOTT MARMER TO DR. ROSENDORFF.
10:36AM	6	AND IT SAYS, "APPROVED CLA CLIA INSPECTORS" IS THE TOPIC
10:36AM	7	AND IT'S DECEMBER 1ST, 2013, BEFORE THAT INSPECTION.
10:36AM	8	"APPROVED WITH ADAM TO ESCORT.
10:36AM	9	"EDGAR, THESE ARE GOVERNMENT INSPECTORS SO PLEASE CHECK
10:36AM	10	WITH SUNNY WHETHER OR NOT HE WANTS SECURITY TO ESCORT FOR THIS
10:36AM	11	ONE. THEY PROBABLY WON'T SIGN CDA'S," CONFIDENTIALITY
10:36AM	12	AGREEMENTS, "SINCE THEY ARE GOVERNMENT INSPECTORS."
10:36AM	13	IT GOES ON. MR. PAZ WRITES TO MR. BALWANI. "HELLO SUNNY,
10:37AM	14	"PLEASE READ THE EMAIL BELOW AND LET ME KNOW HOW YOU
10:37AM	15	WOULD LIKE US TO PROCEED WITH THE CLIA INSPECTOR VISIT."
10:37AM	16	AND SUNNY WRITES, "APPROVED.
10:37AM	17	"SCOTT CAN APPROVE SUCH VISITS."
10:37AM	18	SO HE DOESN'T EVEN NEED TO BE INVOLVED.
10:37AM	19	AND MR. PAZ WANTS TO BE REALLY SURE SO HE SAYS, "CORRECT,
10:37AM	20	WOULD YOU LIKE FOR US TO PROVIDE SECURITY ESCORT DURING THE
10:37AM	21	VISIT INTO BOTH CLIA AREAS?"
10:37AM	22	AND MR. BALWANI SIMPLY RESPONDS WITH A SIMPLE, "NO." HE
10:37AM	23	DOESN'T NEED A SECURITY ESCORT FOR THIS CALIFORNIA INSPECTOR,
10:37AM	24	HE'S NOT ARGUING WITH THAT, HE'S NOT ORCHESTRATING SPECIAL
10:37AM	25	SECRECY PROCEDURES.

SO THE IDEA THAT SOMEHOW SOMETHING WAS HIDDEN FROM THIS 1 10:37AM CALIFORNIA INSPECTOR IS ANOTHER IMAGINARY TOPIC THAT THE 2 10:37AM GOVERNMENT HAS PUT BEFORE YOU. 3 10:37AM 4 AND WHAT HAPPENS AFTER THE INSPECTION? CALIFORNIA AND CMS 10:37AM ISSUES A CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE, AND THAT'S ISSUED ON 10:37AM JANUARY 9TH, 2014, JUST A FEW DAYS AFTER THAT INSPECTION. 10:38AM SO I THINK THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU DURING TRIAL AN 10:38AM EXHIBIT THAT SHOWED THERE WAS SOME ISSUES IDENTIFIED DURING 8 10:38AM 9 THAT INSPECTION, AND I THINK IF YOU USE YOUR COMMON SENSE, I 10:38AM DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S EVER BEEN AN AUDIT OR INSPECTION IN THE 10 10:38AM HISTORY OF THE WORLD WHERE THE AUDITOR DIDN'T FIND SOME ISSUES. 10:38AM 11 12 BUT WHATEVER THOSE WERE, THEY WERE QUICKLY RESOLVED, AND 10:38AM 13 ON JANUARY 9TH, 2014, CMS ISSUED A CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE, 10:38AM 14 ADAM ROSENDORFF, M.D., DIRECTOR. 10:38AM SO LET'S GO TO THE 2015 INSPECTION. 15 10:38AM 16 SO, FIRST OF ALL, THE GOVERNMENT CALLED MS. BENNETT, BUT 10:38AM 17 AS YOU MIGHT REMEMBER FROM HER CROSS-EXAMINATION, SHE 10:38AM 18 REPEATEDLY CLAIMED NOT TO KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THE FINGERSTICK 10:38AM 19 ASSAY PART OF THE INSPECTION, AND THE REASON FOR THAT WAS SHE 10:38AM DID NOT SURVEY THE FINGERSTICK PART OF THE LABORATORY. SHE DID 10:39AM 20 21 NOT EXAMINE ANY OF THE FINGERSTICK TESTING ON THE MODIFIED 10:39AM 22 PREDICATE DEVICES. 10:39AM SO THEY CALLED MS. BENNETT WHO DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT WHAT 23 10:39AM 24 SEEMS TO BE A KEY ISSUE IN THIS CASE WHICH WAS THE FINGERSTICK 10:39AM 25 TESTING, BUT INSTEAD THEY CALLED SOMEONE WHO DIDN'T ACTUALLY DO 10:39AM

THAT, RATHER THAN MR. YAMAMOTO. 1 10:39AM AND I THINK WE CAN SEE THE REASON WHY. IN THIS TEXT 2 10:39AM MESSAGE STRING, MR. BALWANI IS REPORTING THAT "GARY IS TRYING 3 10:39AM 4 TO BE PRO THERANOS." 10:39AM SO THE LAST THING THE GOVERNMENT WANTS IS A PRO THERANOS 10:39AM WITNESS ON THE STAND. 10:39AM NOW, YOU SAW DURING TRIAL THERE WERE SOME TEXT MESSAGES 10:39AM THAT GO ON DURING THE INSPECTION. YOU KNOW, CLEARLY HAVING 8 10:39AM 9 INSPECTORS COME IS GOING TO BE A LITTLE STRESSFUL, BUT HAVING 10:39AM INSPECTORS FINDING DEFICIENCIES IS EVEN GOING TO BE MORE 10 10:39AM STRESSFUL, SO NOT SURPRISINGLY THERE'S SOME TEXT MESSAGES THAT 10:39AM 11 12 GO ON DURING THIS PROCESS. I THINK NATURALLY ANYBODY WOULD BE 10:40AM 13 FOCUSSED ON THIS. 10:40AM AND ON SEPTEMBER 22ND, ELIZABETH HOLMES WRITES, "CAN WE 14 10:40AM GET SOMEONE HERE TO SUPPLEMENT/UPDATE/HELP EXPLAIN?" 15 10:40AM 16 AND THEN LATER IN THE STRING ON SEPTEMBER 23RD, THIS IS 10:40AM 17 DURING THE INSPECTION, MR. BALWANI WRITES, "DANIEL HAS NOTHING 10:40AM 18 READY. 10:40AM 10:40AM 19 "TOLD ME EVERYTHING IS IN THE BINDERS. 20 "NOT THERE." 10:40AM SO MR. BALWANI IS CLEARLY SURPRISED. HE THOUGHT HIS TEAM 21 10:40AM 22 WAS READY, THEY HAD PREPARED FOR THIS, THEY HAD THE 10:40AM DOCUMENTATION ORGANIZED IN BINDERS. 23 10:40AM 24 INSTEAD, HE'S FINDING TO HIS CHAGRIN THAT IT'S NOT. SO 10:40AM 25 HE'S DISAPPOINTED. 10:40AM

AND, YOU KNOW, THIS ISSUE ABOUT MS. BENNETT HAD TROUBLE GETTING DOCUMENTS. WELL, THAT MAY BE THE CASE.

BUT MR. BALWANI WAS SURPRISED AT THAT AND HE THOUGHT THAT THE TEAM HAD IT ORGANIZED.

BUT LET'S TALK ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED THAT SAME DAY.

SO, REMEMBER, THIS IS ANOTHER TEXT MESSAGE. SO THIS IS
ELIZABETH HOLMES AND MR. BALWANI. THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMS THESE
WERE CONSPIRATORS WHO WERE WORKING TOGETHER TO COMMIT FRAUD ON
PATIENTS AND INVESTORS, AND THERE'S NO ONE ELSE LISTENING HERE
AS FAR AS I KNOW. THESE ARE JUST TEXT MESSAGES THAT THEY'RE
SENDING, RIGHT?

AND ELIZABETH HOLMES WRITES, "YOU MAY WANT TO WALK HIM
THROUGH THE REPORTS WITH CHINMAY BEFORE HE LEAVES. I CAN
QUICKLY BRIEF YOU ON THIS. YOU COULD GET THROUGH THEM AND GET
IT DONE. DATA IS GOOD. FORMATTING MAY BE DIFFERENT THAN WHAT
HE IS USED TO BUT SUBSTANCE IS THERE."

SO THAT'S WHAT MS. HOLMES IS TELLING MR. BALWANI IN A PRIVATE TEXT MESSAGE. NOT, BOY, THE JIG IS UP, INSPECTORS ARE HERE, THEY FOUND THE PROBLEMS WE ALWAYS KNEW ABOUT.

SHE SAYS, NO, THE DATA IS GOOD, THE SUBSTANCE IS THERE.

SO THIS IS -- THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMS THESE TEXT MESSAGES

ARE EVIDENCE OF SOME KIND OF CONSPIRACY.

THIS IS THE OPPOSITE OF THAT. IN A PRIVATE TEXT MESSAGE,

IF THAT WAS REALLY WHAT THEY WERE DOING, THIS WOULD NOT BE THE

TEXT MESSAGE THAT THEY WOULD BE SENDING.

NOW, EARLIER IN SEPTEMBER, AND THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED THIS 1 10:42AM TO YOU, TOO, MR. BALWANI WRITES, "WE CAN BUILD THIS BUSINESS 2 10:42AM THEY SOFTWARE AND JP AND RUN CIRCLES AROUND OTHERS AND FDA BY 3 10:42AM 4 MANIPULATING THEIR GAME." 10:42AM OKAY. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? ARE PEOPLE LOOKING FOR WAYS 10:42AM TO DEAL WITH REGULATORS? OF COURSE. I MEAN, THAT IS BUSINESS 10:42AM VERSUS REGULATORS. YOU KNOW, THERE'S ALWAYS ISSUES ABOUT HOW 10:42AM TO DEAL WITH REGULATORS. 8 10:42AM 9 BUT IN THIS CASE WHAT MR. BALWANI SAYS NEXT IS, "WE JUST 10:42AM NEED A FRESH START AND A GIANT STEP BACK. LIKE WE DISCUSSED," 10 10:42AM IT COULD BE LAST NIGHT. 10:42AM 11 12 AND IT SAYS, "DO CTN PMA ON OUR TERMS AND THEN GO 10:42AM 13 INTELLIGENT ON MARKETING." 10:43AM 14 AND THEN LATER ON SEPTEMBER 2ND, ELIZABETH HOLMES WRITES, 10:43AM "WITH PMA YOU CAN MARKET WHATEVER YOU WANT." 15 10:43AM 16 SO MS. BENNETT EXPLAINED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT PMA, IT'S A 10:43AM 17 PREMARKET APPROVAL. IT'S ANOTHER FILING YOU MAKE WITH THE FDA. 10:43AM 18 SO IF SOMEONE WANTS TO RUN CIRCLES AROUND THE FDA, IT 10:43AM SEEMS THAT MR. BALWANI'S PLAN IS TO SUBMIT ANOTHER APPROVAL 19 10:43AM DOCUMENT CALLED A PREMARKET APPROVAL FOR THE FDA FOR 10:43AM 20 THERANOS'S TECHNOLOGY. AND I'M NOT SURE HOW THAT RUNS CIRCLES, 21 10:43AM 22 BUT THAT SEEMS TO BE WHAT HE'S TALKING ABOUT. 10:43AM AND IF YOU THINK THAT YOUR LABORATORY AND YOUR TECHNOLOGY 23 10:43AM 24 IS TERRIBLE AND THAT IT'S NEVER GOING TO GO ANYWHERE, THE LAST 10:43AM

THING YOU DO IS SUBMIT MORE APPROVAL DOCUMENTS.

25

10:43AM

REMEMBER A FEW MONTHS BEFORE THIS, THERANOS HAD GOTTEN 1 10:43AM APPROVAL FROM THE FDA FOR HSV-1 ASSAY RUNNING ON THE SYSTEM. 2 10:44AM SO THE IDEA THAT THIS MEANS ANYTHING RELEVANT TO THIS 3 10:44AM 4 CRIMINAL CASE IS OUTLANDISH. 10:44AM OKAY. I WANT TO MOVE TO THE TOPIC THOUGH OF THE CMS 10:44AM SURVEY REPORT ITSELF, AND YOU MIGHT REMEMBER DURING THE 10:44AM EXAMINATION OF MS. BENNETT, THE GOVERNMENT SPENT SOME TIME ON 10:44AM THAT DOCUMENT. IT'S EXHIBIT 4621. IT'S A LENGTHY DOCUMENT. 8 10:44AM 9 IT'S ALL OF THE DEFICIENCIES THAT MS. BENNETT AND HER 10:44AM 10 COLLEAGUE, MR. YAMAMOTO, FOUND AT THERANOS. 10:44AM AND I JUST WANT TO WALK YOU THROUGH SOME OF THEM SO THAT 10:44AM 11 12 IT'S CLEAR WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED. 10:44AM 13 SO YOU MIGHT REMEMBER DURING THE EXAMINATION OF 10:44AM 14 MS. BENNETT, SHE TALKED ABOUT SOMETHING CALLED AN IMMEDIATE 10:44AM JEOPARDY, AND MS. BENNETT TALKED ABOUT WHAT THAT WAS. 15 10:44AM 16 AND THERE ARE DIFFERENT SORT OF LEVELS OF VIOLATION THAT 10:44AM 17 CMS COULD FIND. ONE IS THE HIGHEST LEVEL, THE MOST SERIOUS IS 10:44AM 18 IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY. 10:45AM 19 ANOTHER LEVEL WOULD BE CONDITION LEVEL VIOLATIONS, AND 10:45AM THEN THERE'S SOMETHING CALLED STANDARD LEVEL VIOLATIONS. 10:45AM 20 21 THAT'S THE CMS LINGO, IF YOU WILL, RIGHT? 10:45AM 22 SO THE GOVERNMENT POINTED TO THE IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY 10:45AM BECAUSE THAT'S KIND OF A SHINY OBJECT. LIKE, YOU KNOW, LOOK, 23 10:45AM 24 IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY COULD MEAN THAT PEOPLE COULD BE POTENTIALLY 10:45AM 25 BE SUBJECT TO DEATH OR SERIOUS BODILY INJURY, SO THAT'S REALLY 10:45AM

1 10:45AM 2 10:45AM 3 10:45AM 4 10:45AM 10:45AM 10:45AM 10:45AM 8 10:45AM 9 10:45AM 10 10:45AM 10:45AM 11 12 10:45AM 10:46AM 13 14 10:46AM 15 10:46AM 16 10:46AM 17 10:46AM 18 10:46AM 19 10:46AM 10:46AM 20 21 10:46AM 22 10:46AM 23 10:46AM

24

25

10:46AM

10:46AM

SERIOUS.

THE GOVERNMENT WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT THAT FOR YOU AT TRIAL BECAUSE IT SOUNDS REALLY BAD, AND IT IS BAD. I MEAN, NO LAB WANTS TO GET AN IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY, AND THAT'S A REGULATORY VIOLATION THAT NOBODY WANTS AND IT'S SERIOUS AND IT SHOULD BE TAKEN SERIOUSLY.

BUT I WANT TO JUST MAKE SURE WE LEVEL SET HERE AND EXPLAIN EXACTLY WHAT THAT IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY WAS, OKAY?

SO THIS HAD TO DO WITH AN ASSAY CALLED PT INR, AND THAT IS
AN ASSAY THAT IS USED TO MONITOR PEOPLE WHO ARE ON BLOOD
THINNERS, LIKE WARFARIN AND THINGS LIKE THAT.

SO HERE'S WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED, AND THERE ARE TWO

ASPECTS TO THE PT INR AND IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY THAT MS. BENNETT

FOUND.

THE FIRST ONE IS THIS ISSUE WITH REFRIGERATION.

SO DADE INNOVIN, WHICH IS A COMPANY THAT SUPPLIED A PARTICULAR REAGENT, SENT A BATCH OR A LOT OF REAGENTS TO THERANOS, SO THIS COMPANY CALLED DADE.

AND USUALLY, AND YOU CAN SEE THAT IN SECTION B THAT IS ON THE SCREEN, THE GENERAL SUPERVISOR THAT MS. BENNETT TALKED TO STATED THAT THE PACKAGE INSERTS WITH THE LOT WERE USUALLY WHITE. OKAY?

THE PACKAGE INSERT FOR THIS PARTICULAR LOT NUMBER, AND IT

HAS THE LOT NUMBER THERE, WERE PINK. IT INDICATED THAT THE

MANUFACTURER HAD INCLUDED SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE SPECIFIC

1 10:46AM 2 10:46AM 3 10:46AM 4 10:46AM 10:46AM INSERT. 10:46AM 10:47AM 8 10:47AM 9 STORED AT 2-8 DEGREES CELSIUS. 10:47AM 10 10:47AM 10:47AM 11 12 10:47AM 13 10:47AM 14 10:47AM 15 10:47AM 16 10:47AM 17 10:47AM 18 10:47AM 10:47AM 19 10:47AM 20 21 FOR TWO DAYS. 10:47AM 22 10:47AM 23 10:48AM 24 10:48AM 25 MILK. 10:48AM

LOT NUMBER OF THIS REAGENT CALLED INNOVIN.

SO THERE WAS SOMETHING DIFFERENT ABOUT THIS LOT, AND THE MANUFACTURER WAS TRYING TO BRING IT TO ITS CUSTOMER, THERANOS'S, ATTENTION WITH A PINK DOCUMENT, A PINK PACKAGE

NEXT. REVIEW OF THE PACKAGE INSERT, THE PI, REVEALED AN IMPORTANT NOTE THAT THIS SPECIFIC LOT NUMBER WAS ONLY STABLE FOR TWO DAYS INSTEAD OF TEN DAYS AFTER RECONSTITUTION WHEN

SO THERE WAS SOMETHING DIFFERENT ABOUT THIS LOT. USUALLY THE MANUFACTURER, DADE, SAYS YOU CAN STORE THIS FOR TEN DAYS.

IN THIS CASE THERE WAS SOMETHING STRANGE ABOUT THE LOT THAT THEY MANUFACTURED -- NOT THERANOS, DADE -- WELL, IT LOOKS LIKE IT'S ONLY STABLE FOR TWO DAYS.

AND THEN IT SAYS IN PART E THERE, THE CURRENT VIAL OF INNOVIN REAGENT WAS OBSERVED IN THE 2 TO 8 DEGREE CELSIUS REFRIGERATOR WITH A FIVE-DAY EXPIRATION DATE.

SO THERANOS HAD PUT IT DOWN FOR A FIVE-DAY EXPIRATION. NORMALLY YOU COULD KEEP IT AS LONG AS TEN DAYS, AND THERANOS WOULD ONLY DO FIVE. BUT THIS PACKAGE INSERT SAID ONLY KEEP IT

SO MS. BENNETT FOUND THIS IN THE FRIDGE. I THINK SHE ACTUALLY SAID THAT IT'S LIKE IF YOU HAVE SPILLED MILK THAT IS PAST THE EXPIRATION DATE, YOU WILL DON'T WANT TO DRINK THIS

BUT THEN SHE SAID SHE DID DRINK MILK PAST THIS EXPIRATION 1 10:48AM DATE. DO YOU REMEMBER THAT FROM MS. BENNETT? 2 10:48AM ANYWAY, THAT'S WHAT THIS WAS ABOUT. 3 10:48AM 4 AND THEN IT SAYS THAT, IN PART G THERE, THE GENERAL 10:48AM SUPERVISOR CONFIRMED ON SEPTEMBER 23RD, 2015, THAT THE CHANGE 10:48AM IN STORAGE AND STABILITY OF THE INNOVIN REAGENT HAD NOT BEEN 10:48AM IDENTIFIED FROM MARCH 2015 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 2015. 10:48AM SO THEY MISSED THE PACKAGE INSERT, AND THEIR JOB WAS TO 8 10:48AM 9 CATCH THOSE THINGS. IT WAS PINK IT LOOKS LIKE. 10:48AM BUT THE IDEA THAT MR. BALWANI KNOWS ANYTHING ABOUT A 10 10:48AM PACKAGE INSERT THAT'S PINK OR WHITE OR WHETHER IT'S IN THE 10:48AM 11 12 FRIDGE FOR TWO DAYS OR TEN DAYS OR FIVE DAYS, THAT'S 10:48AM 13 OUTLANDISH. 10:48AM 14 AND THAT'S ONE PART OF THE PT INR IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY THAT 10:48AM THE GOVERNMENT WAS PRESENTING TO YOU AS THIS MAJOR PROBLEM WITH 15 10:48AM THE LAB THAT SOMEHOW MR. BALWANI IS RESPONSIBLE FOR. 16 10:48AM BUT THERE'S ANOTHER PART OF PT INR, TOO, JUST TO BE 17 10:49AM 18 10:49AM COMPLETE. 19 FIRST OF ALL, JUST TO MAKE CLEAR WHAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT, 10:49AM THE PT INR TESTING THAT MS. BENNETT WAS TALKING ABOUT WAS NOT 10:49AM 20 21 ON A THERANOS DEVICE. 10:49AM 22 THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU AN EMAIL EARLIER ABOUT PT INR, 10:49AM AND AT ONE POINT IT HAD BEEN, BUT AT THIS POINT MS. BENNETT IS 23 10:49AM 24 TALKING ABOUT PT INR, THAT ASSAY, RUNNING ON AN FDA APPROVED 10:49AM 25 COMMERCIAL DEVICE. 10:49AM

AND SHE'S ASKED THE QUESTION, AND SHE SAYS YES. AND 1 10:49AM THAT'S WHAT WAS GOING ON. 2 10:49AM AND THERE'S BEEN NO EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE THAT THERE WAS 3 10:49AM 4 ANYTHING WRONG WITH THAT DEVICE, OR IT'S NOT CAPABLE OF 10:49AM PRODUCING RESULTS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. 10:49AM IF WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 10:49AM SO THIS IS THE OTHER PART OF THE PT INR IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY 10:49AM STORY. SO IT TURNS OUT THAT THE LAB STAFF IS SUPPOSED TO ENTER 8 10:49AM 9 THIS PARTICULAR VALUE INTO, IN THIS CASE, THE FDA APPROVED 10:49AM COMMERCIAL DEVICE, AND IT'S CALLED AN INR VALUE. 10 10:50AM SO THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO ENTER THIS VALUE, AND THAT IS BASED 10:50AM 11 12 ON A STUDY. 10:50AM 13 AND ONCE THEY ENTER THAT VALUE, THEN THEY COULD GO FORWARD 10:50AM 14 WITH THE PATIENT TESTING FOR THIS PARTICULAR ASSAY, PT INR. 10:50AM WELL, WHAT MS. BENNETT FOUND IS THAT THERE'S NO 15 10:50AM 16 DOCUMENTATION OF THAT VALUE AND WHETHER IT HAD BEEN ENTERED. 10:50AM 17 IT DOESN'T MEAN IT WASN'T ENTERED. BUT AS MS. BENNETT 10:50AM 18 SAID, YOU KNOW, IN A LAB WHEN YOU'RE DOING AN INSPECTION, IF 10:50AM 19 SOMETHING IS NOT WRITTEN DOWN AND DOCUMENTED, THEN IT BASICALLY 10:50AM DOESN'T EXIST, RIGHT? AS FAR AS AN INSPECTOR IS CONCERNED, 10:50AM 20 21 THAT'S REASONABLE. 10:50AM 22 SO MS. BENNETT SAYS, WELL, THERE'S NO DOCUMENTATION OF THE 10:50AM VALUE HAVING BEEN ENTERED, SO SHE THEN TOOK THE MORE RECENT 23 10:50AM 24 VALUE FROM SEPTEMBER AND REDID THE CALCULATIONS. 10:50AM AND THAT'S THIS DOCUMENT THAT YOU'RE SEEING AS PART OF 25 10:50AM

10:50AM	1	EXHIBIT 20619. AND THIS IS THE RECALCULATIONS THAT MS. BENNETT
10:50AM	2	DID.
10:50AM	3	SHE FOUND THAT THERE WERE SOME DIFFERENCES IF YOU USE THE
10:51AM	4	MORE RECENT INR NUMBER VERSUS THE NUMBER THAT MAY HAVE BEEN
10:51AM	5	USED BACK IN MARCH BUT HAD NOT BEEN DOCUMENTED.
10:51AM	6	SO MS. BENNETT, YOU KNOW, PERFECTLY FINE, SHE FOUND THIS
10:51AM	7	ISSUE AND BROUGHT IT TO THE ATTENTION OF THERANOS.
10:51AM	8	AND AGAIN, THOUGH, SHOULD A LAB DOCUMENT THE VALUE THAT
10:51AM	9	THEY ENTER? OF COURSE THEY SHOULD.
10:51AM	10	BUT IS THAT SOMETHING THAT MR. BALWANI IS LOOKING AT?
10:51AM	11	HE'S NOT THE PERSON ENTERING THE VALUE. THEY'RE SOP'S. HE'S
10:51AM	12	EXPECTING THE LAB STAFF TO DO THIS.
10:51AM	13	AND THIS LOOKS LIKE A PROBLEM, A REGULATORY PROBLEM, BUT
10:51AM	14	NOT A CRIMINAL VIOLATION THAT MR. BALWANI IS SOMEHOW
10:51AM	15	RESPONSIBLE FOR.
10:51AM	16	LET'S TALK ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED WITH PT INR. SO HERE'S THE
10:51AM	17	QUESTION TO MS. BENNETT ON DIRECT: DID YOU ALERT MR. BALWANI
10:51AM	18	TO THE PROBLEMS WITH PT INR IN THE SEPTEMBER PORTION OF THE
10:51AM	19	EXAM?
10:52AM	20	YOU MIGHT REMEMBER THERE WAS A SEPTEMBER PORTION OF THE
10:52AM	21	INSPECTION AND THEN CMS CAME BACK IN NOVEMBER.
10:52AM	22	AND SHE SAID YES, SHE ALERTED HIM.
10:52AM	23	AND WHEN YOU CAME BACK IN NOVEMBER, WHAT HAD HAPPENED?
10:52AM	24	SHE SAID NOTHING.
10:52AM	25	SO THAT WAS HER TESTIMONY ON DIRECT.

1 10:52AM 2 10:52AM 3 10:52AM 4 10:52AM 10:52AM 10:52AM 10:52AM 8 10:52AM 9 10:52AM 10 10:52AM 10:52AM 11 12 10:52AM 13 10:52AM 14 10:52AM 15 10:52AM 16 10:53AM 17 10:53AM 18 10:53AM 19 10:53AM 10:53AM 20 21 10:53AM 22 10:53AM 23 10:53AM 24 10:53AM

25

10:53AM

THAT WASN'T TRUE.

SO ON CROSS, SHE IS SHOWN A DOCUMENT TO REFRESH HER MEMORY, AND THEN SHE HAD TO SAY, YOU KNOW, SHE PROBABLY JUST FORGOT ABOUT THAT.

BUT THERANOS PAUSED IT'S TESTING ON PT INR.

SHE SAYS YES.

SO WHEN THIS PROBLEM WAS IDENTIFIED, THERANOS STOPPED THE TESTING UNTIL THEY COULD FIGURE THINGS OUT, AND EVEN THOUGH SHE INITIALLY SAID THEY DID NOTHING, ACTUALLY THEY DID TAKE ACTION IN RESPONSE TO CMS.

LET'S MOVE TO THE REPORT, PAST THE PT INR AND THE IMMEDIATE JEOPARDY, BUT JUST LOOKING AT THE REPORT AS A WHOLE, AND IT'S QUITE LONG. IT'S EXHIBIT 4621.

WHAT I'VE DONE HERE IS ORGANIZED THE DIFFERENT REGULATORY VIOLATIONS INTO FOUR BUCKETS, AND I JUST WANT TO TALK ABOUT THEM ONE AT A TIME.

SO THESE ARE ALL OF THE REGULATORY VIOLATIONS THAT ARE DISCUSSED. AND YOU MIGHT REMEMBER THIS, THEY ARE CALL D-TAGS, EACH LITTLE SECTION OF THE CMS REPORT.

THE FIRST ONE HAS TO DO WITH TRAINING DOCUMENTATION.

AND THIS VIOLATION, THIS GROUP OF VIOLATIONS, THIS BUCKET, RELATES TO TESTING PERSONNEL FILES WHERE THERE WAS MISSING DOCUMENTATION OF REQUIRED TRAINING.

SO LAB STAFF IS SUPPOSED TO UNDERGO PERIODIC TRAINING AND THAT IS SUPPOSED TO BE DOCUMENTED, AND THERE WAS SOME

1 10:53AM 2 10:53AM 3 10:53AM 4 10:53AM 10:53AM 10:53AM 10:53AM 8 10:53AM 9 10:54AM 10 10:54AM 10:54AM 11 12 10:54AM 13 10:54AM 14 10:54AM 15 10:54AM 16 10:54AM 17 10:54AM 18 10:54AM 19 10:54AM 10:54AM 20 21 10:54AM 22 10:54AM 23 10:54AM 24 10:54AM

25

10:54AM

DEFICIENCIES IN THE DOCUMENTATION FOR TRAINING.

AGAIN, THE LAB SHOULD KEEP IT AND DO IT RIGHT AND THERE ARE REGULATIONS HERE THAT SHOULD BE FOLLOWED. BUT AGAIN THIS IS A REGULATORY VIOLATION AND I'M GOING TO TALK MORE ABOUT THAT IN A MINUTE.

GENERAL AND TECHNICAL SUPERVISORS DOCUMENTATION. THIS IS RELATING TO MORE PARTICULAR TRAINING FOR GENERAL AND TECHNICAL SUPERVISORS THAT THEY NEED TO HAVE DOCUMENTED. AND AGAIN, WE DON'T KNOW WHETHER THEY DID THE TRAINING OR NOT, IT'S JUST THAT IT WASN'T DOCUMENTED.

SO AS FAR AS MS. BENNETT IS CONCERNED, THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN. SO THAT'S ANOTHER GROUP OF VIOLATIONS THAT SHE FOUND.

THE NEXT ONE IS LAB DIRECTORS. AND IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THE LAB DIRECTOR'S VIOLATION SPANS 2014 AND 2015. SO IT'S BOTH DR. ROSENDORFF AND DR. DHAWAN, WHO WAS THE LAB DIRECTOR ON THE LICENSE AFTER DR. ROSENDORFF LEFT.

AND SO THIS VIOLATION INVOLVING DR. ROSENDORFF AND DR. DHAWAN HAD TO DO WITH ESSENTIALLY THAT. BECAUSE THERE WERE OTHER VIOLATIONS FOUND, BY DEFINITION THE LAB DIRECTORS WERE NOT PROPERLY SUPERVISING THE STAFF AND THE TRAINING AND ALL OF THE OTHER REQUIREMENTS. SO THAT'S WHAT THIS GROUP IS.

AND THEN THE FINAL ONE WAS ANALYTIC SYSTEMS. LET'S SPEND A MOMENT ON THAT.

SO THE ANALYTIC SYSTEMS BUCKET HAS TO DO WITH QC PROCEDURES, INCLUDING ON EDISON.

Case 5:18-cr-00258-EJD Document 1552 Filed 07/19/22 Page 75 of 244 CLOSING ARGUMENT BY MR. COOPERSMITH (RES.) 1 10:55AM 2 10:55AM 10:55AM 3 4 10:55AM WAS THE PROCEDURE. 10:55AM 10:55AM 10:55AM 8 10:55AM WITH A DEVICE THAT PASSES IS GOOD FOR 24 HOURS. 9 10:55AM 10 10:55AM 10:55AM 11 12 HOUR, SO IT'S NOT WITHIN THE 24 HOURS. 10:55AM 13 10:55AM 14 IS HER JOB, AND NOTES THOSE. 10:55AM 15 10:55AM 16 10:55AM 17 10:55AM

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:55AM

10:56AM

10:56AM

10:56AM

10:56AM

10:56AM

10:56AM

10:56AM

AND WHAT MS. BENNETT FOUND WAS THAT IN SOME CASES, EVEN THOUGH THERE WAS A STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURE FOR RUNNING QC, AND WE TALKED ABOUT THAT YESTERDAY ABOUT HOW IF A DEVICE FAILS QC THEN YOU'RE NOT SUPPOSED TO USE IT FOR PATIENT TESTING, THAT

BUT IN SOME CASES MS. BENNETT FOUND THAT THAT DIDN'T --THAT WASN'T FOLLOWED PROPERLY. FOR EXAMPLE, IF YOU GO TO EXHIBIT 4621, YOU'LL SEE THAT THERE ARE TIMES WHEN THE QC RUN

BUT THEN THEY DO ANOTHER QC RUN THE NEXT DAY, BUT THE TESTING IS DONE LIKE ON THE 24 AND A HALF HOUR, OR THE 25TH

AND MS. BENNETT, YOU KNOW, FINDS THOSE VIOLATIONS, WHICH

AND THEN THERE ARE OTHER VIOLATIONS INVOLVING DR. ROSENDORFF WHEN HE DID THE VALIDATION REPORTS. THERE HAD BEEN AN EARLIER VALIDATION PLAN THAT LAID OUT HOW THE VALIDATION WAS SUPPOSED TO BE DONE, AND MS. BENNETT FOUND THAT DR. ROSENDORFF DIDN'T FOLLOW THOSE IN ALL RESPECTS. SO THAT'S ANOTHER VIOLATION.

AND THERE WAS ALSO A VIOLATION THAT MS. BENNETT FOUND ABOUT QC TRENDING. SO IT WASN'T JUST THE DAILY QC, BUT THE LAB IS SUPPOSED TO LOOK AT HOW OC IS TRENDING OVER TIME, AND SHE DIDN'T -- SHE FOUND THAT THERE WASN'T AN ADEQUATE PLAN TO DEAL WITH QC TRENDS OVER TIME.

1 10:56AM 2 10:56AM 3 10:56AM 4 10:56AM 10:56AM 10:56AM 10:56AM 8 10:56AM 9 10:56AM 10 10:56AM 10:56AM 11 12 10:56AM 13 10:57AM 14 10:57AM 15 10:57AM 16 10:57AM 17 10:57AM 18 10:57AM 19 10:57AM 10:57AM 20 21 10:57AM 22 10:57AM 23 10:57AM 24 10:57AM 25 10:57AM

SO, AGAIN, THESE ARE VIOLATIONS THAT ARE SERIOUS AND SHOULD BE TAKEN SERIOUSLY, BUT THEY'RE NOT THE STUFF OF FEDERAL CRIMINAL CHARGES.

AND JUDGE DAVILA IS GOING TO TALK TO YOU ABOUT THAT WHEN HE GIVES HIS INSTRUCTIONS, AND I WANT TO PUT THAT ON THE SCREEN.

SO THIS INSTRUCTION THAT JUDGE DAVILA WILL GIVE YOU IS THAT THERE IS EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE OF VIOLATIONS OF REGULATIONS AND INDUSTRY STANDARDS, AND YOU MAY CONSIDER THAT EVIDENCE IN ASSESSING WHETHER THE GOVERNMENT HAS PROVED EACH OF THE COUNTS.

HOWEVER, YOU MAY NOT FIND MR. BALWANI LIABLE FOR ANY OF THE OFFENSES ALLEGED IN THE INDICTMENT MERELY BECAUSE HE OR THERANOS MAY HAVE VIOLATED FEDERAL OR STATE REGULATIONS OR BECAUSE MR. BALWANI OR THERANOS MAY HAVE ENGAGED IN NEGLIGENT PRACTICES OR VIOLATED INDUSTRY STANDARDS RELATED TO LABORATORY TESTING OR MEDICAL DEVICES.

SO YOU CANNOT FIND GUILT IN THIS CASE BECAUSE THERE WAS SOME REGULATORY VIOLATIONS.

NOW, MIND YOU, THE REGULATORY VIOLATIONS THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT ARE NOT THINGS THAT WERE KNOWN TO MR. BALWANI. HE'S NOT IN THERE ENTERING VALUES INTO THE MACHINE OR DECIDING HOW LONG REAGENTS SHOULD STAY IN THE FRIDGE.

BUT IN ANY EVENT, REGARDLESS OF THE VIOLATIONS, EVEN IF MR. BALWANI WAS SOMEHOW KNOWLEDGEABLE, YOU WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO

10:57AM	1	FIND GUILT BASED MERELY ON THE FACT THAT THERE WERE REGULATORY
10:57AM	2	VIOLATIONS.
10:57AM	3	THIS IS NOT A CASE ABOUT VIOLATIONS OF REGULATIONS. THOSE
10:57AM	4	ARE IMPORTANT, BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT WE ARE DOING HERE.
10:57AM	5	THIS IS ABOUT INTENT TO DECEIVE, INTENT TO TAKE PATIENT'S
10:57AM	6	MONEY THROUGH DECEPTION, KNOWINGLY RUNNING A LAB THAT WAS
10:58AM	7	GIVING PATIENTS INACCURATE RESULTS AND TRYING TO TAKE THEIR
10:58AM	8	MONEY THROUGH THAT PROCESS.
10:58AM	9	AND THAT'S NOT REGULATORY VIOLATIONS ARE A DIFFERENT
10:58AM	10	SUBJECT. THAT IS WHAT JUDGE DAVILA WILL TELL YOU.
10:58AM	11	NOW, IF WE GO FURTHER, THOUGH, I WANT TO TALK ABOUT WHAT
10:58AM	12	IS REALLY IMPORTANT IS THESE HEAD-TO-HEAD COMPARISONS OF THE
10:58AM	13	THERANOS TECHNOLOGY AND AGAINST THE FDA COMMERCIAL TECHNOLOGY.
10:58AM	14	SO IF WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.
10:58AM	15	THESE ARE THE AAP, ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE,
10:58AM	16	REPORTS THAT WERE PROVIDED TO CMS.
10:58AM	17	AS I SAID YESTERDAY, THIS IS REALLY WHERE THE RUBBER HITS
10:58AM	18	THE ROAD. YOU KNOW, PROFICIENCY TESTING, DOES YOUR SYSTEM
10:58AM	19	WORK? IS IT HOW IS IT DOING IN THESE HEAD-TO-HEAD
10:58AM	20	COMPARISONS?
10:58AM	21	AND I JUST WANT TO WALK THROUGH THIS DOCUMENT. THIS IS
10:58AM	22	EXHIBIT 20619, IF YOU WANT TO WRITE THAT DOWN.
10:59AM	23	SO WHAT THIS HAS IS THE DATES THAT THE AAP WAS PERFORMED
10:59AM	24	AND THE RESULTS OF THAT AAP.
10:59AM	25	AND I JUST WANT TO JUMP TO AN ISSUE REAL QUICKLY.

IF WE CAN GO TO THE BOTTOM OF THE DOCUMENT, MR. ALLEN. 1 10:59AM YOU CAN SEE THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS SIGNED, NOT BY 2 10:59AM MR. BALWANI, BUT IT'S SIGNED BY DR. SAKSENA, VERIFIED BY 3 10:59AM 4 GURBIR SIDHU, SIGNED BY DR. DHAWAN. AND THEY SIGNED IT IN 10:59AM 10:59AM NOVEMBER. AND YOU MIGHT REMEMBER AN HOUR OR SO AGO I SHOWED YOU 10:59AM DR. ROSENDORFF'S PROTOCOL FOR AAP, THAT WAS EXHIBIT 9939 AND 10:59AM 9940. AND IN THOSE EXHIBITS IT SAYS THAT THE LABORATORY 8 10:59AM 9 DIRECTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR REVIEWING THE AAP. 10:59AM WHAT MS. BENNETT FOUND, AND SHE TESTIFIED ABOUT THIS, IS 10 10:59AM THAT SHE DIDN'T HAVE ANY REASON TO DOUBT THE TIMES THAT THE AAP 10:59AM 11 12 TEST WAS PERFORMED, AND IN THIS CASE IT WAS AUGUST OF 2014. 10:59AM 13 BUT SHE ENFORCED THE REGULATION, THAT, WELL, YOU HAVE TO 11:00AM 14 HAVE THE LAB DIRECTOR SIGN OFF, REVIEW IT AT THE TIME IT WAS 11:00AM DONE. SO THAT WAS THE VIOLATION THAT MS. BENNETT FOUND. 15 11:00AM 16 BUT PUTTING ASIDE THAT REGULATORY VIOLATION, THE EVIDENCE 11:00AM 17 IN THIS CASE IS THESE AAP TESTS WERE TO BE -- THIS IS PART OF 11:00AM 18 PROFICIENCY TESTING. YOU SAW A FEW MINUTES AGO, MAYBE IT'S AN 11:00AM 19 HOUR NOW, DR. ROSENDORFF REFERRED TO AAP IN LOOKING AT A 11:00AM PHYSICIAN INQUIRY AND HOW TO RESPOND TO THAT. 11:00AM 20 21 SO WHEN YOU GO THROUGH THIS, YOU CAN SEE THEY'RE NOT 11:00AM 22 100 PERCENT IN ALL CASES. REMEMBER 80 PERCENT IS A PASS. 11:00AM 100 PERCENT IS OBVIOUSLY BETTER. 23 11:00AM AND WHAT THIS DOES IS, IF WE JUST LOOK AT THE FIRST ONE, 24 11:00AM THIS HAPPENS TO BE FOR THYROXINE, AND THERE ARE FIVE SAMPLES, 25 11:00AM

11:00AM	1	JUST LIKE THE PROTOCOL SAID THERE HAD TO BE, AND THEN THERE'S A
11:01AM	2	TEST ON THE PREDICATE AND THEY COMPARE THAT PREDICATE TEST WITH
11:01AM	3	THE THERANOS TECHNOLOGY TEST, AND THEY COMPARE THE TWO NUMBERS,
11:01AM	4	AND THEN THE TOTAL ALLOWABLE ERROR IS A RANGE IT HAS TO FALL
11:01AM	5	WITHIN, IN THIS CASE FOR THIS PARTICULAR ASSAY, PLUS OR MINUS
11:01AM	6	20 PERCENT.
11:01AM	7	AND THEN THAT'S THE PERCENT DIFFERENCE BETWEEN IF YOU LOOK
11:01AM	8	AT SAMPLE 1 BETWEEN 1.42 AND 1.19, 16 PERCENT, SO JUST USING
11:01AM	9	THAT QUICK EXAMPLE, IT FALLS WITHIN THE TOTAL ALLOWABLE ERROR
11:01AM	10	AND THAT'S A PASS FOR SAMPLE 1.
11:01AM	11	AND THEN YOU CAN GO THROUGH ALL OF THESE AND YOU CAN SEE
11:01AM	12	THE COMPARISON AND THE VALUES ACHIEVED FOR THE PREDICATE VERSUS
11:01AM	13	THERANOS AND THEY ARE WITHIN THE TOTAL ALLOWABLE ERROR.
11:01AM	14	AND THIS GOES ON FOR PAGES AND PAGES.
11:01AM	15	SO THIS HAS TSH.
11:01AM	16	PSA IS THE NEXT ONE, 8/17/2014 ON THAT FIRST PAGE.
11:02AM	17	IF WE ZOOM IN ON PSA, MR. ALLEN.
11:02AM	18	SO YOU CAN SEE THAT SECOND GROUP THERE, PSA, 8/17/2014.
11:02AM	19	THERE WERE FIVE SAMPLES AND ALL OF THEM FELL WITHIN THE TOTAL
11:02AM	20	ALLOWABLE ERROR.
11:02AM	21	AND AGAIN, THERE WERE PAGES AND PAGES ABOUT THIS. LET ME
11:02AM	22	JUST SHOW YOU PAGE 3 OF THE EXHIBIT. AGAIN, IT'S 20619.
11:02AM	23	SO THE THIRD GROUP IS HUMAN CHORIONIC GONADOTROPIN I'M
11:02AM	24	PROBABLY SAYING THAT WRONG. BUT THAT'S HCG. THAT'S THAT
11:02AM	25	PREGNANCY TEST THAT YOU HEARD A LOT ABOUT, INCLUDING FROM

11:02AM	1	DR. ZACHMAN AND MS. GOULD, AND YOU CAN SEE THIS IS THE SAME
11:02AM	2	MONTH THAT MS. GOULD GOT HER HCG TESTS AND THE COMPARISON OF
11:03AM	3	THE PREDICATE AND THE THERANOS RESULTS SHOWED THAT IT PASSED
11:03AM	4	100 PERCENT.
11:03AM	5	YOU CAN SEE WITH SAMPLES 2 THROUGH 5, THE PREDICATE GOT
11:03AM	6	LOWER THAN 1, AND THEN THE THERANOS GOT LOWER THAN THE LOW
11:03AM	7	RANGE OF QUANTIFICATION BASICALLY, LLOQ.
11:03AM	8	SO THESE WERE PASSED WITH PT TESTING. AND AGAIN, THIS
11:03AM	9	GOES ON FOR PAGES AND PAGES.
11:03AM	10	IF YOU GO TO THE LAST PAGE, DR. ELLSWORTH, WHOSE DOCTOR IS
11:03AM	11	MARK BURNES, GOT HIS PSA TESTS IN MAY AND JUNE OF 2015.
11:03AM	12	AND THEN IN MARCH OF 2015, THIS WAS THE PSA, THE RESULTS
11:03AM	13	OF THE PROFICIENCY TESTING, THE AAP TYPE OF PROFICIENCY TESTING
11:03AM	14	FOR PSA.
11:03AM	15	SO THIS IS THE RESULTS. THERE'S NO EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE
11:03AM	16	THAT THERE IS ANYTHING WRONG WITH THESE RESULTS, THAT THESE
11:04AM	17	WEREN'T DONE. AS I SAID, DR. ROSENDORFF REFERRED TO THESE
11:04AM	18	HIMSELF.
11:04AM	19	AND IT SHOWS THAT THERANOS IS DOING THE AAP'S AND THEY'RE
11:04AM	20	GETTING RESULTS THAT ARE 100 PERCENT ALMOST EVERY TIME.
11:04AM	21	SO THE IDEA THAT MR. BALWANI SOMEHOW THINKS THAT THIS
11:04AM	22	TECHNOLOGY DOESN'T WORK WHEN THESE HEAD-TO-HEAD COMPARISONS
11:04AM	23	SHOW THESE RESULTS, THAT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE.
11:04AM	24	SO LET'S GO TO THE NEXT EXHIBIT, WHICH IS MORE OF THIS.
11:04AM	25	SO THOSE WERE THE EDISON TESTS.

11:04AM	1	THERE IS ALSO A WHOLE GROUP OF MODIFIED PREDICATE TESTS.
11:04AM	2	THIS IS EXHIBIT 20620.
11:04AM	3	AND THIS WAS INFORMATION THAT CMS WAS NOTIFIED ABOUT, SO
11:04AM	4	THEY HAD THIS INFORMATION FROM THERANOS. SO THEY WERE GIVEN
11:04AM	5	THIS NOTICE.
11:04AM	6	AND YOU CAN SEE ALL OF THESE ARE MOSTLY 100 PERCENT. I
11:05AM	7	WANT TO HIGHLIGHT JUST A FEW.
11:05AM	8	IF YOU GO TO PAGE 2, MR. ALLEN.
11:05AM	9	AND THERE'S IN THE MIDDLE THERE'S A, IT'S SORT OF
11:05AM	10	FOURTH FROM THE BOTTOM, THERE'S A POTASSIUM TEST. YOU CAN SEE
11:05AM	11	THAT THOSE POTASSIUM TESTS IN A HEAD-TO-HEAD COMPARISON CAME UP
11:05AM	12	WITHIN THE TOTAL ALLOWABLE ERROR EVERY TIME WITH THE FIVE
11:05AM	13	SAMPLES.
11:05AM	14	SAME WITH SODIUM. SAME WITH ALL OF THE OTHER ASSAYS ON
11:05AM	15	THE PAGE.
11:05AM	16	AND THEN IF WE GO TO PAGE 4 OF THE DOCUMENT AND YOU LOOK
11:05AM	17	AT THE SECOND TO THE LAST ENTRY, YOU SEE THERE'S ONE THAT IS
11:05AM	18	80 PERCENT; RIGHT?
11:05AM	19	SO THAT WAS STILL A PASS, BUT IT WAS ONE SAMPLE THAT WAS
11:05AM	20	NOT. AND YOU CAN SEE WHAT IT IS. IT'S SAMPLE 4, THE TOTAL
11:06AM	21	ALLOWABLE ERROR, TAE, IS PLUS OR MINUS 1, AND IT WAS 1.1. SO
11:06AM	22	THAT WAS ONE THAT DIDN'T PASS.
11:06AM	23	BUT OVERALL THERE WERE FOUR OUT OF FIVE, SO THAT'S
11:06AM	24	80 PERCENT.
11:06AM	25	AND THEN THE SAME ON THE NEXT PAGE, PAGE 5 WITH AN ASSAY

11:06AM	1	CALLED FERRITIN.
11:06AM	2	SO THESE RESULTS ARE ALMOST PERFECT, BUT, YOU KNOW, NOT
11:06AM	3	QUITE PERFECT. THERE WERE A FEW THAT WERE STILL PASSING, BUT
11:06AM	4	THAT WERE 80 PERCENT RATHER THAN 100 PERCENT.
11:06AM	5	OKAY. SO JUST TO WRAP THAT TOPIC UP.
11:06AM	6	WHATEVER REGULATORY VIOLATIONS MS. BENNETT FOUND, AND SHE
11:06AM	7	DID FIND THOSE, THESE WERE THE ACTUAL HEAD-TO-HEAD COMPARISONS
11:06AM	8	THAT WERE DONE.
11:06AM	9	SO THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T SHOW THIS TO YOU. WE SHOWED THEM
11:06AM	10	TO YOU. THEY DIDN'T TALK ABOUT IT IN CLOSING. I'M TALKING
11:06AM	11	ABOUT IT. AND I'M TALKING ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT'S WHERE THERANOS
11:07AM	12	IS ACTUALLY DOING THE WORK TO SHOW THAT THEIR ASSAYS ARE
11:07AM	13	WORKING AS FAR AS THE LABORATORY STAFF AND AS FAR AS
11:07AM	14	MR. BALWANI KNOW.
11:07AM	15	YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO MOVE TO A DIFFERENT TOPIC. WOULD
11:07AM	16	THIS BE A GOOD TIME FOR A BREAK?
11:07AM	17	THE COURT: IT COULD BE.
11:07AM	18	LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, SHOULD WE TAKE A BREAK NOW? I'M
11:07AM	19	GETTING HEADS NODDING.
11:07AM	20	SHOULD WE TAKE 40 MINUTES THEN, LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,
11:07AM	21	40 MINUTES.
11:07AM	22	THANK YOU, MR. COOPERSMITH. WE'LL BE IN RECESS.
11:07AM	23	(RECESS FROM 11:07 A.M. UNTIL 12:00 P.M.)
12:00PM	24	THE COURT: PLEASE BE SEATED. THANK YOU.
12:00PM	25	WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD. ALL PARTIES PREVIOUSLY PRESENT

ARE PRESENT ONCE AGAIN. 1 12:00PM MR. COOPERSMITH, WOULD YOU LIKE TO CONTINUE? 2 12:00PM MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 3 12:00PM 4 ALL RIGHT. WELCOME BACK EVERYONE. 12:00PM I'M GOING TO SWITCH TOPICS NOW AND TALK ABOUT 12:00PM ELIZABETH HOLMES. THIS SHOWS YOU WHERE WE ARE ON THE ROAD MAP. 12:00PM AND LET'S TALK ABOUT HER. THIS IS A PICTURE OF HER. 12:00PM THIS IS FROM THE COVER OF "FORTUNE" MAGAZINE, WHICH YOU SAW 8 12:00PM DURING THE TRIAL. 9 12:00PM MS. HOLMES CERTAINLY ASSEMBLED QUITE THE GROUP OF PEOPLE 10 12:00PM TO OVERSEE THERANOS. SO AS I SAID IN MY REMARKS YESTERDAY, IN 12:00PM 11 12 THE BEGINNING THERE WAS LARRY ELLISON FROM ORACLE; DON LUCAS, 12:01PM 13 AS I SAID A LEGENDARY INVESTOR HERE IN SILICON VALLEY; AND 12:01PM 14 PROFESSOR ROBERTSON ON THE BOARD. 12:01PM AND THEN THERANOS HAD ACCOMPLISHED A LOT BY THE TIME 15 12:01PM MR. BALWANI JOINED THE COMPANY WITH ITS CONTRACTS WITH 16 12:01PM 17 PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES THAT WE TALKED ABOUT YESTERDAY. 12:01PM AND SHE CERTAINLY HAS TO BE AS CHARISMATIC AS PEOPLE COULD 12:01PM 18 19 POSSIBLY BE TO ATTRACT THIS GROUP AS A YOUNG AGE, A RELATIVELY 12:01PM YOUNG AGE. 12:01PM 20 21 IN FACT, INVESTORS WERE INFORMED THROUGH ROGER PARLOFF 'S 12:01PM PIECE IN "FORTUNE" MAGAZINE, THAT'S THE COVER, THAT 22 12:01 PM PROFESSOR ROBERTSON THOUGHT THAT LOOKING AT HER WAS LIKE 23 12:01PM LOOKING AT -- STARING INTO THE EYES OF BILL GATES OR 24 12:01PM STEVE JOBS. THAT'S WHAT PEOPLE THOUGHT OF HER AT THE TIME. 25 12:01PM

LATER SHE ATTRACTED OTHER EXTREMELY NOTABLE PEOPLE FROM 1 12:01PM BUSINESS INDUSTRY, GOVERNMENT. 2 12:02PM BILL FRIST, THE FORMER SENATE MAJORITY LEADER AND A HEART 3 12:02PM 4 SURGEON, SO NOTABLY HE WAS A PHYSICIAN. 12:02PM WILLIAM FOEGE, WHO AT WAS AT ONE TIME THE HEAD OF THE 12:02PM CENTERS OF DISEASE CONTROL, AND THAT WAS SOMEONE THAT SHE PUT 12:02PM ON THE BOARD. 12:02PM AND YOU HAVE TO WONDER IF YOU'RE TRYING TO RUN A 8 12:02PM FRAUDULENT OPERATION, WHY WOULD YOU PUT THE FORMER HEAD OF THE 9 12:02PM CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL ON YOUR BOARD. THIS PERSON MIGHT 10 12:02PM KNOW SOMETHING ABOUT INFECTIOUS DISEASE AND TESTING FOR THAT. 12:02PM 11 12 SO OTHER PEOPLE. FORMER SENATOR NUNN, FORMER MARINE 12:02PM 12:02PM 13 GENERAL JAMES MATTIS; HENRY KISSINGER. THE LIST GOES ON. 14 RICHARD KOVACEVICH, WHO IS THE FORMER CEO OF WELLS FARGO. 12:02PM AND THESE ARE THE PEOPLE ON THE BOARD. AND WE'LL TALK MORE 15 12:02PM ABOUT THEIR ROLE IN JUST BIT, BUT THIS IS WHAT ELIZABETH WAS 16 12:02PM 17 ABLE TO DO. 12:03PM AND SUNNY BALWANI FROM HIS STANDPOINT, THERE'S NO REASON 18 12:03PM 12:03PM 19 WHY HE WOULDN'T HAVE SEEN THE EXACT SAME THING, THE CHARISMA, THE DRIVE, THE VISION, THE GOAL TO CHANGE DIAGNOSTIC TESTING, 12:03PM 20 21 AND HE BOUGHT INTO THAT VISION. AND WE SAW YESTERDAY HE BOUGHT 12:03PM 22 INTO THAT VISION NOT WITH HIS TIME BUT ALSO WITH HIS OWN MONEY. 12:03PM SO WHAT WAS, WHAT WAS IT ABOUT HER THAT ATTRACTED THESE 23 12:03PM 24 LEADING LIKES OF GOVERNMENT, BUSINESS, AND HIGH PROFILE WEALTHY 12:03PM 25 INVESTORS? 12:03PM

1 12:03PM 2 12:03PM 3 12:03PM 4 12:03PM 12:03PM 5 6 12:03PM 12:03PM 8 12:04PM 9 12:04PM 10 12:04PM 12:04PM 11 12 12:04PM 12:04PM 13 14 12:04PM 15 12:04PM 16 12:04PM 17 12:04PM 18 12:04PM 19 12:04PM 20 12:04PM 21 12:04PM 22 12:04PM 23 12:04PM 24 12:04PM 25 12:04PM

AND IT WAS, AS I THINK WE'LL SEE FROM THE TESTIMONY I AM GOING TO GO OVER IN A MINUTE, HER CHARISMA, HER ABILITY TO HOLD THE ROOM, HER VISION, HER ABILITY TO SPEAK ON THE TOPICS THAT SHE WAS DEALING WITH AT THERANOS.

I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO THINK ABOUT THAT WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT SOMETHING LIKE THOSE PHARMACEUTICAL REPORTS THAT THE GOVERNMENT SAYS WERE SOMEHOW FRAUDULENT.

I SHOWED THE YESTERDAY THE PHARMACEUTICAL REPORT WHERE ELIZABETH SENT THE REPORT TO GLAXOSMITHKLINE, AND IT HAD THE CONFIDENTIAL VALIDATION INFORMATION IN IT. SO THAT WAS ELIZABETH SENDING IT TO GLAXOSMITHKLINE. IT HAD THE RESULTS OF GLAXOSMITHKLINE'S OWN TESTING, AND SUNNY SAW THAT. WHY WOULD MR. BALWANI DOUBT SOMETHING LIKE GIVEN WHAT HAPPENED AND WHO ELIZABETH HOLMES WAS?

AND I WANT TO JUST HAVE YOU KEEP IN MIND THAT, YOU KNOW, SHE'S NOT HERE, RIGHT? SHE'S NOT HERE. AND YOU DON'T EVEN HEAR FROM HER. AND SHE KIND OF LOOMS LARGE IN THE TRIAL. AND THERE'S BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT HER.

AND I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU IN A MINUTE THE JURY INSTRUCTIONS THAT WHAT HER STORY IS AND PREVIOUS PROCEEDINGS INVOLVING HER HAVE NO PART IN THIS TRIAL. THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU CAN CONSIDER, AND THAT'S WHAT JUDGE DAVILA WILL TELL YOU. I'LL SHOW YOU THE INSTRUCTION IN A MINUTE.

BUT LET'S STICK WITH ELIZABETH HOLMES FOR THE MOMENT. AND, FIRST OF ALL, CHRIS LUCAS ON CROSS TALKED ABOUT HER.

12:05PM	1	AND HE TESTIFIED THE QUESTION WAS, AND THAT YOU BELIEVED
12:05PM	2	THAT SHE HAD A WONDERFUL VISION FOR THE COMPANY THAT ULTIMATELY
12:05PM	3	BECAME THERANOS?
12:05PM	4	HE SAID YES.
12:05PM	5	YOU WERE AWARE THAT HIS UNCLE, DON LUCAS, HAD A SIMILAR
12:05PM	6	OPINION OF HER?
12:05PM	7	AND HE SAID YES.
12:05PM	8	AND THIS WAS A REASON WHY HE WAS COMFORTABLE WITH THE
12:05PM	9	INVESTMENT?
12:05PM	10	PEOPLE TRUSTED HER.
12:05PM	11	IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.
12:05PM	12	ALAN EISENMAN WAS TOLD BY HIS COLLEAGUE, DAVID HARRIS,
12:05PM	13	THAT SHE WAS A GENIUS. THAT IS WHAT MR. HARRIS THOUGHT
12:05PM	14	APPARENTLY AND TOLD TO MR. EISENMAN.
12:05PM	15	GO ON.
12:05PM	16	AND THIS IS DAN EDLIN WHO YOU HEARD SPENT A LOT OF TIME
12:05PM	17	WITH HER BOTH AT THERANOS, AND HE EVEN KNEW HER BEFORE THERANOS
12:05PM	18	BECAUSE ELIZABETH'S BROTHER, CHRISTIAN HOLMES, HAD BEEN A
12:06PM	19	CLASSMATE OF MR. EDLIN'S AT DUKE UNIVERSITY. AND HE KNEW
12:06PM	20	ELIZABETH EVEN BEFORE. AND HE WAS IN A GOOD POSITION TO KNOW.
12:06PM	21	SHE COULD REALLY HOLD THE ROOM. SHE WAS AN EXTREMELY ENGAGING
12:06PM	22	SPEAKER. EVERYONE WAS LISTENING TO ELIZABETH HOLMES. SHE HAD
12:06PM	23	A COMMANDING VOICE.
12:06PM	24	SO, YOU KNOW, MR. EDLIN, AGAIN, HE KNEW HER VERY WELL, AND
12:06PM	25	HE WAS IN A GOOD POSITION TO TALK ABOUT THAT.

SO THIS IS WHAT ATTRACTED ALL OF THESE PEOPLE, INCLUDING MR. BALWANI, TO THERANOS AND TO THE VISION THAT SHE HAD.

YOU KNOW, WE HAD A CHANCE -- I'M GOING TO MENTION THIS NOW, BUT I'LL TALK ABOUT IT MORE LATER. WE HAD A CHANCE TO HEAR WHAT SHE REALLY SOUNDED LIKE WHEN SHE TALKED TO INVESTORS, AND THAT WAS WHEN BRYAN TOLBERT WAS ON THE STAND, AND HE EXPLAINED THERE WAS A TAPE RECORDING OF HER TALKING TO INVESTORS, AND THAT SHE WAS TALKING ABOUT THINGS RELATED TO THERANOS, INCLUDING THE MILITARY RELATIONSHIPS AND THE BLOOD TESTING.

AND THE GOVERNMENT HAD IT, BUT THEY DECIDED NOT TO PLAY IT FOR YOU. SO WE LOST THAT CHANCE FOR YOU TO HEAR DIRECTLY WHAT SHE WAS LIKE. SO WE CAN SEE WHAT MR. EDLIN SAID, BUT WE DON'T HAVE THAT. AND, YOU KNOW, THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T WANT YOU TO HEAR THAT, WHAT SHE ACTUALLY SAID.

SO THIS IS THE INSTRUCTION I WAS TALKING ABOUT A MINUTE AGO.

SO JUDGE DAVILA WILL TELL YOU WHEN HE INSTRUCTS YOU AS A JURY THAT THE CASE AGAINST MS. HOLMES IS NOT BEFORE YOU. YOU SHOULD NOT SPECULATE WHY. IT SHOULDN'T INFLUENCE YOUR DECISION AS TO MR. BALWANI.

AND YOU HAVE ALSO HEARD EVIDENCE, BECAUSE SOME WITNESSES HAVE SAID THIS, THAT THERE WAS A PREVIOUS TRIAL. YOU HAVE TO DECIDE THIS CASE BASED ONLY ON THE EVIDENCE THAT IS BEFORE YOU IN THIS TRIAL, WHICH YOU HAVE SEEN AND HEARD WITH YOUR EYES AND

25

12:07PM

1

2

3

4

12:06PM

12:06PM

12:06PM

12:06PM

1 12:07PM 2 12:07PM 3 12:07PM 4 12:07PM 12:07PM 12:08PM 12:08PM 8 12:08PM 9 12:08PM 10 12:08PM 12:08PM 11 12 12:08PM 13 12:08PM 14 12:08PM 15 12:08PM 16 12:08PM 17 12:08PM 18 12:08PM 19 12:09PM 20 12:09PM 21 12:09PM 22 12:09PM 23 12:09PM 24 12:09PM 25 12:09PM

EARS OF THIS TRIAL, AND WHAT THE LAWYERS ARE TELLING YOU ABOUT THE EVIDENCE. THAT'S THE EVIDENCE THAT YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER.

IT'S NOT ANYTHING THAT HAPPENED IN MS. HOLMES'S TRIAL. AND WHATEVER HAPPENED TO MS. HOLMES IS NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU NEED TO OR SHOULD OR CAN CONSIDER. SO I JUST WANTED TO MENTION THAT. IT'S IMPORTANT. AND THIS TRIAL IS ABOUT MR. BALWANI AND THE EVIDENCE THAT HAS COME IN DURING THIS TRIAL ABOUT HIM.

OKAY. WHICH LEADS ME TO A SUBJECT THAT IS IMPORTANT HERE, AND, THAT IS, SOME OF THE OTHER LAW THAT IS GOING TO BE APPLICABLE, AND I WANT TO JUST GO THROUGH SOME OF THE IMPORTANT THINGS THAT YOU SHOULD KEEP IN MIND.

AS A JURY WHEN YOU DELIBERATE -- AND THIS IS GOING TO BE PART OF THE INSTRUCTIONS. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT WIRE FRAUD.

SO IN ORDER TO FIND MR. BALWANI GUILTY OF WIRE FRAUD BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, YOU'D HAVE IT FIND THAT HE KNOWINGLY PARTICIPATED IN DEVISE OR INTENDED TO DEVISE A SCHEME OR PLAN TO DEFRAUD, OR SCHEME OR PLAN TO OBTAIN MONEY OR PROPERTY BY MEANS OF FALSE OR FRAUDULENT PRETENSES, REPRESENTATIONS, OR PROMISES.

SO THERE ARE SEVERAL PARTS TO THAT.

FIRST, YOU HAVE TO FIND THAT HE DID THIS KNOWINGLY. OTHER WORDS, HE KNEW, FOR EXAMPLE, THAT A LAB TEST WAS, OR NOT EVEN JUST ONE LAB TEST, BUT, YOU KNOW, ON A WHOLESALE BASIS, A SYSTEMIC BASIS, LAB TESTING WAS INACCURATE, AND HE ALLOWED THEM TO GO FORWARD AND HAVE PATIENT RESULTS RELEASED ANYWAY. NOT

THAT HE WAS TRYING TO FIX THE PROBLEM OR HE WAS RELYING ON A 1 12:09PM SCIENTIFIC TEAM OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. YOU HAVE TO FIND THAT 2 12:09PM HE KNOWINGLY DID THAT. I KNOW THE LAB'S SYSTEMICALLY BAD, AND 3 12:09PM 4 I DON'T CARE, I'M GOING TO RELEASE THOSE RESULTS ANYWAY. YOU 12:09PM HAVE GOT TO FIND THAT. 12:09PM IT'S ALSO, YOU'VE GOT TO --12:09PM MR. SCHENK: YOUR HONOR, I'M GOING TO OBJECT. THAT 12:09PM IS A MISSTATEMENT OF THE APPLICABLE LAW. 8 12:09PM 9 THE COURT: WELL, WHAT COUNSEL SAY, LADIES AND 12:09PM 10 GENTLEMEN, AGAIN, IS JUST ARGUMENT. YOU WILL HAVE THE 12:09PM 12:09PM 11 INSTRUCTIONS. THE INSTRUCTION IS IN FRONT OF YOU HERE, AND 12 COUNSEL CAN COMMENT ON THE INSTRUCTION, BUT THE LAW WILL BE 12:09PM GIVEN TO YOU BY ME. 12:09PM 13 14 SO, MR. COOPERSMITH, IF YOU COULD. 12:09PM MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. 15 12:09PM AND I THINK THAT'S EXACTLY RIGHT, WHAT JUDGE DAVILA TELLS 16 12:10PM 17 YOU ABOUT THE LAW IS WHAT SHOULD GOVERN YOUR PROCEEDINGS. AND 12:10PM 18 IT'S GOING TO BE IN YOUR INSTRUCTIONS. AND SO IT'S RIGHT ON 12:10PM THE SCREEN IN FRONT IT OF YOU. 12:10PM 19 20 12:10PM 21 12:10PM

AND THEN YOU WOULD HAVE TO FIND IN THAT HIGHLIGHTED SECTION BELOW THAT THE INTENT TO DEFRAUD, THAT MR. BALWANI HAD THE INTENT TO DECEIVE OR CHEAT.

SO, IN OTHER WORDS, ON THE PATIENT SIDE OF THIS CASE, HE ACTUALLY INTENDED TO CHEAT THE PATIENTS OUT OF THEIR MONEY THAT THEY WERE PAYING FOR A LAB TEST. THE PEOPLE WHO ACTUALLY PAID

12:10PM

25

UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS

FOR THEIR OWN LAB TEST. 1 12:10PM SO THAT WOULD BE IF THEY PAID \$35, MR. BALWANI, ACCORDING 2 12:10PM 3 12:10PM 4 12:10PM 12:10PM 12:10PM 8

TO THE GOVERNMENT, INTENDED TO DECEIVE OR CHEAT THOSE PEOPLE OUT OF THEIR \$35, EVEN THOUGH, AS WE SAW FROM OR HEARD FROM MS. SPIVEY, THERANOS NEVER ASKED FOR ANY OF THAT MONEY FROM WALGREENS. SO YOU KIND OF WONDER HOW COULD THAT BE THE INTENT? BUT IN ANY EVENT, THOSE ARE THE ELEMENTS OF WIRE FRAUD.

THERE ALSO HAS TO BE AN INTERSTATE WIRE COMMUNICATION. AS MR. SCHENK TOLD YOU, SOME OF THAT IS STIPULATED TO WITH THE INVESTMENT WIRES. WE'RE NOT GOING TO ARGUE ABOUT THINGS THAT DON'T MAKE SENSE TO ARGUE.

IT IS TRUE THAT INVESTORS TRANSFERRED MONEY TO THERANOS, BECAUSE THEY WERE INVESTORS, BY WIRE TRANSFER. SO THAT ELEMENT WOULD BE MET FOR THE INVESTOR WIRE TRANSFERS.

THERE WERE SOME OTHER COUNTS HERE INVOLVING PATIENTS WHERE THAT IS IN DISPUTE, AND I'LL TALK ABOUT THAT A LITTLE BIT LATER.

IF WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.

THIS IS CONSPIRACY. THERE HAS TO BE AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN TWO OR MORE PEOPLE, SUCH AS MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES, TO COMMIT WIRE FRAUD.

AND THEY HAVE TO ENTER INTO THE AGREEMENT KNOWING THAT THE OBJECT IS TO COMMIT WIRE FRAUD. THAT'S THE PURPOSE OF THIS AGREEMENT. THAT'S WHAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS TO PROVE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT.

AND I JUST WANT TO HIGHLIGHT A FEW OTHER THINGS HERE. AS 1 12:11PM YOU CAN SEE SORT OF TOWARDS THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE IN THE 2 12:11PM 3 SECOND TO THE LAST PARAGRAPH, NOR IS IT ENOUGH, STANDING ALONE, 12:11PM THAT THEY HAD A BUSINESS OR ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP. YOU MUST 4 12:11PM FIND THAT THERE WAS A PLAN TO COMMIT WIRE FRAUD. 12:12PM SO WE'VE HEARD, AND IT'S TRUE, MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES 12:12PM WERE IN A ROMANTIC RELATIONSHIP. YOU HAVE SEEN TEXT MESSAGES 12:12PM BETWEEN THEM. BUT THE FACT THAT THEY ARE IN A RELATIONSHIP, 8 12:12PM 9 EVEN A VERY CLOSE RELATIONSHIP, IS NOT ENOUGH TO FIND THAT 12:12PM THEY'RE IN A CONSPIRACY. YOU HAVE TO FIND THAT THEY AGREED TO 10 12:12PM COMMIT A CRIME, IN THIS CASE WIRE FRAUD. 12:12PM 11 12 AS I'VE SAID YESTERDAY, WHEN YOU LOOK AT ALL OF THE TEXT 12:12PM 13 MESSAGES AND THE EMAILS, THERE'S NOT A SINGLE TIME WHEN 12:12PM 14 MR. BALWANI OR MS. HOLMES SAYS LET'S DO CRIME, LET'S CHEAT 12:12PM PEOPLE, LET'S DECEIVE PEOPLE. 15 12:12PM 16 WE SAW JUST A LITTLE BIT BEFORE THE BREAK. THEY SAID, 12:12PM 17 WELL, OUR DATA IS GOOD. I MEAN, THAT'S THE OPPOSITE OF A 12:12PM 18 CONSPIRACY TO CHEAT AND DECEIVE PEOPLE. 12:12PM 19 AND THEN YOU HAVE TO FIND BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, TO 12:12PM FIND MR. BALWANI GUILTY OF CONSPIRACY, THAT HE BECAME A MEMBER 12:12PM 20 21 OF THE CONSPIRACY BY WILLFULLY PARTICIPATING IN THE UNLAWFUL 12:12PM PLAN WITH THE INTENT TO ADVANCE SOME CRIMINAL OBJECT BASICALLY. 22 12:12PM SO WHAT DOES WILLFULLY MEAN? 23 12:13PM WILLFULLY MEANS THAT MR. BALWANI WOULD HAVE TO HAVE ACTED 24 12:13PM 25 WITH THE KNOWLEDGE THAT HIS CONDUCT WAS UNLAWFUL AND WITH THE 12:13PM

INTENT TO DO SOMETHING THAT THE LAW FORBIDS. SO HE WOULD HAVE 1 12:13PM TO ENTER INTO THE CONSPIRATORIAL, ALLEGED CONSPIRATORIAL 2 12:13PM RELATIONSHIP KNOWING THAT I'M HERE DOING SOMETHING UNLAWFUL, 3 12:13PM 4 HE'D HAVE TO BE THINKING, AND I HAVE THE INTENT TO DO SOMETHING 12:13PM THAT THE LAW FORBIDS. THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE --IT'S NOT THAT 12:13PM MR. BALWANI WAS IN GOOD FAITH TRYING TO FIX THE PROBLEMS AS 12:13PM THEY AROSE AND SO FORTH. 12:13PM IT WOULD BE, I KNOW I'M DOING WRONG, AND I'M GOING TO DO 8 12:13PM 9 IT ANYWAY. THAT'S WHAT THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE TO PROVE 12:13PM UNDER THE WILLFULLY STANDARD OF CONSPIRACY. 10 12:13PM OKAY. SO I WANT TO SHOW YOU SOME OF THE TEXT MESSAGES 12:13PM 11 12 THAT GO TO THIS POINT. SO THERE'S A MAY 9TH TEXT MESSAGE, AND 12:14PM 13 I'M NOT SHOWING YOU THAT ONE YET, BUT LET ME JUST TALK ABOUT 12:14PM 14 IT. 12:14PM SO THERE'S A MAY 9TH, 2012 TEXT MESSAGE THAT THE 15 12:14PM GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU. AND THIS IS WHERE ELIZABETH HOLMES 16 12:14PM 17 WROTE TO MR. BALWANI, "WE HAVE TO WORK TOGETHER ON THE REVENUE 12:14PM 18 PIECE." 12:14PM 12:14PM 19 AND THEN IT GOES ON, ON MAY 9TH, 2012 TO SAY, "WE NEED 20 REVENUE." 12:14PM 21 AND THE GOVERNMENT USED THAT IN ITS REMARKS YESTERDAY, 12:14PM 22 POINTING TO THIS AS SOME KIND OF EVIDENCE OF CONSPIRACY. 12:14PM WELL, EVERY COMPANY NEEDS REVENUE. THE FACT THAT THE COO 23 12:14PM 24 AND THE CEO ARE SAYING "WE NEED REVENUE," I THINK THAT 12:14PM DISCUSSION WILL GO ON IN THE OFFICES OF EXECUTIVES ALL OVER THE 25 12:14PM

COUNTRY, ALL OVER THE WORLD EVERY SINGLE DAY. REVENUE IS 1 12:14PM IMPORTANT. NO QUESTION IN COMMERCE AND IN BUSINESS. 2 12:14PM BUT THE GOVERNMENT MENTIONED THAT 2012 TEXT THAT I JUST 3 12:14PM 4 MENTIONED FROM 2012 IN THE SAME BREADTH AS THE ONE I'M SHOWING 12:14PM YOU ON THE SCREEN NOW WHERE MR. BALWANI SAYS --12:14PM I'M SORRY. IF YOU COULD TAKE THAT DOWN, MR. ALLEN. I 12:15PM JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I'M ON THE RIGHT TRACK. 12:15PM I'M TALKING ABOUT A JULY 2015 TEXT WHERE YOU PROBABLY 8 12:15PM 9 REMEMBER WHERE MR. BALWANI SAID HE'S RESPONSIBLE FOR EVERYTHING 12:15PM 10 AT THERANOS, "ALL HAVE BEEN MY DECISIONS TOO." 12:15PM AND THE GOVERNMENT MENTIONED THAT IN THE SAME BREADTH AS 12:15PM 11 12 THIS TEXT MESSAGE FROM THREE YEARS BEFORE WHERE THEY TALKED 12:15PM 13 ABOUT NEEDING REVENUE. 12:15PM 14 AND THEN MR. SCHENK SAID -- AND THEN MR. BALWANI SAID ALL 12:15PM HAVE BEEN HIS DECISIONS TOO. 15 12:15PM AND THE GOVERNMENT POINTS TO "ALL HAVE BEEN MY DECISIONS 16 12:15PM 17 TOO" AS SOME KIND OF INCRIMINATING EVIDENCE AGAINST 12:15PM 18 MR. BALWANI. WELL, HE WAS THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER AND 12:15PM 19 PRESIDENT OF THERANOS. OF COURSE, HE HAD A HAND IN MAKING THE 12:15PM DECISIONS AT THERANOS. THE DEFENSE HAS NEVER CLAIMED 12:15PM 20 21 OTHERWISE. 12:15PM MR. BALWANI MADE THE JUDGMENTS HE MADE. 22 12:15PM AND AS I SAID YESTERDAY, IN HINDSIGHT MAYBE WE COULD FIND 23 12:15PM 24 SOME INSTANCE WHERE HE SHOULD HAVE MADE A DIFFERENT JUDGMENT, 12:16PM BUT THAT'S NOT THE STANDARD. YOU LOOK AT THINGS IN REAL TIME 25 12:16PM

AS THEY ARE HAPPENING. 1 12:16PM

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12:16PM

12:17PM

12:17PM

12:17PM

12:17PM

12:17PM

12:17PM

AND FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO SAY A TEXT THAT SAYS "I'M RESPONSIBLE FOR THINGS AT THERANOS" IS SOMEHOW INCRIMINATING, THAT ASSUMES THAT THEY'VE ALREADY PROVEN THEIR CASE. SO, SURE, IF THE GOVERNMENT HAD ALREADY PROVEN THEIR CASE BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, THEN SOMEONE SAYING, "YES, I'M RESPONSIBLE FOR EVERYTHING AT THERANOS," THAT MIGHT BE INCRIMINATING OR A PROBLEM WILL, BUT THAT HAS NOT BEEN DETERMINED. THAT'S FOR YOU, THE JURY, TO DECIDE.

AND THERE'S NOTHING INCRIMINATING ABOUT WHAT MR. BALWANI IS SAYING, YEAH, I'M THE COO AND PRESIDENT OF THE COMPANY, I'VE MADE DECISIONS AT THERANOS, IT'S BEEN MY DECISIONS, TOO, I'M RESPONSIBLE.

HE WAS A VERY SENIOR OFFICER AT THERANOS, AND WE'RE NOT CLAIMING OTHERWISE, AND HE WAS RESPONSIBLE ALONG WITH MS. HOLMES FOR THE OPERATION OF THERANOS.

NOW, I WANT TO SHOW YOU THE TEXT I WAS GOING TO SHOW YOU, AND I GOT A LITTLE CONFUSED THERE FOR A MINUTE. BUT BASICALLY THIS IS THE TEXT MESSAGE THAT MR. BALWANI SENDS TO MS. HOLMES JUST THE DAY AFTER THIS "WALL STREET JOURNAL" -- THE NEGATIVE "WALL STREET JOURNAL" ARTICLE THAT YOU'VE HEARD ABOUT.

SO THE NEXT DAY HE SAYS, "I KNOW. I AM STRONG ON FACTS. THEY ALWAYS REACT TO ANYTHING BUT I WILL BE STRONG."

SO MR. BALWANI IS NOT SAYING, OH,

"THE WALL STREET JOURNAL," THEY FOUND OUT SOME STUFF, AND WE'RE

12:18PM

12:18PM

25

MR. BALWANI, AND YOU DON'T HAVE TO -- YOU CANNOT TAKE THAT INTO

25

12:20PM

ACCOUNT IN DECIDING HOW TO VOTE ON THE VERDICTS IN THIS CASE.

SO I JUST THINK THAT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE.

LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.

OKAY. THIS IS A REALLY IMPORTANT CONCEPT, REASONABLE DOUBT, AND THIS IS THE STANDARD OF PROOF THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS, THE BURDEN OF PROOF.

SO THIS IS NOT A SITUATION WHERE, WELL, IF YOU THINK IT'S MORE LIKELY, A LITTLE MORE LIKELY THAT SOMETHING HAPPENED VERSUS DIDN'T HAPPEN, THAT'S NOT GOOD ENOUGH.

THE GOVERNMENT HAS TO PROVE EVERY ELEMENT OF THE OFFENSES
THEY'VE CHARGED, WIRE FRAUD AND CONSPIRACY, BEYOND A REASONABLE
DOUBT. AND THIS IS THE INSTRUCTION THAT I PUT ON THE SCREEN
THAT JUDGE DAVILA WILL GIVE YOU DEFINING THE CONCEPT OF
REASONABLE DOUBT.

AND AS YOU CAN SEE, REASONABLE DOUBT MAY ARISE FROM A CAREFUL AND IMPARTIAL CONSIDERATION OF ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, OR FROM A LACK OF EVIDENCE.

SO IF YOU DON'T THINK THERE'S ENOUGH EVIDENCE, THAT'S ANOTHER REASON THAT YOU COULD FIND REASONABLE DOUBT, AND, THEREFORE, VOTE FOR ACQUITTAL.

IT GOES ON. IF AFTER A CAREFUL AND IMPARTIAL

CONSIDERATION OF ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, YOU ARE NOT CONVINCED

BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT THAT MR. BALWANI IS GUILTY, IT IS

YOUR DUTY TO FIND MR. BALWANI NOT GUILTY. SO YOU'D HAVE TO BE

CONVINCED BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT.

12:20PM	1	AND THEN I WANT TO SHOW YOU OUR VIEW OF REASONABLE DOUBT.
12:20PM	2	THIS IS A STAIR STEPS, YOU KNOW, JUST TO USE THAT ANALOGY, OF
12:20PM	3	VARIOUS STANDARDS THAT COULD APPLY.
12:20PM	4	YOU KNOW, THE BOTTOM STEP, AS YOU SEE, IS NO EVIDENCE.
12:20PM	5	SO, OF COURSE, NOTHING HAPPENS.
12:20PM	6	SCINTILLA OF EVIDENCE. A VERY SMALL AMOUNT OF EVIDENCE
12:20PM	7	MIGHT BE APPROPRIATE IN SOME CASES.
12:20PM	8	PROBABLE CAUSE LIKE TO GET A SEARCH WARRANT OR EVEN AN
12:20PM	9	INDICTMENT.
12:20PM	10	PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE I BRIEFLY MENTIONED A MINUTE
12:20PM	11	AGO, BUT THAT'S WHERE ONE VERSION OF THE FACTS OR EVENTS IS
12:20PM	12	SLIGHTLY MORE, EVEN JUST OVER 50 PERCENT, MORE LIKELY THAN THE
12:20PM	13	OTHER, THAT WOULD BE PREPONDERANCE OF THE EVIDENCE. THAT'S THE
12:20PM	14	KIND OF STANDARD THAT GOVERNS IN CIVIL TRIALS. THIS IS A
12:20PM	15	CRIMINAL TRIAL. SO THAT'S NOT THE STANDARD THAT YOU HAVE TO
12:21PM	16	USE.
12:21PM	17	CLEAR AND CONVINCING EVIDENCE AS WE UNDERSTAND IS IT IS
12:21PM	18	SOMETIMES USED IN THE LAW.
12:21PM	19	REASONABLE DOUBT. IF YOU GOT TO THAT STEP, YOU WOULD
12:21PM	20	STILL HAVE REASONABLE DOUBT, AND YOU WOULD HAVE TO VOTE FOR
12:21PM	21	ACQUITTAL.
12:21PM	22	AND THEN, OF COURSE, BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT. THAT'S
12:21PM	23	THE STANDARD, THE HIGH STEP THAT YOU WOULD NEED TO FIND, TO
12:21PM	24	FIND MR. BALWANI GUILTY OF ANYTHING.
12:21PM	25	AND SOMETIMES IT'S A HARD CONCEPT BECAUSE IT'S NOT

NECESSARILY SOMETHING THAT YOU USE IN YOUR EVERY DAY LIFE, 1 12:21PM EXCEPT IT'S MAYBE WORTH TALKING ABOUT IT IN THIS WAY, THAT 2 12:21PM THINK ABOUT THE MOST IMPORTANT DECISION THAT YOU'VE EVER HAD TO 3 12:21PM 4 MAKE IN YOUR LIFE, AND WHAT STANDARD WOULD YOU WANT TO HAVE? 12:21PM WHAT -- HOW MUCH, YOU KNOW, EVIDENCE? WHAT -- THE FACTS THAT 12:21PM 5 YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF YOU IN ORDER TO MAKE THE BEST POSSIBLE 12:21PM DECISION THAT YOU CAN MAKE. NOT ABOUT SOME EVERY DAY THING, 12:21PM WHAT OUTFIT SHOULD I WEAR? THINGS LIKE THAT. BUT THE MOST 8 12:21PM 9 IMPORTANT DECISION THAT YOU WOULD EVER HAVE TO MAKE AND WHAT 12:22PM STANDARD WOULD YOU WANT. 10 12:22PM AND THINK ABOUT IF YOU FELT THAT WHEN YOU WERE TRYING TO 12:22PM 11 12 12:22PM ENOUGH FACTS. YOU NEEDED MORE INFORMATION. YOU WOULD GO OUT 13 12:22PM 14 AND SEEK THAT INFORMATION, RIGHT? 12:22PM

MAKE THAT DECISION YOU JUST DIDN'T HAVE ENOUGH, YOU DIDN'T HAVE

NOW, OF COURSE, YOU CAN'T SEEK IT HERE BECAUSE THE INFORMATION THAT YOU'RE GETTING IS WHAT HAS BEEN SAID AND PRESENTED TO YOU WITHIN THE WALLS OF THIS COURTROOM.

BUT IF YOU NEED MORE EVIDENCE, IF YOU FEEL LIKE YOU NEED MORE TO MAKE THAT REALLY IMPORTANT DECISION, AND THIS IS THE MOST IMPORTANT DECISION THAT MR. BALWANI WILL EVER FACE, YOU WOULD NEED TO, IF YOU DON'T THINK YOU HAVE ENOUGH EVIDENCE, THAT'S REASONABLE DOUBT. AND THAT'S WHY JUDGE DAVILA WILL TELL YOU WHEN HE INSTRUCTS YOU THAT A LACK OF EVIDENCE IS ALSO A REASON TO FIND REASONABLE DOUBT.

BUT IT'S NOT JUST A LACK OF EVIDENCE. WE HAVE PRESENTED A

UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

12:22PM

1 12:22PM 2 12:22PM 3 12:22PM 4 12:22PM 12:22PM 12:22PM 12:23PM 8 12:23PM 9 12:23PM 10 12:23PM 12:23PM 11 12 12:23PM 13 12:23PM 14 12:23PM 15 12:23PM 16 12:23PM 17 12:23PM 18 12:23PM 19 12:23PM 12:23PM 20 21 12:23PM 22 12:23PM 23 12:24PM 24 12:24PM

25

12:24PM

LOT OF EVIDENCE, AND IT'S ALL OF THE EMAILS AND ALL OF THE THINGS THAT WE HAVE BEEN PRESENTING, MUCH OF WHICH THE GOVERNMENT HAS NOT SHOWN YOU, THAT LEADS TO THAT REASONABLE DOUBT DECISION.

AND I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THAT CONCEPT WAS AS CLEAR AS I CAN MAKE IT. AGAIN, THE GOVERNMENT HASN'T PROVED MR. BALWANI GUILTY OF ANYTHING, ANY OF THE CHARGES. BUT THEY HAVE TO PROVE EACH AND EVERY ELEMENT OF THOSE CHARGES BEYOND A REASONABLE DOUBT, AND THAT'S WHAT WE'RE DOING HERE.

OKAY. LET'S SWITCH GEARS AND TALK ABOUT WHAT MATTERED TO INVESTORS AND IN PARTICULAR THE WALGREENS RELATIONSHIP. OKAY. SO I'VE TALKED SOME ABOUT THE THERANOS BOARD, BUT I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT IT A LITTLE BIT MORE.

SO INVESTORS TESTIFIED THAT THE COMPOSITION OF THE BOARD WAS IMPORTANT TO THEM. AND THAT'S NOT SURPRISING. THAT'S THE ULTIMATE AUTHORITY IN THE COMPANY.

WE SAW THE DOCUMENT YESTERDAY WHERE THE BOARD HAS THE ULTIMATE DECISION ON MATTERS FOR THE COMPANY. AND THAT THERE WAS A BOARD OF DIRECTORS, OF COURSE. WE DIDN'T HEAR FROM ANY OF THEM. THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T CALL THEM. BUT WE HAVE SOME INFORMATION ABOUT THEM.

SO LET'S TALK ABOUT WHO THE BOARD WAS. THIS IS THE EARLIER PERIOD, SO 2010. AS OF AUGUST OF 2010, THE BOARD WAS SUNNY BALWANI, ELIZABETH HOLMES, DON LUCAS, ROBERT SHAPIRO, PETER THOMAS, AND CHANNING ROBERTSON.

SO THIS IS THE SET OF BOARD MINUTES. 1 12:24PM AND THEN THIS IS ACTUALLY A DOCUMENT THAT I WAS JUST 2 12:24PM MENTIONING. I GUESS I'M SHOWING IT TO YOU NOW. THIS IS 3 12:24PM 4 AUGUST 10TH, 2010, AND IT'S THE AMENDED AND RESTATED BYLAWS OF 12:24PM THERANOS. IT'S WHAT YOU CALL A CORPORATE GOVERNANCE DOCUMENT. 12:24PM IT'S A BASIC DOCUMENT THAT GOVERNS THE OPERATION OF THE 12:24PM COMPANY, AND IT WAS LAST AMENDED AS OF AUGUST 2010. 12:24PM SO IF YOU LOOK AT THIS EXHIBIT, WHICH IS 20512, IT TALKS 8 12:24PM ABOUT THE POWERS OF THE DIRECTORS, AND THE HIGHLIGHTED PART 9 12:24PM THERE, "THE BUSINESS AND AFFAIRS OF THE COMPANY SHALL BE 10 12:24PM MANAGED AND ALL CORPORATE POWERS SHALL BE EXERCISED BY OR UNDER 12:24PM 11 12 THE DIRECTION OF THE BOARD." 12:24PM 13 SO THAT'S THE BOARD'S ROLE, AND THAT'S WHAT THE CORPORATE 12:24PM 14 DOCUMENTS PROVIDE. 12:25PM OKAY. AND I JUST WANT TO GO INTO A LITTLE MORE DETAIL 15 12:25PM 16 INTO WHO THE BOARD MEMBERS WERE. 12:25PM AND, MR. ALLEN, IF YOU COULD ZOOM IN ON THESE ONE AT A 17 12:25PM 18 TIME. 12:25PM 12:25PM 19 SO OBVIOUSLY MS. HOLMES WE KNOW WAS ON THE BOARD. GEORGE SHULTZ WAS ON THE BOARD, AND HE WAS THE SECRETARY 12:25PM 20 21 OF STATE IN THE REAGAN ADMINISTRATION. HE WAS THE RECIPIENT OF 12:25PM THE MEDAL OF FREEDOM, THE NATION'S HIGHEST CIVILIAN HONOR. 22 12:25PM SAM NUNN WAS ON THE UNITED STATES SENATOR FROM GEORGIA FOR 23 12:25PM 24 24 YEARS. HE ALSO SERVED ON THE BOARDS AT VARIOUS TIMES OF 12:25PM 25 CHEVRON CORPORATION, COCA-COLA COMPANY, DELL COMPUTER, 12:25PM

GENERAL ELECTRIC. 1 12:25PM WILLIAM FRIST, WHO I'VE MENTIONED, NATIONALLY RECOGNIZED 2 12:25PM HEART AND LUNG TRANSPLANT SURGEON AND FORMER U.S. SENATE 3 12:25PM 4 MAJORITY LEADER. 12:25PM GARY ROUGHEAD WAS A RETIRED UNITED STATES NAVY ADMIRAL AND 12:25PM 5 HE SERVED ON THE BOARD OF NORTHRUP GRUMMAN CORPORATION. 12:26PM JAMES MATTIS. RETIRED UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS GENERAL, 12:26PM WHO WAS THE COMMANDER OF THE UNITED STATES CENTRAL COMMAND ALSO 8 12:26PM 9 KNOWN AS CENTCOM. 12:26PM WILLIAM FOEGE I MENTIONED BEFORE. HE WAS THE FORMER 10 12:26PM DIRECTOR OF THE CENTERS OF DISEASE CONTROL. HE WAS ALSO SENIOR 12:26PM 11 12 MEDICAL ADVISOR FOR THE BILL AND MELINDA GATES FOUNDATION FOR A 12:26PM 13 NUMBER OF YEARS. 12:26PM 14 WILLIAM PERRY. ENTREPRENEUR, MATHEMATICIAN, AND ENGINEER. 12:26PM HE SERVED AS SECRETARY OF DEFENSE IN THE CLINTON 15 12:26PM 16 ADMINISTRATION. 12:26PM RICHARD KOVACEVICH WAS THE CEO OF WELLS FARGO. HE SERVED 17 12:26PM ON OTHER BOARDS AS WELL INCLUDING CARGILL, CLEARING HOUSE, AND 12:26PM 18 19 CISCO. 12:26PM RILEY BECHTEL. HE WAS CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OR WAS 12:26PM 20 21 CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD AND DIRECTOR OF BECHTEL GROUP. 12:26PM HENRY KISSINGER. A FAMILIAR NAME. HE WAS THE SECRETARY 22 12:26PM OF STATE DURING THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION AND THE FORD 23 12:27PM ADMINISTRATION, TOO, I BELIEVE. 24 12:27PM 25 AND THEN MR. BALWANI, WHO I SHOWED YOU THAT BIO EARLIER, 12:27PM

1 12:27PM 2 12:27PM 3 12:27PM 4 12:27PM 12:27PM 5 12:27PM 12:27PM 8 12:27PM 9 12:27PM 10 12:27PM 12:27PM 11 12 12:27PM 13 12:28PM 14 12:28PM 15 12:28PM 16 12:28PM 17 12:28PM 18 12:28PM 19 12:28PM 12:28PM 20 21 12:28PM 22 12:28PM 23 12:28PM 24 12:28PM 25 12:28PM

SO I WON'T REPEAT THAT.

BUT THAT WAS THE BOARD AT THERANOS THAT THE INVESTORS WERE UNDERSTANDABLY IMPRESSED WITH, AS WAS MR. BALWANI NO DOUBT.

IF YOU GO TO THE SET OF EXHIBITS HERE, IF YOU WANT TO
WRITE THESE DOWN, THOSE ARE THE BOARD MINUTES THAT ACTUALLY
CAME INTO EVIDENCE REFLECTING THE MEETINGS OF THE BOARD. AND
THOSE ARE THE EXHIBIT NUMBERS LISTED THERE ON THE LEFT. AND
THEY WOULD TELL YOU WHAT THE BOARD WAS DISCUSSING IN THOSE
BOARD MINUTES.

I WANTED TO SHOW YOU ONE OF THOSE, AND THAT'S FROM JANUARY 20TH, 2015, WHICH IS EXHIBIT 20514.

THIS IS JUST SOME OF THE INFORMATION THAT THE BOARD WOULD HAVE DISCUSSED OR DID DISCUSS.

SO HERE YOU SEE THE BOARD RESOLVED TO APPROVE AMENDMENTS

OF THE COMPANY'S SERIES C-2 STOCK PURCHASE AGREEMENT AND

ARTICLES OF INCORPORATION TO ALLOW FOR THE ISSUANCE OF UP TO AN ADDITIONAL \$800 MILLION OF SERIES C-2 SHARES.

SO C-2 SHARES, THESE WOULD BE INVESTORS LIKE MR. MOSLEY

AND RDV WHO BOUGHT INTO THAT, WHAT THEY CALL THE C-2 ROUND, AND

THE BOARD AUTHORIZED THAT.

AND THE BOARD ALSO HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT CONTINUED FOCUS
ON PROMOTING FROM WITHIN SCALING THE COMPANY'S OPERATIONS,
CONTINUING TO DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT THE COMPANY'S NEXT
GENERATION TECHNOLOGIES, AND THEN THEY WOULD HAVE HAD A FULL
DISCUSSION ABOUT THOSE TOPICS.

IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT COMMERCIAL AND OPERATIONS UPDATE. 1 12:28PM MS. HOLMES GAVE THE BOARD AN UPDATE OF THE COMPANY'S 2 12:28PM COMMERCIAL OPERATIONS, INCLUDING ITS CONTRACTS AND FOCAL AREAS 3 12:28PM 4 IN RETAIL AND HOSPITAL AND PHYSICIAN GROUPS. 12:28PM MS. HOLMES PROVIDED THE BOARD WITH AN OVERVIEW OF THE 12:29PM 5 COMPANY'S RETAIL PERFORMANCE. THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE 6 12:29PM WALGREENS RELATIONSHIP. 12:29PM MS. HOLMES DISCUSSED WITH THE BOARD THE COMPANY'S 8 12:29PM 9 STRATEGIC ALLIANCE WITH THE CLEVELAND CLINIC AS WELL AS OTHER 12:29PM 10 CONTRACTS. 12:29PM MS. HOLMES DESCRIBED TO THE BOARD THE COMPANY'S 12:29PM 11 12 COMMUNICATIONS INITIATIVES AND IN THAT CONTEXT DISCUSSED 12:29PM 13 CERTAIN INFORMATION DISCLOSED TO THE DIRECTORS THE COMPANY 12:29PM 14 NEEDED TO CONTINUE TO KEEP CONFIDENTIAL. 12:29PM SO THE BOARD IS BEING KEPT ABREAST OF THE AFFAIRS AT 15 12:29PM THERANOS AND THAT IS WHAT THESE MEETING MINUTES REFLECT. I'M 16 12:29PM 17 JUST PICKING THE ONE FROM JANUARY 15TH. 12:29PM OKAY. YOU CAN SEE MS. HOLMES REVIEWED AT THE BOARD THE 12:29PM 18 19 COMPANY'S HISTORICAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS. SO NOT THE 12:29PM 12:29PM 20 PROJECTIONS BUT THE ACTUAL FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, AND THE BOARD WAS AWARE OF THAT. WE'RE GOING TO SEE A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT 21 12:29PM THAT IN JUST A BIT. 22 12:29PM AND THEN DURING THE EXAMINATION OF MS. SPIVEY, YOU HEARD 23 12:29PM 24 SOME TALK ABOUT A COMPANY CALLED ARANCA, WHICH WAS AN OUTSIDE 12:30PM COMPANY THAT DID VALUATIONS OF THERANOS FOR THE PURPOSE OF THIS 25 12:30PM

1 12:30PM 2 12:30PM 3 12:30PM 4 12:30PM 12:30PM 12:30PM 12:30PM 8 12:30PM 9 12:30PM 10 12:30PM 12:30PM 11 12 12:30PM 13 12:30PM 14 12:31PM 15 12:31PM 16 12:31PM 17 12:31PM 18 12:31PM 19 12:31PM 12:31PM 20 21 12:31PM 22 12:31PM 23 12:31PM 24 12:31PM 25 12:31PM

I.R.S. CODE SECTION 409A.

AND THE BOARD REVIEWED THAT INDEPENDENT VALUATION REPORT

BY ARANCA AS WELL AS THE INFORMATION THAT WE'RE DISCUSSING, AND

WE'LL TALK MORE ABOUT THAT IN A MINUTE.

MS. HOLMES REVIEWED WITH THE BOARD THE COMPANY'S

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PORTFOLIO AND THE STRATEGY FOR ITS

CONTINUED DEVELOPMENT. THIS IS ALL OF THE PATENTS AND THE

TRADEMARKS AND THOSE THINGS THAT PROTECTED THERANOS'S

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, ITS INVENTIONS.

AND YOU CAN GO ON.

SO IN TERMS OF INVESTORS, THIS IS BRYAN TOLBERT. HE
TESTIFIED THAT HE WAS FROM GEORGIA, AND HE GREW UP IN GEORGIA,
AND THAT SAM NUNN WAS HIS SENATOR. SO THAT WAS MEANINGFUL TO
HIM THAT SAM NUNN WAS ON THE BOARD OF THERANOS. AND SO THAT IS
A FACTOR THAT ATTRACTED MR. TOLBERT.

LET'S TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE INFORMATION THAT THE BOARD HAD. SO IN PARTICULAR, SAM NUNN.

IF YOU CAN GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.

SO JUDGE DAVILA READ YOU A STIPULATION DURING TRIAL, AND IT'S ABOUT CERTAIN EXHIBITS. AND THE EXHIBIT NUMBERS ARE ACTUALLY 20788 AND 20145, IF ANYONE WANTS TO WRITE THAT DOWN. AND THE STIPULATION, I WON'T READ IT, BUT THE STIPULATION IS THAT SAM NUNN WHO WAS ON THE BOARD, FORMER SENATOR, WAS PROVIDED WITH CERTAIN INFORMATION BY THERANOS, AND HE ACTUALLY PRODUCED IT IN RESPONSE TO A SUBPOENA, SO THAT'S WHY WE HAVE

1 12:31PM 2 12:31PM 3 12:32PM 4 12:32PM 12:32PM 12:32PM 12:32PM 8 12:32PM 9 12:32PM 10 12:32PM 12:32PM 11 12 12:32PM 13 12:32PM 14 12:32PM 15 12:33PM 16 12:33PM 17 12:33PM 18 12:33PM 19 12:33PM 12:33PM 20 21 12:33PM 22 12:33PM 23 12:33PM 24 12:33PM 25 12:33PM

IT.

IF YOU CAN GO TO THE NEXT PAGE. SO THIS IS AN EMAIL FROM TEMPE STEPHEN DATED JULY 15TH, 2014.

AND THE EMAIL SAYS, "SENATOR -- THE THERANOS FINANCIALS

THAT VALERIA SENT YOU IS PASSWORD PROTECTED AND WILL PROBABLY

BE CUMBERSOME TO GET INTO FROM YOUR IPAD SO I'M ATTACHING IT

HERE FOR EASIER VIEWING. IT'S THREE PAGES LONG."

LET'S LOOK AT THE INFORMATION THAT MS. STEPHEN SENT. SO ON THE LEFT IS THE DOCUMENT THAT IS IN EVIDENCE THAT SAM NUNN WAS PROVIDED WITH THERANOS, AND THAT IS EXHIBIT 20788.

AND THEN ON THE RIGHT IS THE INFORMATION THAT MR. MOSLEY AND MS. PETERSON AT RDV HAD RECEIVED.

SO OTHER THAN THE HANDWRITING, WHICH IS MS. PETERSON'S HANDWRITING, IT'S IDENTICAL. SO THE BOARD HAD THE SAME EXACT INFORMATION THAT THE INVESTORS HAD. SO THEY WERE NOT HIDING THIS FROM THE BOARD. THIS WAS JUST AN ILLUSTRIOUS GROUP OF PEOPLE, INCLUDING IN THIS CASE A FORMER UNITED STATES SENATOR, SAM NUNN.

IF WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.

THIS IS EXHIBIT 20145. THIS IS ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

THAT SENATOR NUNN WAS PROVIDED BY THERANOS AND PRODUCED

PURSUANT TO A SUBPOENA. SO THIS IS ANOTHER PROJECTED STATEMENT

OF INCOME. YOU CAN SEE IT HAS THE SAME INFORMATION PROJECTING

NOT A FINANCIAL STATEMENT, BUT A PROJECTION FOR 2014, 2015.

AND THEN YOU CAN SEE THE HANDWRITING AS I READ IT, BUT YOU CAN

TRY TO MAKE IT OUT FOR YOURSELVES, WHICH IS OPTION VALUATION IS 1 12:33PM WHAT THE HANDWRITING LOOKS LIKE. 2 12:33PM AND YOU CAN SEE THERE ARE PROJECTIONS FOR 2014 AND 2015 OF 3 12:33PM 4 126 MILLION AND 929 MILLION FOR 2015. 12:33PM AND IF WE GO TO THE NEXT PAGE. 12:33PM THIS IS INCLUDED IN THE MATERIALS THAT SAM NUNN PROVIDED. 12:34PM AND IT'S THE SAME PROJECTIONS THAT MS. SPIVEY WAS SHOWN. 12:34PM IS PROJECTIONS FOR I.R.S. CODE 409A. SO SENATOR NUNN HAD THIS 8 12:34PM 9 AS WELL. 12:34PM IT PROJECTS REVENUE FOR 2014 OF \$930,000, 110 IN 2015, AND 10 12:34PM 220 IN 2016. 12:34PM 11 12 SO SAM NUNN HAD THE SAME INFORMATION THAT THE GOVERNMENT 12:34PM 12:34PM 13 WAS CLAIMING THAT WAS SOMEHOW FRAUDULENT BECAUSE THE 14 PROJECTIONS ARE LOWER FOR THIS SPECIAL PURPOSE AT 409A, BUT 12:34PM SAM NUNN HAD THAT, TOO. 15 12:34PM 16 HE ALSO HAD, IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, THE ACTUAL 12:34PM 17 HISTORICAL FINANCIALS FOR THERANOS. THIS WAS IN THE MATERIALS 12:34PM 18 THAT SENATOR NUNN WAS PROVIDED. 12:34PM 19 SO IT SHOWS THAT THE REVENUES, THE ACTUAL REVENUES FOR 12:34PM THESE YEARS 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, UP TO SEPTEMBER, RIGHT, 12:35PM 20 WHERE -- AND THIS IS IN THOUSANDS. SO THAT NUMBER FOR 21 12:35PM SEPTEMBER 14TH, SEPTEMBER 2014 RATHER, WAS -- IS \$70,000. 22 12:35PM SO SENATOR NUNN HAD INFORMATION FROM THERANOS THAT 23 12:35PM 24 THERANOS'S ACTUAL REVENUES WERE \$70,000. HE ALSO HAD IN HIS 12:35PM 25 POSSESSION THAT THEY WERE PROJECTING MUCH GREATER REVENUES IN 12:35PM

THE HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS IF THINGS WENT RIGHT WITH WALGREENS 1 12:35PM AND OTHER BUSINESS THAT THERANOS HAD. 2 12:35PM AND HE ALSO HAD PROJECTIONS THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS 3 12:35PM 4 CLAIMING ARE DIFFERENT THAT WENT TO ARANCA FOR THIS I.R.S. CODE 12:35PM 12:35PM SECTION. SO I DON'T KNOW IF THE GOVERNMENT IS CLAIMING THAT 12:35PM SENATOR NUNN IS IN ON THE CONSPIRACY, TOO, BUT NO EVIDENCE THAT 12:35PM THAT'S THE CASE. THESE ARE THE SORT OF LEADING LIKES IN OUR 8 12:35PM 9 SOCIETY WHO ARE ON THE BOARD AND HAD THIS VERY SAME 12:36PM INFORMATION. SO THERE'S NO HIDING IT FROM THEM, AND THERE'S NO 10 12:36PM SECRET HERE, AND THERE'S NO FRAUD. THAT'S REASONABLE DOUBT. 12:36PM 11 12 SO THE BOARD KNEW, AS I SAID, THAT THERANOS HAD LITTLE 12:36PM 13 REVENUE. THEY RECEIVED THE REVENUE PROJECTIONS PREPARED FOR 12:36PM 14 ARANCA AND HAD THE SAME FINANCIAL MODELS BASED ON THE WALGREENS 12:36PM ROLLOUT THAT WAS SHARED WITH THE 2014 INVESTORS. 15 12:36PM 16 HOW DID THE BOARD CONTRIBUTE TO INVESTORS'S DECISION TO 12:36PM INVEST? 17 12:36PM 18 MR. MOSLEY TESTIFIED THAT HE'S FAMILIAR WITH SOME OF THE 12:36PM 19 NAMES OF THE PEOPLE ON THE BOARD, EVEN APART FROM THERANOS, AT 12:36PM LEAST MOST OF THEM. 12:36PM 20 21 HE THOUGHT IT WAS A VERY IMPRESSIVE BOARD, AND IT 12:36PM CONTRIBUTED TO HIS DECISION TO INVEST. AND THAT'S 22 12:36PM 23 UNDERSTANDABLE. 12:36PM CHRIS LUCAS TESTIFIED THAT IT WAS THE MOST PRESTIGIOUS 24 12:36PM 25 BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF A PRIVATE COMPANY AT THE TIME, AND IT 12:36PM

GAVE HIM A LOT OF CONFIDENCE IN WHAT WAS HAPPENING AT THE 1 12:36PM 2 COMPANY. 12:36PM BRIAN GROSSMAN, AN EMAIL WHERE IT SAYS, "ONE OF THE MOST 3 12:36PM 4 IMPRESSIVE BOARDS I'VE EVER SEEN." 12:37PM AND THEN MR. GROSSMAN'S PARTNER, CHRIS JAMES, WROTE 12:37PM "WOWZY." THAT WAS THEIR REACTION. 12:37PM SO DR. ROBERTSON, HE WAS ON THE BOARD EARLIER. BUT HE HAD 12:37PM A CONVERSATION WITH MR. GROSSMAN, AND MR. GROSSMAN TESTIFIED 8 12:37PM DID DR. ROBERTSON TELL -- DR. ROBERTSON TOLD YOU DURING YOUR 9 12:37PM SECOND CALL WITH HIM THAT THERANOS WAS WAY BEYOND PROOF OF 10 12:37PM CONCEPT. DID HE TELL YOU THAT. 12:37PM 11 12:37PM 12 YES. 13 OUESTION: AND HE ALSO SAID THE TECHNOLOGY WORKS; RIGHT? 12:37PM 14 YES. 12:37PM AND HE TOLD YOU THAT HIS VIEW WAS THE TECHNOLOGY WORKED; 15 12:37PM 16 RIGHT? 12:37PM 17 THAT WAS HIS GENERAL MESSAGE, YES. 12:37PM 18 SO THIS WAS SOMETHING THAT MR. GROSSMAN WAS INFORMED OF BY 12:37PM CHANNING ROBERTSON, AND, OF COURSE, IT INFLUENCED 12:38PM 19 20 MR. GROSSMAN'S DECISION TO INVEST WHEN THIS FORMER PROFESSOR AT 12:38PM 21 STANFORD, CHEMICAL ENGINEERING PROFESSOR, IS TELLING HIM THE 12:38PM 22 TECHNOLOGY WORKS. THAT WAS THE NOTICE THAT MR. GROSSMAN GOT. 12:38PM MR. LUCAS, HE KNOWS OF CHANNING ROBERTSON. HE MET 23 12:38PM 24 CHANNING ROBERTSON. HE KNOWS THAT HE HAD A DISTINGUISHED AND 12:38PM 25 LONG CAREER AT STANFORD, AND HE KNEW HE WAS IN THE CHEMICAL 12:38PM

ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT. AND, IN FACT, HE WASN'T QUITE SURE IF 1 12:38PM HE WAS A DEAN AT STANFORD, BUT THAT WAS HIS ANSWER. 2 12:38PM MR. GROSSMAN REPORTED TO HIS PARTNER, CHRIS JAMES, ON 3 12:38PM 4 JANUARY 26TH, 2014, BEFORE HIS INVESTMENT, "I HAD A MIND 12:38PM BLOWING CALL WITH ELIZABETH'S PROFESSOR WHO HELPED HER START 12:38PM THIS. WAS THE ORIGINAL BOARD MEMBER." 12:38PM SO THIS IS INFORMATION THAT PEOPLE ARE GETTING NOT FROM 12:38PM MS. HOLMES OR MR. BALWANI EVEN, BUT FROM 8 12:38PM MR. CHANNING ROBERTSON, THE STANFORD PROFESSOR. 9 12:38PM AND MR. LUCAS WAS NOTIFIED THAT -- BY DR. ROBERTSON THAT 10 12:38PM THERANOS'S TECHNOLOGY WORKED GREAT? 12:39PM 11 12 HE SAID YES. 12:39PM 13 THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO HIM? 12:39PM 14 HE SAID YES, VALIDATING. 12:39PM SO THAT WAS THE INFORMATION THAT THOSE INVESTORS HAD. 15 12:39PM NOW, BEYOND THAT, WHAT INVESTORS WERE TOLD BY 16 12:39PM 17 CHANNING ROBERTSON AND HOW THAT GAVE HIM COMFORT, MR. BALWANI 12:39PM 18 ALSO HAD EVERY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THIS TECHNOLOGY WORKED, 12:39PM AND I WANT TO GO THROUGH SOME OF THE REASONS WHY THAT'S TRUE. 19 12:39PM BUT, FIRST, THIS IS ANOTHER INSTRUCTION THAT JUDGE DAVILA 12:39PM 20 21 WILL GIVE YOU. IT'S THE GOOD FAITH INSTRUCTION. AND YOU CAN 12:39PM 22 CONSIDER WHEN YOU'RE DELIBERATING WHETHER MR. BALWANI HAD AN 12:39PM HONEST GOOD FAITH BELIEF IN THE TRUTH OF THE SPECIFIC 23 12:39PM REPRESENTATIONS ALLEGED IN THE INDICTMENT IN DETERMINING 24 12:39PM 25 WHETHER OR NOT HE ACTED WITH INTENT TO DEFRAUD. SO GOOD FAITH 12:39PM

1 12:39PM 2 12:40PM 3 12:40PM 4 12:40PM 12:40PM 12:40PM 12:40PM 8 12:40PM 9 12:40PM 10 12:40PM 12:40PM 11 12 12:40PM 13 12:40PM 14 12:40PM 15 12:40PM 16 12:40PM 17 12:41PM 18 12:41PM 19 12:41PM 12:41PM 20 21 12:41PM 22 12:41PM 23 12:41PM 24 12:41PM 25 12:41PM

IS IMPORTANT FACTOR HERE. AND I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THAT SUBJECT IN TERMS OF WHY MR. BALWANI BELIEVED IN THE TECHNOLOGY.

SO HERE ARE JUST SOME OF THE FACTS, SOME OF WHICH WE REVIEWED AND SOME OF THESE WE WILL REVIEW, THAT LED MR. BALWANI TO THE BELIEF THAT THE TECHNOLOGY WORKED, THAT THIS WAS A COMPANY WORTHY OF HIS MULTIMILLION DOLLAR INVESTMENT, IT WAS A COMPANY WORTHY OF HIS TIME, IT WAS A COMPANY WORTHY OF HIM ASKING INVESTORS TO JOIN THE EFFORT TO MOVE THERANOS FORWARD, AND IT WAS A COMPANY WORTHY OF PROVIDING TESTS TO PATIENTS AS WELL BECAUSE THAT'S AN IMPORTANT PART OF THE TECHNOLOGY WORKING.

SO FIRST OF ALL, IN DECEMBER OF 2009, GLAXOSMITHKLINE COMPREHENSIVELY VALIDATED THE THERANOS SYSTEMS. THAT'S WHAT MR. BALWANI WAS INFORMED. AND WE'VE SEEN THAT EMAIL. IT'S EXHIBIT 15058.

IN 2010 TO 2013, THE COMPANY ENGAGED IN ASSAY DEVELOPMENT WORK TO DEVELOP ALL OF THESE SMALL SAMPLE ASSAYS.

IN APRIL OF 2010, APRIL 27TH, JOHNS HOPKINS EVALUATED THE TECHNOLOGY AND CONCLUDED, AMONG OTHER THINGS, THAT A SPECIAL STRENGTH OF THE TECHNOLOGY WAS ACCURACY. AND MR. BALWANI WAS IN THAT MEETING AT JOHNS HOPKINS.

IN SEPTEMBER OF 2010 THERANOS SENT ANALYZERS TO THE ARMY FOR A STUDY ABOUT HOW PATIENTS WHO WERE BURN VICTIMS COULD BE TREATED, AND THE THERANOS TECHNOLOGY WAS USED IN MONITORING THOSE PATIENTS WHEN THEY WERE UNDERGOING THAT STUDY. SO THAT

1 12:41PM 2 12:41PM 3 12:41PM 4 JOURNAL. 12:41PM 12:41PM 12:42PM 12:42PM 8 12:42PM 9 12:42PM 10 12:42PM 12:42PM 11 12 12:42PM 13 12:42PM 14 12:42PM 15 12:42PM 16 12:42PM 17 12:42PM 18 12:43PM 19 12:43PM 12:43PM 20 21 12:43PM 22 12:43PM 23 12:43PM 24 12:43PM 25 12:43PM

WAS ANOTHER -- WE'LL TALK ABOUT THAT MORE, BUT THAT WAS ANOTHER MULTI-YEAR STUDY WHERE THE THERANOS TECHNOLOGY WAS BEING USED, AND IT ACTUALLY RESULTED IN A PEER REVIEW ARTICLE IN A MEDICAL JOURNAL.

IN OCTOBER 19TH, 2010, DR. GIBBONS INFORMED MR. BALWANI OF A SCIENTIFIC BREAKTHROUGH. WE'VE SEEN THAT EMAIL YESTERDAY WHERE DR. GIBBONS SAID AND DEMONSTRATED THIS TECHNOLOGY ACROSS ALL OF THE FOUR TYPES OF TECHNOLOGY, SO CYTOMETRY, GENERAL CHEMISTRY, IMMUNOASSAYS, AND NUCLEIC ACID AMPLIFICATION.

BETWEEN 2011 AND 2013, WALGREENS HAD A THERANOS DEVICE, AN ANALYZER FOR THEMSELVES TO CONDUCT TESTING WITH. SO THERE'S NO SECRET THERE. IF WALGREENS THOUGHT THE DEVICE DIDN'T WORK, THEY COULD HAVE SAID WE'RE PULLING THE PLUG ON THIS, WE'RE NOT GOING TO LAUNCH, WE'RE NOT GOING DOING ANYTHING. BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT HAPPENED. AND THAT WAS ALL BEFORE THE LAUNCH.

DR. ROSENDORFF, OF COURSE, SIGNED 57 VALIDATION REPORTS.

THAT WOULD HAVE GIVEN MR. BALWANI GREAT CONFIDENCE IN THE

TECHNOLOGY. THOSE ARE VALIDATION REPORTS DONE AFTER EARLIER

ASSAY DEVELOPMENT WORK THAT ARE DESIGNED TO SHOW HEAD-TO-HEAD

COMPARISONS WITH FDA PREDICATE MACHINES. DR. ROSENDORFF WAS

COMFORTABLE PUTTING HIS NAME ON IT, AS WAS DR. YOUNG, THAT

THESE WERE TESTS WORTHY OF USE IN THE CLINICAL SETTING.

THERANOS RECEIVED THAT CLIA CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.

THAT'S AFTER THAT DECEMBER 2013 INSPECTION THAT I TALKED ABOUT

EARLIER, THE LAB WAS GIVEN A CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE. WE SAW

1 12:43PM 2 12:43PM 3 12:43PM 4 12:43PM 12:43PM 12:43PM 12:43PM 8 12:44PM 9 12:44PM 10 12:44PM 12:44PM 11 12 12:44PM 13 12:44PM 14 12:44PM 15 12:44PM 16 12:44PM 17 12:44PM 18 12:44PM 19 12:44PM 12:44PM 20 21 12:44PM 22 12:44PM 23 12:44PM 24 12:44PM 25 12:45PM

THAT DOCUMENT EARLIER.

DR. ROSENDORFF REPORTED THAT HE TOLD A PHYSICIAN THAT THERANOS HAD NO MORE ISSUES, PHYSICIAN INQUIRIES THAN THE UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH WHEN HE WAS THERE.

AND THAT AS WE SAW JUST BEFORE THE BREAK, THE ALTERNATIVE ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE WAS CONSISTENTLY SCORING AT 100 PERCENT.

AND THEN, OF COURSE, ON JULY 7TH, 2015, MR. BALWANI WAS NOTIFIED THAT THERANOS RECEIVED FDA APPROVAL FOR THE HSV-1 ASSAY RUNNING ON THERANOS APPLICATIONS. THAT APPLICATIONS WAS IN NOVEMBER 2014. SO IT TOOK MANY MONTHS FOR THE FDA TO MAKE THAT APPROVAL.

BUT CERTAINLY IF NOTHING ELSE, IF YOU'RE SUBMITTING YOUR SYSTEM, EVEN IF IT'S ONLY ONE ASSAY, AND THE FDA APPROVES IT, THAT WOULD GIVE MR. BALWANI GREAT CONFIDENCE THAT THE TECHNOLOGY ACTUALLY WORKS.

BECAUSE IF IT CAN RUN AN HSV-1 ASSAY, WE HAVE SEEN THIS
BEFORE, THERE ARE OTHER ASSAYS. THE MACHINE IS THE SAME
MACHINE. SO THERE'S NO REASON FOR MR. BALWANI TO THINK, OH, IT
CAN ONLY DO ONE ASSAY. NO. IT'S THE THERANOS SYSTEM, AND THAT
IS WHAT WAS APPROVED BY THE FDA AND AGAIN AFTER MANY MONTHS.

AND HERE'S THE ACTUAL DOCUMENTS THAT GO TO THE FDA APPROVAL.

SO MS. HOLMES SENDS AN EMAIL ON JULY 2ND, 2015. SHE SAYS, "IT IS WITH INCREDIBLE PRIDE THAT I OFFICIALLY LET YOU KNOW WE HAVE RECEIVED OUR FIRST FDA CLEARANCE IN OUR SYSTEM, AND THE

FIRST LABORATORY DEVELOPED TEST WE FILED: HSV-1." 1 12:45PM SO THAT WAS MS. HOLMES'S ANNOUNCEMENT TO THE EMPLOYEES AT 2 12:45PM 3 THERANOS. 12:45PM 4 AND THEN THERE WAS A SLIDE PRESENTATION THAT MR. EDLIN 12:45PM TALKED ABOUT THAT MR. BALWANI WAS AWARE OF BECAUSE HE WAS 12:45PM THERE, AND MR. BALWANI RECEIVED THIS INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT 12:45PM WENT INTO THAT; RIGHT? 12:45PM FIRST OF ALL, IT'S A MAJOR MILESTONE FOR THERANOS. THIS 8 12:45PM IS THE ANNOUNCEMENT. IT'S THE CLEARANCE GIVEN FOR THE TEST 9 12:45PM SYSTEM RUNNING HSV-1. THIS IS -- THAT K NUMBER IS THE FDA 10 12:45PM 12:45PM 11 CLEARANCE NUMBER. THERANOS RECEIVED FDA CLEARANCE AND REVIEW 12 AND VALIDATION OF REVOLUTIONARY FINGERSTICK TECHNOLOGY, TEST, 12:45PM 13 AND ASSOCIATED TEST SYSTEM. 12:45PM SO IT'S IMPORTANT THAT IT'S NOT JUST SOME ISOLATED ASSAYS. 14 12:45PM IT IS THAT HSV-1 ASSAY, BUT IT'S RUNNING ON THE THERANOS 15 12:45PM 16 SYSTEM, AND IT'S RUNNING WITH THE NANOTAINER, THE WHOLE 12:46PM 17 PACKAGE, AND THAT'S WHAT MR. BALWANI WAS MADE AWARE OF. 12:46PM 18 IF WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 12:46PM 19 SO THIS IS WHAT MR. BALWANI WAS INFORMED IT TOOK TO 12:46PM 20 ACTUALLY GET TO THAT POINT OF FDA APPROVAL FOR THAT PARTICULAR 12:46PM 21 TEST RUNNING ON THE THERANOS SYSTEM. OVER 13,000 CARTRIDGES 12:46PM 22 WERE RUN; 17,000 MAN HOURS; 1.1 MILLION PROTOCOL RUN TIME; 12:46PM 78 4S DEVICES, AND WE'VE SEEN A PICTURE OF THE 4S YESTERDAY; 23 12:46PM 4,506 CAPILLARY TUBES AND NANOTAINERS WERE COLLECTED BECAUSE OF 24 12:46PM THE SAMPLES; 2,750-PLUS PIECES OF FLUID PIPETTED; 146 DOCUMENTS 25 12:46PM

1 12:46PM 2 12:46PM 3 12:46PM 4 12:47PM 12:47PM 12:47PM 12:47PM 8 12:47PM 9 12:47PM 10 12:47PM 12:47PM 11 12 12:47PM 13 12:47PM 14 12:47PM 15 12:47PM 16 12:47PM 17 12:47PM 12:47PM 18 19 12:47PM 12:47PM 20 21 12:47PM 22 12:48PM 23 12:48PM 24 12:48PM 25 12:48PM

SUBMITTED; AND 2900 PAGES SUBMITTED TO THE FDA. THAT'S WHAT IT TOOK, MR. BALWANI WAS INFORMED, TO GET TO THE POINT OF FDA APPROVAL.

NOW, LET ME POSE THIS QUESTION. SO IF THAT'S, IN FACT,
WHAT IT TAKES TO GET FDA APPROVAL, IN OTHER WORDS, FOR THE FDA
TO LOOK AT THE DATA AND TO SAY, OKAY, AFTER MANY MONTHS WE'RE
SATISFIED THAT THIS TECHNOLOGY WORKS, IF THAT'S WHAT IT TAKES,
FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO PROVE IN A SCIENTIFIC FRAUD CASE THAT THE
TECHNOLOGY DOESN'T WORK AND MR. BALWANI KNOWS THAT, AND THAT
HE'S GOING FORWARD WITH PATIENT TESTING ANYWAY, AND HE'S GOING
FORWARD WITH INVESTOR SOLICITATION ANYWAY, SHOULDN'T THE
GOVERNMENT HAVE TO DO SOME TYPE OF RIGOROUS SCIENTIFIC ANALYSIS
HERE?

AND THERE'S ONLY ONE WAY TO DO THAT, AND THAT'S THROUGH
THE LABORATORY INFORMATION SYSTEM THAT HAD ALL OF THE DATA,
THAT HAD ALL OF THE PATIENT'S TESTING.

AND IF THIS IS WHAT IT TAKES TO GET ANOTHER GOVERNMENT

AGENCY, THE FDA, TO APPROVE SOMETHING, SHOULDN'T THE GOVERNMENT

HAVE TO MEET EVEN SOME OF THAT SAME STANDARD AS OPPOSED TO

PRESENTING A FEW PEOPLE WHO SAID I'VE GOT AN ACCURATE TEST,

FOUR PEOPLE?

I THINK THAT'S AN IMPORTANT QUESTION TO KEEP IN MIND AS WE GO THROUGH THIS AND YOU START YOUR DELIBERATIONS IS WHY ISN'T THERE SOME SCIENTIFICALLY RIGOROUS PROOF HERE IF THE GOVERNMENT IS CLAIMING, AS THEY ARE, THAT THE TECHNOLOGY DOESN'T WORK AND

1 12:48PM 2 12:48PM 3 12:48PM 4 12:48PM 12:48PM 12:48PM 12:48PM 8 12:48PM 9 12:48PM 10 12:49PM 12:49PM 11 12 12:49PM 13 12:49PM 14 12:49PM 15 12:49PM 16 12:49PM 17 12:49PM 18 12:49PM 19 12:49PM 20 12:49PM 21 12:49PM 22 12:49PM 23 12:49PM 24 12:50PM 25 12:50PM

THAT MR. BALWANI KNEW THAT.

AS WE KNOW, THE FDA DID APPROVE. AND THIS IS THE WEBSITE FROM THE FDA. THIS IS EXHIBIT 20817. YOU CAN SEE IN THE VERY BOTTOM THIS WEBSITE WAS RETRIEVED ON MAY 18TH, 2022. SO IT'S STILL UP ON THE FDA WEBSITE.

YOU CAN SEE THE DATE RECEIVED FOR THE FDA IS

NOVEMBER 12TH, 2014. AND THAT WOULD MEAN THAT ALL OF THAT WORK

HAD TO BE DONE BY NOVEMBER 2014 IN ORDER TO SUBMIT TO THE FDA

THE APPLICATION THAT RESULTED IN THE JULY 2015 APPROVAL.

SO THAT'S THE FDA WEBSITE.

AND THEN IF YOU LOOK AT WHAT'S BEHIND THOSE LINKS, THIS IS
THE LETTER FROM SALLY HOJVAT, WHO IS A DIRECTOR OF THE DIVISION
OF MICROBIOLOGY DEVICES AT THE FDA, AND SHE WRITES, "WE HAVE
REVIEWED YOUR SECTION 510(K) PREMARKET NOTIFICATION OF INTENT
TO MARKET THE DEVICE REFERENCED ABOVE AND HAVE DETERMINED THE
DEVICE IS SUBSTANTIALLY EQUIVALENT TO LEGALLY MARKETED
PREDICATE DEVICES MARKETED IN INTERSTATE COMMERCE."

SO THIS IS THE 4S DEVICE, AND THAT IS WHAT THE FDA CONCLUDED AFTER A LENGTHY REVIEW.

IT'S NOT ONLY THE APPROVAL ITSELF, BUT THERE'S ALSO THIS OTHER DOCUMENT, WHICH IS CALLED A CLIA WAIVER LETTER.

SO YOU'LL SEE THAT MR. EDLIN TESTIFIED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THIS. THERE'S ANOTHER PROCEDURE IN ADDITION TO THE CLEARANCE THAT WE JUST LOOKED AT, WHICH IS THE WAIVER ALLOWS THE COMPANY LIKE THERANOS TO USE THE TECHNOLOGY OUTSIDE OF THE CLIA LAB,

LIKE IN A FIELD LOCATION, LIKE A WALGREENS STORE OR A MILITARY 1 12:50PM FIELD HOSPITAL, OR A PHYSICIAN'S OFFICE OR WHATEVER. AND 2 12:50PM THAT'S WHAT YOU WOULD NEED TO DO THAT. THAT'S WHAT MR. EDLIN 3 12:50PM 4 SAID, IT'S THE CLIA WAIVER DOCUMENT. THERANOS ALSO GOT THAT 12:50PM 12:50PM FOR HSV. AND AS YOU'VE HEARD DURING THE COURSE OF THE TRIAL, THE 12:50PM WALGREENS-THERANOS RELATIONSHIP HAD THIS PHASE I AND PHASE II 12:50PM APPROACH. PHASE I WAS I'M GOING TO COLLECT THE BLOOD SAMPLES 8 12:50PM 9 IN THE WALGREENS STORES, SHIP THEM TO A CENTRAL LAB AT 12:50PM 10 THERANOS, AND TEST THEM THERE. 12:50PM THE PHASE II MODEL WOULD BE TO ACTUALLY PUT THE DEVICES IN 12:50PM 11 12 THE WALGREENS STORES OR IN A PHYSICIAN'S OFFICE OR IN A 12:50PM 13 HOSPITAL OR WHEREVER. AND THAT REQUIRED THE FDA APPROVAL. 12:50PM 14 SO THIS CLIA WAIVER, THAT WAS ON THE PATH, AND NOW IT WAS 12:50PM ONLY THAT ONE ASSAY, BUT THAT WAS THE FIRST ONE. 15 12:50PM AND THAT WAS THE GOAL. 16 12:50PM SO THIS IS THE CLIA WAIVER. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE 17 12:51PM DOCUMENT, THAT WAS GRANTED. AND THAT'S THE SAME TEST SYSTEM 18 12:51PM 19 THAT I JUST IDENTIFIED BEFORE. 12:51PM AND THIS IS THE TESTIMONY OF MR. EDLIN. I WON'T READ IT, 12:51PM 20 21 BUT HE TALKS ABOUT HOW THE CLIA WAIVER ALLOWS YOU TO PUT THE 12:51PM DEVICE IN SOME CLIA LOCATION, LIKE A WALGREENS STORE. 22 12:51PM OKAY. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE WALGREENS RELATIONSHIP. 23 12:51PM 24 THIS IS REALLY AT THE HEART OF THIS CASE FOR TWO REASONS. ONE, 12:51PM ON THE PATIENT SIDE, THESE WERE THE PATIENTS WHO WERE BEING 25 12:51PM

SO

TESTED, HAVING THEIR BLOOD TESTED AT THERANOS. THEY WERE 1 12:51PM PATIENTS WHO WENT TO THE WALGREENS STORES AND GOT TESTED. 2 12:51PM IT'S IMPORTANT FOR THAT REASON. 3 12:51PM 4 IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT BECAUSE WALGREENS AND THAT 12:51PM RELATIONSHIP WAS THE REALLY BIG DRIVER OF INVESTMENT IN 12:52PM THERANOS THAT YOU'VE HEARD ABOUT. 12:52PM SO WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT THE 2013 INVESTORS LIKE MR. LUCAS 12:52PM OR MR. EISENMAN OR MR. TOLBERT AND THE HALL GROUP, OR EVEN JUST 8 12:52PM 9 A LITTLE LATER, A COUPLE MONTHS LATER, MR. GROSSMAN, IN EARLY 12:52PM '14, THE BIG DRIVER HERE WAS WALGREENS. AND THAT'S, THAT'S --10 12:52PM THAT RELATIONSHIP AND ITS POSSIBILITY OF EXPANDING TO HUNDREDS 12:52PM 11 12 OR THOUSANDS OF STORES, THAT'S WHAT WAS THERE TO MAKE THERANOS 12:52PM 13 A UNICORN, TO USE THE LANGUAGE I USED WITH MR. LUCAS WHEN HE 12:52PM 14 WAS ON THE STAND. THAT'S HOW THESE ALREADY VERY WEALTHY, 12:52PM POWERFUL PEOPLE GET EVEN MORE WEALTHY, IS FOR WALGREENS AND THE 15 12:52PM 16 THERANOS RELATIONSHIP TO REALLY THRIVE, THOUSANDS OF STORES. 12:52PM THEY HAVE TO GO, BY THE WAY, FROM A FEW STORES IN 2013 17 12:52PM WITH 3 STORES, AND IN 2014 WHEN RDV AND MOSLEY INVESTED IT WAS 12:52PM 18 19 BY 40 STORES. BUT YOU WOULD HAVE TO GO FROM THAT TO HUNDREDS 12:53PM OR THOUSANDS OF STORES, AND WE ALL RECOGNIZE THAT'S A BIG 12:53PM 20 21 CHALLENGE. 12:53PM BUT NONETHELESS, THIS WAS THE DRIVER. THIS WAS THE BIG 22 12:53PM CARROT THAT WOULD HAVE MADE THIS RISKY INVESTMENT WORTHWHILE. 23 12:53PM SO LET'S START OF TALK ABOUT THAT TOPIC. 24 12:53PM 25 SO MR. LUCAS, QUESTION: AND THAT'S WHAT YOU'RE HOPING FOR 12:53PM

IS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE INVESTING IN SOMETHING THAT TURNS 1 12:53PM OUT TO BE A UNICORN? 2 12:53PM HE SAYS YES. AND ONE WOULD THINK AT THE TIME, GIVEN THE 3 12:53PM ROLLOUT OF WALGREENS, THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN THE BEGINNING. 4 12:53PM MR. LUCAS AGAIN. IN ADDITION, YOU KNEW THAT WALGREENS WAS 12:53PM PARTNERING WITH THERANOS? 12:53PM HE SAYS THANK YOU. THAT PROBABLY WAS THE EXCLAMATION 12:53PM POINT, THAT THEY HAD A DEAL WITH WALGREENS, WE'RE ROLLING OUT 8 12:53PM AND NOW WE'RE GOING TO HAVE SOME REAL REVENUE. 9 12:53PM MR. LUCAS AGAIN. 10 12:53PM HE SAYS AND YOU WOULD HOPE AND DREAM A COMPANY THE SIZE OF 12:53PM 11 12 WALGREENS WOULD WANT TO ROLL OUT YOUR TECHNOLOGY. THAT WAS 12:54PM 13 EXTREMELY VALIDATING. 12:54PM 14 MR. TOLBERT, AND ON THE INVESTMENT SIDE, IT WOULD HAVE 12:54PM MADE IT A VERY PROFITABLE INVESTMENT, REFERRING TO WALGREENS. 15 12:54PM MR. LUCAS, AND WAS THE STATUS OF THAT PARTNERSHIP, THE 16 12:54PM 17 WALGREENS PARTNERSHIP, RELEVANT TO YOUR VIEW OF THE COMPANY'S 12:54PM 18 12:54PM TECHNOLOGY? 12:54PM 19 PARAMOUNT. 20 WHY WAS THAT? 12:54PM 21 BECAUSE WALGREENS WAS GETTING READY TO ROLL IT OUT 12:54PM 22 NATIONALLY, AND THAT WAS VERY EXCITING AND VALIDATING FOR THE 12:54PM 23 COMPANY. 12:54PM 24 MS. PETERSON, SO YOU HAD A PARTICULAR INTEREST IN WHAT WAS 12:54PM 12:54PM 25 GOING ON WITH WALGREENS?

12:54PM	1	YES. THE CONTRACTS WERE PARAMOUNT TO OUR INVESTMENT
12:54PM	2	DECISION.
12:54PM	3	THE WALGREENS PROGRAM WAS, LIKE, REALLY IMPORTANT TO
12:54PM	4	MAKING THE DECISION; RIGHT?
12:54PM	5	YES.
12:54PM	6	HER WORD, "PARAMOUNT."
12:54PM	7	BRIAN GROSSMAN TALKED ABOUT WALGREENS AS A POWERFUL BRAND
12:54PM	8	AND A LARGE NATIONAL FOOTPRINT, AND HAD SIGNIFICANT EXPERIENCE
12:54PM	9	IN RETAIL OPERATIONS, AND IT WAS A FACTOR ULTIMATELY IN HIS
12:55PM	10	DECISION TO INVEST.
12:55PM	11	MR. MOSLEY, WHEN YOU LEARNED ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP WITH
12:55PM	12	WALGREENS AND THE PUBLIC LAUNCH, THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO YOUR
12:55PM	13	ULTIMATE DECISION?
12:55PM	14	IT WAS.
12:55PM	15	AND THE REASON THAT WAS IMPORTANT WAS BECAUSE, ONE, YOU
12:55PM	16	KNEW THAT WALGREENS WAS A SIGNIFICANT NATIONAL AND EVEN
12:55PM	17	INTERNATIONAL RETAILER?
12:55PM	18	THAT'S CORRECT.
12:55PM	19	IT WOULD HELP THERANOS TAKES ITS BUSINESS NATIONWIDE?
12:55PM	20	THAT'S CORRECT.
12:55PM	21	SO ALL OF THESE INVESTORS HERE'S BRIAN GROSSMAN.
12:55PM	22	"BEYOND THAT, THE WAG ENDORSEMENT OBVIOUSLY SPEAKS TO
12:55PM	23	STRENGTH AND ROBUSTNESS OF THE TECHNOLOGY PLATFORM."
12:56PM	24	SO ALL OF THESE INVESTORS, JUST PAUSING HERE FOR A MINUTE,
12:56PM	25	THEY UNDERSTOODD THAT WALGREENS WAS REALLY THE TICKET. THAT

1 12:56PM 2 12:56PM 3 12:56PM 4 12:56PM 12:56PM 12:56PM 12:56PM 8 12:56PM 9 12:56PM 10 12:56PM 12:56PM 11 12 12:56PM 13 12:56PM 14 12:56PM 15 12:56PM 16 12:56PM 17 12:56PM 18 12:56PM 19 12:57PM 12:57PM 20 21 12:57PM 22 12:57PM 23 12:57PM 24 12:57PM

25

12:57PM

WAS THE MAIN DRIVER HERE.

AND I SHOWED YOU ALL OF THOSE SLIDES IN THAT TESTIMONY BECAUSE AGAIN AND AGAIN THESE INVESTORS UNDERSTOOD, THIS IS WHAT WOULD MAKE THIS INVESTMENT WORTHWHILE, THIS RISKY INVESTMENT, THIS IS WHY IT WAS WORTHWHILE.

AND THEY UNDERSTOOD THE RISKS. MS. PETERSON SAID IT WAS EXECUTION RISK IN THE ABILITY TO ROLL OUT IN WALGREENS STORES; MR. MOSLEY SAID THE SAME THING; MR. TOLBERT SAID THE SAME THING. EXECUTION RISK.

EXECUTION RISK MEANS THAT YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO GO FROM 3 STORES OR 40 STORES LATER AND TO HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF STORES. AND AS WE SAW, AND WE WILL GET INTO THIS SOME MORE, IN THE PARTNERSHIP MEETING MINUTES, ALL OF THOSE MEETINGS BETWEEN THERANOS AND WALGREENS THAT I WENT THROUGH WITH MR. JHAVERI WHEN HE WAS TESTIFYING, THERE'S A LOT OF PEOPLE INVOLVED, AND A LOT OF DISCUSSION, AND A LOT OF COMPLEXITY, AND THESE THINGS HAVE A LOT OF MOVING PARTS. AND SO, OF COURSE, THESE SOPHISTICATED INVESTORS UNDERSTOOD THAT THERE WAS EXECUTION RISK, MEANING IT MIGHT NOT WORK OUT.

LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.

DAN MOSLEY SAID, REGARDING THE JOHNS HOPKINS EVALUATION OF THERANOS, THAT HE UNDERSTOOD IT TO BE A VALIDATION OF THERANOS'S TECHNOLOGY BY JOHNS HOPKINS, AND IT WAS SIGNIFICANT TO HIS DECISION TO INVEST.

WELL, THAT REALLY HAPPENED. THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT

1 12:57PM 2 12:57PM 12:57PM 3 4 12:57PM 12:57PM 12:57PM 12:57PM 8 12:57PM 9 12:58PM 10 12:58PM 12:58PM 11 12 12:58PM 13 PROFESSOR OF PATHOLOGY. 12:58PM 14 12:58PM 15 12:58PM 16 12:58PM 17 12:58PM 12:58PM 18 19 12:58PM 12:58PM 20 21 12:58PM 22 12:58PM 23 12:58PM 24 12:58PM 25 12:58PM

MR. BALWANI MADE UP. JOHNS HOPKINS'S PHYSICIANS LOOKED AT THE TECHNOLOGY, AND THEY SAID IT HAD NO MAJOR WEAKNESSES AND AMONGST ITS STRENGTHS WAS ACCURACY. THAT WAS IMPORTANT TO MR. MOSLEY AND RIGHTFULLY SO.

PATRICK MENDENHALL, HE ASSUMED THAT THE COMPANY THE SIZE

OF WALGREENS WOULD HAVE DONE THEIR HOMEWORK BEFORE PARTNERING

WITH THERANOS. AND AS WE WILL SEE, THEY DID.

STARTING WITH JOHNS HOPKINS'S REPORT, HERE IT IS. THE

JOHNS HOPKINS, THIS IS A REPORT OF A MEETING, SUMMARY, FROM

APRIL 27TH, 2010. YOU CAN SEE THE ATTENDEES ARE

DR. FREDERICK BRANCATI, PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE; DR. THOMAS

KICKER, PROFESSOR OF MEDICINE; AND DR. WILLIAM CLARK, ASSOCIATE

PROFESSOR OF PATHOLOGY.

AND FROM WALGREENS, DR. JAY ROSAN, ANOTHER WITNESS THE

GOVERNMENT DIDN'T CALL, BUT THERE HE IS ATTENDING THE

JOHNS HOPKINS MEETING; AND THEN ELIZABETH HOLMES AND

SUNNY BALWANI ARE ATTENDING AS WELL; AND THEN THERE'S A PERSON

FROM A COMPANY CALLED INNOSIGHT.

MEETING OBJECTIVES. THE "HOPKINS TEAM WAS ASKED TO COMMENT ON THE VALIDITY AND USEFULNESS OF THE THERANOS PRODUCT, SPECIFICALLY RELATED TO THE SCIENCE THAT SUPPORTS THE TECHNOLOGY AND THE APPLICATION OF THE TECHNOLOGY IN A VARIETY OF SETTINGS INCLUDING HOSPITAL, CLINIC, LABORATORY, AND POTENTIALLY WITHIN WALGREENS AS AN ADD ON TO THE CLINICAL PROGRAMS AND RETAIL PHARMACY BUSINESS THAT CURRENTLY EXISTS."

SO KEEP IN MIND, AND THIS IS WHAT MR. JHAVERI SAID, 1 12:59PM THERANOS DIDN'T HIRE JOHNS HOPKINS. WALGREENS HAD A 2 12:59PM RELATIONSHIP WITH JOHNS HOPKINS. 3 12:59PM 4 AND WE SAW DURING MR. JHAVERI'S EXAMINATION, THEY WERE 12:59PM USING JOHNS HOPKINS FOR A LOT OF CONSULTING BECAUSE WALGREENS 12:59PM FUNDAMENTALLY IS IN THE HEALTH CARE BUSINESS. THEY'RE A 12:59PM PHARMACY COMPANY. THEY SELL OTHER THINGS, TOO. BUT THEY WERE 12:59PM INTERESTED IN EXPANDING THEIR HEALTH CARE PRESENCE WITH CLINICS 8 12:59PM 9 AND BLOOD TESTING, AND SO THEY RELIED ON JOHNS HOPKINS. 12:59PM WALGREENS HIRED THEM, NOT THERANOS. THIS WAS WALGREENS 10 12:59PM 12:59PM 11 CONSULTANT. 12 SO YOU TALK ABOUT THE INVESTORS'S VIEW THAT, WELL, A BIG 12:59PM 13 COMPANY LIKE WALGREENS, THEY WOULD DO THEIR DUE DILIGENCE. 12:59PM 14 WELL, THIS IS THE FIRST THING THAT THEY DID. AND THIS WAS 12:59PM BEFORE WALGREENS SIGNED ANY AGREEMENT WITH THERANOS. THAT 15 12:59PM AGREEMENT CAME LATER IN 2010. THIS WAS IN APRIL OF 2010. 16 12:59PM 17 SO LET'S GO ON AND LOOK AT THE REST OF THE DOCUMENT. 12:59PM 18 SO HERE ARE THE KEY FINDINGS FROM THE JOHNS HOPKINS'S 12:59PM 19 PHYSICIAN TEAM. 12:59PM "BASED ON THIS EVALUATION, THE CONSENSUS OF THE HOPKINS 01:00PM 20 2.1 TEAM WAS AS FOLLOWS: 01:00PM 22 "THE TECHNOLOGY IS NOVEL AND SOUND. IT CAN ACCURATELY RUN 01:00PM A WIDE RANGE OF ROUTINE AND SPECIAL ASSAYS. 23 01:00PM 24 "THE TECHNOLOGY IS SIMILAR ENOUGH TO BE USED BY 01:00PM NONSPECIALISTS IN FIELD. 25 01:00PM

"SPECIAL STRENGTHS OF THE TECHNOLOGY INCLUDE: 1 01:00PM "ACCURACY; 2 01:00PM 3 "MINIATURIZATION; 01:00PM 01:00PM 4 "FLEXIBILITY; "CONNECTIVITY; AND 01:00PM "ADAPTABILITY FOR RESEARCH." 01:00PM IT GOES ON. THE SECOND TO LAST BULLET. ONE OBSERVATION 01:00PM THAT THERANOS TECHNOLOGY IS NOT REALLY POINT OF CARE 8 01:00PM 9 TECHNOLOGY, IT'S REALLY A TRADITIONAL LAB ASSAY APPROACH, WITH 01:00PM THE ATTENDANT ACCURACY AND VALIDITY, HIGHLY MINIATURIZED, 10 01:00PM ESSENTIALLY A MINILAB. THAT'S JOHNS HOPKINS. 01:00PM 11 12 AND THEN THEY SAY, "NO MAJOR WEAKNESSES WERE IDENTIFIED." 01:00PM 13 SO BASED ON ATTENDING THAT MEETING AND HEARING THIS, WOULD 01:00PM 14 MR. BALWANI HAVE A VIEW THAT THE TECHNOLOGY WORKED? WOULD 01:00PM INVESTORS? OF COURSE, THEY WOULD. 15 01:00PM AND WOULD THIS LEAD MR. BALWANI TO INVEST HIS MONEY IN 16 01:00PM 17 THERANOS? IT DID. HE INVESTED \$4.6 MILLION IN THERANOS. 01:01PM 18 I SAID BEFORE, AND I'LL SAY AGAIN, THAT WALGREENS 01:01PM OKAY. DIDN'T JUST TAKE A FIRE TO THIS AND TRUST THERANOS, OH, YEAH, 01:01PM 19 20 MAYBE THEIR DEVICE WORKS. THEY HAD THE DEVICE. 01:01PM 21 THIS WAS AN EMAIL FROM JULY OF 2013 FROM TIM KEMP TO 01:01PM 22 MR. BALWANI AND OTHERS, INCLUDING MR. EDLIN. AND THIS 01:01PM PARTICULAR READER -- REMEMBER, THE READERS ARE THE EDISON 23 01:01PM 24 DEVICES. THIS IS BEING REGISTERED AT WALGREENS. SO WALGREENS 01:01PM STILL HAD THE DEVICE EVEN AS LATE AS JULY OF 2013. 25 01:01PM

HERE'S WHAT THE GOVERNMENT TRIES TO SUGGEST TO MR. EDLIN: 1 01:01PM DO YOU KNOW, FOR EXAMPLE, WHETHER THE DEVICE WAS CAPABLE 2 01:01PM OF RUNNING ASSAYS? 3 01:01PM 4 DAN EDLIN DIDN'T KNOW. HE SAID NO. 01:01PM DO YOU KNOW WHETHER THE THERANOS PROVIDED THE CARTRIDGES 01:01PM 5 AND REAGENTS THAT WOULD BE NECESSARY TO ACTUALLY USE THE DEVICE 01:01PM AS AN ANALYZER? 01:02PM HE SAID I DIDN'T. 8 01:02PM 9 SO THE SUGGESTION THERE IS, WELL, MAYBE WALGREENS HAD THE 01:02PM 10 DEVICE, BUT MAYBE IT WAS KIND OF LIKE A BRICK SITTING IN THE 01:02PM CORNER, AND NO ONE COULD USE IT, AND NO ONE COULD DO ANYTHING 01:02PM 11 12 WITH IT. AND IT COULDN'T TEST IT BECAUSE, OF COURSE, YOU NEED 01:02PM 01:02PM 13 REAGENTS AND YOU NEED CARTRIDGES IN ORDER TO USE THE DEVICE AS 14 OPPOSED TO JUST LOOKING AT IT. 01:02PM AND SO THAT'S NOT TRUE. 15 01:02PM LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 16 01:02PM 17 AND THIS IS PATTY HAWORTH. YOU MIGHT REMEMBER HER. SHE 01:02PM 18 WAS THE PERSON WHO KEPT ALL OF THE MEETING MINUTES IN THOSE 01:02PM 01:02PM 19 THERANOS-WALGREENS PARTNERSHIP MEETINGS, AND THIS IS BACK IN 2011. AND SHE'S TALKING TO JAY ROSAN, THE PHYSICIAN THAT WORKS 01:02PM 20 21 FOR WALGREENS. AND SHE SAYS, "DR. JAY, I JUST DID A TEST ON 01:02PM 22 MYSELF TODAY TO MAKE SURE THE MACHINE IS STILL WORKING." AND 01:02PM THERE'S THE I.D. AND A NUMBER. IT WAS THE ASTHMA TEST. 23 01:02PM 24 SHE SAYS TO DAN YOUNG, DR. YOUNG -- I AM SORRY. 01:02PM PATTY HAWORTH SAYS TO DR. YOUNG AND DR. ROSAN AND 25 01:02PM

SUNNY BALWANI, "NO FURTHER QUESTIONS, JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE 1 01:02PM THE DEVICE WAS WORKING AS EXPECTED." 2 01:03PM SO YOU'RE DARN RIGHT THAT THEY HAD THE REAGENTS AND 3 01:03PM 01:03PM 4 CARTRIDGES, OTHERWISE PATTY HAWORTH COULD NOT CONDUCT THE TEST ON HERSELF. 01:03PM SO WALGREENS HAD THE DEVICE, THEY HAD THE ABILITY TO DO 01:03PM THE TESTING, AND THEY DID THE WORK, THEY DID THE JOHNS HOPKINS 01:03PM REVIEW, THEY HAD THE DEVICE FOR TWO YEARS BEFORE THEY LAUNCHED. 8 01:03PM SO TO SAY THAT THEY WERE -- WALGREENS WAS SOMEHOW 9 01:03PM DEFRAUDED? NO. LIKE, THEY'RE A BIG COMPANY, AND THEY DID WHAT 10 01:03PM YOU WOULD EXPECT THEY WOULD DO, EVALUATE IT BEFORE THEY WENT 01:03PM 11 12 INTO THE BUSINESS WITH THERANOS. 01:03PM 13 THAT'S JUST A MAP SHOWING THAT THEY HAD THIS DEVICE FOR 01:03PM 14 THESE TWO YEARS. WALGREENS IS HEADQUARTERED IN DEERFIELD, 01:03PM 15 ILLINOIS. 01:03PM AND THIS IS MR. JHAVERI ON THE STAND, THE SAME TOPIC. 16 01:03PM 17 QUESTION: AND THEN IN ADDITION, YOU ALSO SAID AT THE TIME 01:03PM 18 THAT WALGREENS'S CHIEF MEDICAL OFFICER HAD REVIEWED THE 01:04PM 01:04PM 19 TECHNOLOGY AND THE DATA BEFORE IT INTRODUCED THE SERVICE; 01:04PM 20 CORRECT? AND HE SAID CORRECT. THAT WAS A COMMENT THAT HE MADE. 21 01:04PM 22 OKAY. NOW LET'S GET INTO THE ACTUAL RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN 01:04PM WALGREENS AND THERANOS. SO THE INITIAL CONTRACT WAS IN 2010. 23 01:04PM 24 THE SIGNER OF THE CONTRACT FROM THE WALGREENS SIDE WAS 01:04PM 25 WADE MIQUELON, WHO YOU HEARD IN THIS CASE WAS LISTED AS 01:04PM

EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT. AND MR. JHAVERI ALSO TOLD YOU THAT 1 01:04PM HE WAS THE CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER, A VERY SENIOR MANAGER, 2 01:04PM CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER AT WALGREENS. AGAIN, ANOTHER WITNESS 3 01:04PM 4 YOU DIDN'T HEAR FROM. 01:04PM SO THE GOVERNMENT HAD MR. JHAVERI TALK ABOUT THIS 2010 01:04PM CONTRACT. MR. JHAVERI WAS NOT INVOLVED IN THE THERANOS PROJECT 01:04PM AT THAT TIME. HE BECAME INVOLVED REALLY IN 2014 TO MOVE THE 01:04PM PARTNERSHIP FORWARD, AND THAT'S WHAT HE TESTIFIED. THIS 8 01:04PM 9 EARLIER PERIOD, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN WADE MIQUELON WHO WOULD HAVE 01:05PM THAT KNOWLEDGE, AN ANOTHER WITNESS THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T WANT 10 01:05PM TO CALL. 01:05PM 11 12 BUT MR. JHAVERI, AS I SAID, REALLY DIDN'T HAVE ANYTHING TO 01:05PM 13 DO WITH THIS CONTRACT, BUT IT WAS SHOWN TO HIM. AND IT IS THE 01:05PM 14 INITIAL CONTRACT FROM JULY OF 2010, OKAY? 01:05PM ONE IMPORTANT THING ABOUT THIS, IF YOU LOOK AT THIS 15 01:05PM 16 EXHIBIT, AND THIS IS IMPORTANT AND YOU MIGHT WANT TO WRITE THIS 01:05PM 17 DOWN, EXHIBIT 372, AND IT'S GOING TO BE ON EXHIBIT PAGE 8, AND 01:05PM 18 THERE'S A SECTION 6. AND IN THAT PROVISION IT SAYS THAT RIGHT 01:05PM 01:05PM 19 AT THE MOMENT THAT THERANOS SIGNED THAT CONTRACT WITH WALGREENS IN JULY OF 2010, THERANOS HAD AN IMMEDIATE RIGHT TO 01:05PM 20 21 \$30 MILLION. WALGREENS OWED THAT MONEY RIGHT AT THAT POINT. 01:05PM 22 THAT'S WHAT THE CONTRACT SAYS. IF YOU LOOK AT THAT SECTION, 01:05PM THAT'S WHAT YOU'LL SEE. 23 01:05PM 24 AND YOU KNOW WHAT, THERANOS DIDN'T GET THAT MONEY. SO THE 01:05PM GOVERNMENT SAYS THAT THIS WAS SOME KIND OF FRAUD FROM THE VERY 25 01:05PM

BEGINNING WHERE THERANOS PULLED THE WOOL OVER WALGREENS'S EYES. 1 01:06PM AND WHAT KIND OF FRAUD IS THAT WHERE YOU FINALLY GET THEM TO 2 01:06PM SIGN A CONTRACT AND THEY OWE YOU \$30 MILLION AND YOU SAY WE 3 01:06PM 4 DON'T NEED THAT? 01:06PM THIS WAS AN EVOLVING PARTNERSHIP. THEY SIGNED AMENDMENTS 01:06PM TO THE CONTRACT. THEY DID WORK ON THE TECHNOLOGY. THEY 01:06PM LAUNCHED WHEN THERANOS THOUGHT THEY WERE READY TO LAUNCH, AND 01:06PM WALGREENS THOUGHT THAT, TOO. NOT SOME SORT OF RUSH JOB TO GRAB 8 01:06PM \$30 MILLION FROM WALGREENS THAT THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMS WAS A 9 01:06PM VICTIM, THIS BIG SOPHISTICATED COMPANY THAT DID ALL OF THIS 10 01:06PM WORK ON EVALUATING THE TECHNOLOGY AS I'VE JUST DESCRIBED. 01:06PM 11 12 OKAY. WALGREENS AND THERANOS SIGNED AN AMENDMENT TO THE 01:06PM 13 CONTRACT IN 2012. AND AS YOU MIGHT REMEMBER, MR. JHAVERI SAID 01:06PM 14 THAT THAT CONTRACT, THAT ELIMINATED THE 2010 CONTRACT. 01:06PM SO MR. SCHENK HAD SHOWN YOU SOME PROVISIONS FROM THE 2010 15 01:06PM 16 CONTRACT WHERE THERE WAS SOME LANGUAGE ABOUT USING THE THERANOS 01:07PM 17 ANALYZER, THERE WAS A DEFINITION OF THE ANALYZER, AND POINTS TO 01:07PM 18 A PROVISION WHERE THEY WERE SUPPOSED TO USE IT. 01:07PM 19 THAT'S GONE. IN 2012 THAT DOESN'T EXIST ANYMORE. 01:07PM NO PROVISION THAT SAYS WHAT DEVICE SHOULD BE USED OR THAT A 01:07PM 20 21 FINGERSTICK HAS TO BE USED. IT'S NOT IN THE CONTRACT. 01:07PM WHAT IS IN THE CONTRACT IS THIS PHASED DISRUPTION MODEL. 22 01:07PM AND THIS IS CONTRACTUAL LANGUAGE, BUT WHAT IT SAYS IS THAT 23 01:07PM 24 THERE'S GOING TO BE THIS APPROACH, THERE'S GOING TO BE A 01:07PM COLLECTION OF THE SAMPLE AT WALGREENS. 25 01:07PM

THE INITIAL APPROACH IN 2010 WAS THAT WE'RE GOING TO PUT 1 01:07PM THE DEVICES IN THE WALGREENS STORES. THAT CHANGED TO THIS WHAT 2 01:07PM THEY CALL THIS PHASED I APPROACH WHERE THE BLOOD WOULD BE 3 01:07PM COLLECTED AT WALGREENS, BUT THEN SENT TO THE THERANOS CENTRAL 4 01:07PM LAB. THIS IS THE PROVISION THAT ACCOMPLISHES THAT. 01:08PM AND THERANOS AND WALGREENS MUTUALLY AGREED TO THAT WAY OF 01:08PM DOING THINGS UNTIL THE FDA APPROVAL COULD BE OBTAINED THAT 01:08PM WOULD ALLOW THE DEVICE TO BE PUT INTO THE WALGREENS STORES. 8 01:08PM 9 AND THIS IS WHAT MR. JHAVERI SAID ABOUT THAT. QUESTION: 01:08PM 10 AND WALGREENS AND THERANOS AGREED TOGETHER THAT THEY WOULD USE 01:08PM WHAT WAS CALLED A CENTRAL LAB MODEL RATHER THAN HAVING THE 01:08PM 11 12 DEVICES IN THE STORES THEMSELVES? 01:08PM 13 HE SAID THAT'S CORRECT. 01:08PM 14 WHY USE THE MODIFIED PREDICATES IN THE COMMERCIAL DEVICES? 01:08PM THE NEXT SLIDE. 15 01:08PM 16 SO DR. ROSENDORFF TESTIFIED A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THIS. SO 01:08PM AS I SAID YESTERDAY, THE THERANOS EDISON DEVICE OR THE 4S, 17 01:08PM 18 WHICH IS PICTURED HERE, THESE WERE DEVICES THAT WERE DESIGNED 01:08PM 19 FOR A FIELD LOCATION. SO IF YOU HAVE THE DEVICE SITTING IN A 01:08PM PHYSICIAN'S OFFICE, FOR EXAMPLE, PATIENTS WHO COME IN, THEIR 01:08PM 20 21 TESTS COULD BE DONE. IF YOU'RE AT WALGREENS AND THE DEVICE IS 01:09PM THERE, THE PATIENT COULD GO SHOPPING AT WALGREENS, THEIR TEST 22 01:09PM COULD BE RUN. MAYBE THE PATIENT HAS TO WAIT A LITTLE BIT. 23 01:09PM HOPEFULLY NOT TOO LONG. 24 01:09PM BUT THEY'RE DESIGNED FOR THEIR MORE -- WE'RE DEALING WITH 25 01:09PM

ONE TEST AT A TIME BASICALLY, RIGHT? 1 01:09PM SO THAT MAKES PERFECT SENSE IF YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE A 2 01:09PM DEVICE IN A FIELD LOCATION. 3 01:09PM 4 BUT AS SOON AS YOU'RE USING AN ESSENTIAL LAB MODEL WHERE 01:09PM THE BLOOD IS BEING COLLECTED, IT'S BEING SHIPPED TO ONE 01:09PM LOCATION AT THERANOS, OR ACTUALLY TWO LOCATIONS WHEN THEY OPEN 01:09PM THE ARIZONA LAB, AT THAT POINT YOU NEED SOMETHING WITH HIGHER 01:09PM THROUGHPUT. HIGHER THROUGHPUT JUST MEANS THAT THE MACHINES 8 01:09PM 9 COULD DO MORE TESTS PER HOUR. 01:09PM SO THAT'S THE ORIGINS OF THE PREDICATE USE AND THE 10 01:09PM MODIFIED PREDICATE USE, SO THAT IF YOU USE YOUR TECHNOLOGY AND 01:09PM 11 12 YOUR SCIENTIFIC KNOW-HOW TO MODIFY ONE OF THESE COMMERCIAL 01:09PM 13 MACHINES SO IT COULD RUN FINGERSTICK SAMPLES, THEN YOU CAN DO A 01:10PM 14 LOT OF TESTS PER HOUR. SO THAT WAS A BUSINESS DECISION THAT 01:10PM 15 WAS MADE BASED ON THE MODEL IN THE PHASE I, PHASE II, IN THIS 01:10PM CASE THE PHASED I APPROACH. 16 01:10PM 2012 CONTRACT. JUST TO GO BACK TO THAT. 01:10PM 17 18 AS I SAID, THERE WAS NO REQUIREMENT TO USE ANY PARTICULAR 01:10PM 01:10PM 19 THERE WAS NO REQUIREMENT TO ACHIEVE ANY PARTICULAR FINGERSTICK PERCENTAGE. AND THEN THERE'S A CLAUSE IN HERE THAT 01:10PM 20 21 THERE ARE NO OTHER PROMISES OR AGREEMENTS BETWEEN THERANOS AND 01:10PM 22 WALGREENS OTHER THAN WHAT IS STATED IN THE CONTRACT. 01:10PM NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. 23 01:10PM 24 THIS IS THE PRESS RELEASE, I THINK YOU'VE SEEN BEFORE, 01:10PM FROM NOVEMBER 13TH, 2013. THERANOS AND WALGREENS MUTUALLY 25 01:10PM

ANNOUNCE THE OPENING OF STORES IN THE PHOENIX METROPOLITAN 1 01:10PM 2 AREA. 01:10PM AND AS I SAID BEFORE, IT HAS A SECTION ABOUT THE SAMPLES 3 01:10PM 4 WILL BE CONDUCTED BY EITHER FINGERSTICK OR TRADITIONAL METHODS. 01:10PM SO THAT WAS NOT A SECRET. 01:11PM IT ALSO GOES ON TO SAY IN SEPTEMBER OF 2013, THERANOS AND 01:11PM WALGREENS ANNOUNCED A LONG-TERM PARTNERSHIP TO BRING THERANOS'S 01:11PM NEW LAB TESTING SERVICE NATIONWIDE. SO THAT'S WHAT WALGREENS 8 01:11PM 9 HAD CONTEMPLATED. 01:11PM AND THEN IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, THIS IS A QUOTE FROM 10 01:11PM A WALGREENS EXECUTIVE, KERMIT CRAWFORD, AS WE WORK TO BRING 01:11PM 11 12 THERANOS'S LAB TESTING SERVICES TO MORE OF OUR STORES AND 01:11PM 13 COMMUNITIES NATIONWIDE, WE'RE EXCITED, AND SO FORTH. 01:11PM 14 AND THEN IN THE LAST SECTION IT SAYS, AS THE NATION'S 01:11PM LARGEST RETAIL PHARMACY CHAIN WITH MORE THAN 8,000 NEIGHBORHOOD 15 01:11PM 16 PHARMACIES, INCLUDING 188 IN PHOENIX, WALGREENS HAS THE 01:11PM 17 INFRASTRUCTURE TO ENABLE THERANOS TO BRING ITS SERVICE TO 01:11PM 18 CONSUMERS NATIONWIDE. 01:11PM 19 SO THIS IS WALGREENS SAYING THIS ALONG WITH THERANOS. SO 01:11PM OF COURSE INVESTORS FELT LIKE WE HAVE A MAJOR RETAIL COMPANY 01:11PM 20 21 WHO IS PARTNERING WITH THERANOS, WHO WE THINK AND THEY WERE 01:11PM RIGHT, CHECK OUT THE TECHNOLOGY AND THERANOS'S SERVICE. AND 22 01:12PM THEY'RE PARTNERING WITH THERANOS TO -- WITH THE INTENT TO MAKE 23 01:12PM 24 THIS INTO A NATIONWIDE PROGRAM. 01:12PM IS THERE A RISK IN DOING THAT? YOU BETCHA. TRYING TO GO 25 01:12PM

FROM A FEW STORES, THOUSANDS OF STORES, THAT'S TOUGH. BUT THAT 1 01:12PM WAS THE PROMISE, THAT WAS THE POTENTIAL, THAT WAS THE DRIVER OF 2 01:12PM WHY INVESTORS SAW SO MUCH POTENTIAL TO MAKE A LOT OF MONEY IN 3 01:12PM 4 THERANOS. 01:12PM WALGREENS SAID THIS IN OTHER PLACES, TOO. 01:12PM IF WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 01:12PM THIS IS A DOCUMENT CALLED A FORM 8-K THAT MS. PETERSON 01:12PM SAID SHE REVIEWED THAT WALGREENS FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND 8 01:12PM 9 EXCHANGE COMMISSION. 01:12PM DO YOU SEE ONE OF THE ITEMS IT SAYS TAKING THE NEXT STEP 10 01:12PM IN THERANOS AND WALGREENS PLANNED NATIONAL ROLLOUT OF 01:12PM 11 12 THERANOS'S WELLNESS CENTERS BY OPENING TWO NEW CENTERS AT 01:12PM 13 WALGREENS STORES IN THE PHOENIX AREA. AND IT ALSO SAYS THAT 01:12PM 14 THERE'S A STORE IN PALO ALTO. 01:12PM SO THIS IS THEIR REPORTING, WALGREENS, TO THE S.E.C. THIS 15 01:12PM 16 IS A PLANNED NATIONAL ROLLOUT. 01:12PM 17 SO I'VE TALKED ABOUT THE 2010 CONTRACT THAT WAS INITIALLY 01:13PM 18 THE DEAL BETWEEN THERANOS AND WALGREENS. I'VE TALKED ABOUT THE 01:13PM AMENDMENT IN 2012, BUT I WANT TO SHOW YOU THE LATER AMENDMENT 01:13PM 19 THAT WAS DECEMBER 31ST, 2013. 01:13PM 20 21 SO THIS IS ANOTHER AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT IN THIS 01:13PM 22 EVOLVING PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THERANOS AND WALGREENS. 01:13PM 23 AND THIS, JUST TO SHOW YOU A FEW PROVISIONS, IT'S THE 01:13PM INTENTION OF THE PARTIES, MEANING WALGREENS AND THERANOS, TO 24 01:13PM DEVELOP A MUTUALLY BENEFIT INITIAL STRATEGIC RELATIONSHIP THAT 25 01:13PM

1 01:13PM 2 01:13PM 3 01:13PM 4 01:13PM 01:13PM 5 01:13PM 01:13PM 8 01:13PM 9 01:14PM 10 01:14PM 01:14PM 11 12 01:14PM 13 01:14PM 14 01:14PM 15 01:14PM 16 01:14PM 17 01:14PM 18 01:14PM 19 01:14PM 01:14PM 20 21 01:14PM 22 01:14PM 23 01:14PM 24 01:14PM 25 01:15PM

FACILITATES THE SUCCESSFUL DEPLOYMENT OF THERANOS NATIONALLY,
ESTABLISHES WALGREENS AS A NATIONAL PARTNER FOR LABORATORY
SERVICES THAT THERANOS IS ABLE TO PROVIDE AND THERANOS, AS
WALGREENS NATIONAL PARTNER, FOR SUCH THERANOS SERVICES.
GOING ON.

THE PARTIES ARE COMMITTED TO TAKING ALL STEPS REASONABLY
NECESSARY TO ENSURE A SUCCESSFUL NATIONAL ROLLOUT OF THERANOS
SERVICES. THAT'S WHAT THE AGREEMENT WAS AS OF DECEMBER 31ST OF
2013.

AND YOU HEARD DISCUSSION ABOUT DISCUSSIONS WITH INVESTORS IN THIS TIME PERIOD IN LATE DECEMBER 2013. WELL, THIS WAS THE DRIVER. MR. BALWANI UNDERSTOOD THAT WALGREENS WAS COMMITTING TO THIS. IT HADN'T HAPPENED YET. THERE'S NO GUARANTEE, THERE'S NO GUARANTEE IN LIFE OR BUSINESS THAT IT COULD END UP NOT WORKING OUT, BUT THIS IS WHAT WALGREENS WAS SAYING. AND MR. BALWANI HAD EVERY REASON TO BELIEVE THIS COULD BE A SMASHING SUCCESS, AND THE INVESTORS THOUGHT SO TOO WHEN THEY INVESTED.

AND THEN WALGREENS WAS SO SUBMITTED TO THIS THAT NOT ONLY DID THEY SAY THEY WERE COMMITTED TO A NATIONAL ROLLOUT, THEY ALSO AGREED TO ACCELERATE \$75 MILLION IN WHAT THEY CALLED AN INNOVATION FEE. SO WALGREENS WAS NOW FORWARDING TO THERANOS \$75 MILLION.

YOU HEARD FROM THE GOVERNMENT THAT IN THAT PERIOD STARTING IN SEPTEMBER OF 2013 THAT THERANOS WAS OUT OF CASH AND NEEDED

TO RAISE INVESTMENT MONEY BECAUSE IT WAS DOWN TO, YOU KNOW, I 1 01:15PM THINK THEY SAID \$14 MILLION, INCLUDING A LETTER OF CREDIT. 2 01:15PM WELL, THIS NEGOTIATION THAT LED TO WALGREENS ADVANCING 3 01:15PM 01:15PM 4 \$75 MILLION, THIS DIDN'T HAPPEN ON DECEMBER 31ST. I MEAN, LET'S USE OUR COMMON SENSE HERE, RIGHT? THIS IS GOING TO BE A 01:15PM NEGOTIATION. SO THERANOS IS GETTING THIS MONEY, RIGHT? 01:15PM AND EVEN THOUGH MS. SPIVEY, THE ACCOUNTANT, WOULD SAY, 01:15PM WELL, THIS IS DEFERRED REVENUE, THERANOS HAS THE CASH. 8 01:15PM PURPOSE OF THIS CASH WAS TO MOVE THE NATIONAL ROLLOUT FORWARD, 9 01:15PM THAT'S WHY WALGREENS WAS DOING THIS. 10 01:15PM AND SO WALGREENS BELIEVED IN THIS SO MUCH THAT THEY NOT 01:15PM 11 01:15PM 12 ONLY SAID THEY WOULD, THEY GAVE THERANOS \$75 MILLION TO 13 ACCOMPLISH THAT AFTER DOING THEIR DUE DILIGENCE, HAVING THE 01:15PM 14 DEVICE WITH JOHNS HOPKINS, AND SO FORTH. 01:15PM OKAY. LET'S GET INTO SOME OF THE MEETINGS BETWEEN 15 01:15PM WALGREENS AND THERANOS. 16 01:16PM 17 SO AS YOU SAW THROUGH MR. JHAVERI, THERE WERE THESE 01:16PM PERIODIC MEETINGS, AND THIS IS THE ONE FROM MAY 2014. 18 01:16PM 01:16PM 19 SO AT THIS POINT IN TIME, HERE'S SOME OF THE HIGHLIGHTS. MR. BALWANI OPENED THE MEETING, HOW TO MAKE SURE THE NEXT 01:16PM 20 21 10,000 PATIENTS HAVE A PERFECT CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE? CUSTOMER 01:16PM 22 EXPERIENCE WAS IMPORTANT. 01:16PM NIMESH, IT WAS MR. JHAVERI, REMINDED US OF HOW FAR WE HAVE 23 01:16PM 24 COME SINCE JANUARY. WE WERE AT 21 STORES NOW. 01:16PM AND THEN IF YOU GO ON, IT SAYS A PILOT SHOULD NOT BE 25 01:16PM

PERFECT. IT SHOULD BE SO WE CAN LEARN EVERYTHING WE NEED TO 1 01:16PM SCALE. SCALE MEANS OPENING MORE AND MORE STORES, RAMPING UP. 2 01:16PM THE LAST PART THERE ON THE BOTTOM, GREG WASSON, HE WAS THE 3 01:16PM 4 CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AT THE TIME AT WALGREENS. HE WAS 01:16PM SUPPORTIVE. SO THE HIGHEST LEVEL AT WALGREENS. TEAMS NEED TO 01:16PM BECOME TIGHTER. IF WE THINK 20 STORES ARE TOUGH, WAIT UNTIL WE 01:17PM GET TO 2500 STORES. AND THEN IT GOES ON. 01:17PM SO THIS IS WHAT THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT IN MAY OF 2014 8 01:17PM 9 BETWEEN THERANOS AND WALGREENS. 01:17PM 10 NEXT. THAT SAME MEETING. PER NIMESH, 40, MEANING 40 01:17PM STORES, IS IN THE BAG. WE NEED TO THINK ABOUT SCALE. SO 01:17PM 11 01:17PM 12 THAT'S WHAT WALGREENS IS SAYING, LET'S THINK ABOUT SCALE, LET'S 13 THINK ABOUT RAMPING UP. 01:17PM 14 PER NIMESH, THE TIMING IS RIGHT, BECAUSE THIS IS WHEN WE 01:17PM 15 ARE SELECTING THE WELL EXPERIENCE STORES IN 2015, 2016, AND 01:17PM 16 2017. 01:17PM 17 YOU MIGHT REMEMBER FROM MR. JHAVERI THAT WALGREENS HAD 01:17PM 18 THIS PROGRAM CALLED WELL EXPERIENCE, A TOTALLY SEPARATE PROGRAM 01:17PM 19 FROM THERANOS, WHERE THEY WERE BUILDING OUT STORES IN A CERTAIN 01:17PM WAY TO HAVE A COMMUNITY ROOM. AND IT DAWNED ON EVERYONE HERE 01:17PM 20 21 THAT YOU COULD USE THESE STORES FOR BLOOD TESTING HERE AS WELL. 01:17PM THAT'S WHAT MR. JHAVERI SAID, AND THAT'S WHAT THE WELL 22 01:17PM 23 EXPERIENCE REFERS TO. 01:17PM 24 AND THEN IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, THIS IS STILL IN THE 01:17PM MAY 2014 MEETING, THE GOAL AT THAT TIME AS OF MAY 2014, IT WAS 25 01:18PM

500 STORES IN FISCAL YEAR 2015. FISCAL YEAR 2015 MEANS THROUGH 1 01:18PM THE END OF AUGUST OF '15. SO IT WAS NOT THE WHOLE YEAR '15. 2 01:18PM IT WAS THE FISCAL YEAR. WE'LL SEE THE NOTATION ON THAT LATER. 3 01:18PM 01:18PM 4 SO FOR THAT PERIOD OF TIME THROUGH THE END OF AUGUST 2015, THE GOAL WAS 500 STORES. THAT'S WHAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT 01:18PM 5 IN MAY OF '14. SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO KEEP THAT IN MIND AS WE 6 01:18PM TALK ABOUT WHAT HAPPENS IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF MONTHS. 01:18PM SO NOW LET'S FAST FORWARD TO JULY, JULY 2014. THIS IS THE 8 01:18PM 9 MEETING FROM THAT TIME PERIOD. THERANOS HIT THEIR FIRST 01:18PM 100-PLUS PATIENTS PER DAY ON JULY 2ND. SO THINGS ARE MOVING IN 10 01:18PM THE RIGHT DIRECTION ON THAT FRONT. 01:18PM 11 12 AND AT THIS POINT THEY'RE ON TRACK TO LAUNCH 40 STORES BY 01:18PM 13 AUGUST 31ST. THEY HAD NOT GOT TO THE 40 STORES YET AT THIS 01:19PM 14 POINT, BUT THAT WAS THE GOAL BY THE END OF AUGUST 2014. 01:19PM NEXT SLIDE. 15 01:19PM 16 SUNNY ECHOED NIM JHAVERI'S COMMENTS, TEAM HAS GROWN FROM 01:19PM 10 TO 100, MOMENTUM IS BEGINNING. SO THAT'S WHAT MR. JHAVERI 17 01:19PM 18 AND MR. BALWANI THOUGHT. 01:19PM 01:19PM 19 WE ARE BUILDING OUT PRIVATE HEALTH ROOMS CHAINWIDE AND 20 WORKING WITH LEGAL TO GET THE GREEN LIGHT TO USE HCC ROOMS. 01:19PM 21 MR. JHAVERI TESTIFIED THAT THERE WERE ROOMS AT WALGREENS 01:19PM 01:19PM 22 CALLED THE HEALTH CARE CLINICS, AND IT ALSO DAWNED ON EVERYONE THAT THEY COULD USE THOSE FOR BLOOD TESTING AS WELL. THERE WAS 23 01:19PM 24 A CERTAIN NUMBER OF THESE HCC STORES THAT WALGREENS HAD THAT 01:19PM THEY WERE GOING TO BUILD OUT, AND WE'RE GOING TO SEE THAT WHEN 25 01:19PM

WE GET TO AUGUST. AT THIS POINT THEY'RE WORKING ON GETTING A

GREEN LIGHT TO USE THE HCC ROOMS FOR THERANOS BLOOD TESTING.

AND THEN THOSE WERE GOING TO BE FOR A PARTICULAR TYPE OF

AND THEN THOSE WERE GOING TO BE FOR A PARTICULAR TYPE OF TEST, THESE STD -- I THINK IT'S CALLED STI PANELS. AND THOSE WERE THE CONDITIONS: HIV, SYPHILIS, GONORRHEA. AND THE PRICE WAS CONTEMPLATED TO BE \$59. IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THAT \$59 FOR THAT PANEL WAS MORE LUCRATIVE THAN THE NORMAL \$35 THAT PATIENTS WERE GOING TO PAY. SO THIS WAS ACTUALLY, EVEN THOUGH LESS TESTING, IT WOULD HAVE BEEN MORE LUCRATIVE TO THERANOS, THESE HCC TESTS.

AND, IN FACT, MR. JHAVERI TESTIFIED THAT WALGREENS WAS GOING TO GET \$10 OF THAT UNDER THE DEAL THAT EXISTED, WHETHER IT WAS \$35 THAT THE PATIENT PAID OR \$59, WALGREENS WAS GOING TO GET 10 AND THERANOS WOULD GET THE REST.

SO LET'S GO ON.

01:19PM

01:19PM

01:19PM

01:19PM

01:20PM

01:21PM

01:21PM

01:21PM

01:21PM

01:21PM

01:21PM

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THIS IS IN JULY. SO AT THIS POINT THERE'S A SECTION OF THESE MINUTES. AND JUST TO BE CLEAR, MR. JHAVERI TESTIFIED THAT PATTY HAWORTH, THE WALGREENS EMPLOYEE, DUTIFULLY TOOK THESE MINUTES AND TRIED TO GET EVERYTHING RIGHT SO THAT IT ACCURATELY REFLECTS WHAT HAPPENED AT THESE MEETINGS.

IN 2014 AND '15, THIS SECTION TALKS ABOUT THE CONTEMPLATED MARKET EXPANSION FOR THAT PERIOD. AND THE ONLY AREAS THAT THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT IN JULY ARE ARIZONA, WHERE THERE WERE SOME STORES ALREADY; CALIFORNIA, IS WHERE THERE WAS AT THAT POINT ONE STORE; AND THE TRI-STATE AREA, WHICH IS THE NEW

UNITED STATES COURT REPORTERS

01:21PM	1	JERSEY, NEW YORK, CONNECTICUT AREA BACK EAST. SO THAT WAS THE
01:21PM	2	MARKET, THOSE THREE, THAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT IN JULY.
01:21PM	3	IT'S GOOD TO KEEP THAT IN MIND WHEN WE GET TO AUGUST,
01:21PM	4	BECAUSE THINGS CHANGE A LOT.
01:21PM	5	BUT BEFORE WE GET THERE, LET'S TALK ABOUT MR. JHAVERI'S
01:21PM	6	EMAIL AT THE END OF JULY.
01:21PM	7	GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. THANK YOU.
01:22PM	8	THIS IS EXHIBIT 20228. THIS IS THE END OF JULY. THIS IS
01:22PM	9	CASEY KOZLOWSKI, ANOTHER WALGREENS EMPLOYEE. HE WRITES, "HI
01:22PM	10	SUNNY,
01:22PM	11	"THANKS FOR THE NOTE THIS IS ALL GREAT NEWS. I
01:22PM	12	ACTUALLY HAD A CALL REGARDING HCC STD TESTING TODAY MORE TO
01:22PM	13	COME ON THAT, BUT THINGS ARE MOVING FORWARD."
01:22PM	14	SO THAT PROGRAM IS ADVANCING.
01:22PM	15	MR. BALWANI WRITES BACK, "WE BROKE THRU 20 CUSTOMERS PER
01:22PM	16	DAY THRESHOLD IN OUR PALO ALTO STORE. 22 PATIENTS TODAY.
01:22PM	17	2 COUPONS, 20 PAID CUSTOMERS.
01:22PM	18	"SO PATIENT TRAFFIC IS INCREASING, GOING TO THE RIGHT
01:22PM	19	DIRECTION."
01:22PM	20	AND THEN MR. JHAVERI SAYS, "THANK YOU, SUNNY. THIS IS
01:22PM	21	TERRIFIC. WE ARE STARTING TO MOVE MOUNTAINS."
01:22PM	22	DOES THAT SOUND LIKE A PARTNERSHIP THAT IS WINDING DOWN AT
01:22PM	23	THIS POINT? I DON'T THINK SO.
01:22PM	24	BUT LET'S GO TO THIS VERY KEY MEETING IN AUGUST 2014,
01:23PM	25	AUGUST 6TH, 2014, THE NEXT EXHIBIT. THIS IS THE PARTNERSHIP

THIS IS

MEETING MINUTES FROM THAT AUGUST 6TH, 2014 MEETING. 1 01:23PM AND JUST TO GO THROUGH SOME OF THE MATERIAL HERE. 2 01:23PM THE THERANOS EXPERIENCE SURVEY SUMMARY. THIS WAS VERY 3 01:23PM 4 IMPORTANT TO WALGREENS AND TO THERANOS. AND YOU CAN SEE THAT 01:23PM THE AVERAGE QUALITY EXPERIENCE ARE ALL VERY HIGH. THIS IS OUT 01:23PM OF 5. SO 4.85. THE LOWEST ONE IS 4.61 ON THE CHECK-IN 01:23PM PROCESS. THE SAMPLE COLLECTION PROCESS IS 4.86. 01:23PM AND REMEMBER, PEOPLE WERE GETTING BOTH VENOUS DRAWS AND 8 01:23PM 9 FINGERSTICK, RIGHT? ABOUT 40 PERCENT VENOUS DRAWS AND ABOUT 01:23PM 60 PERCENT FINGERSTICK, BUT PEOPLE WERE PLEASED WITH THE SAMPLE 10 01:23PM 01:23PM 11 COLLECTION PROCESS. 12 AND THESE WERE VERY IMPORTANT METRICS FOR WALGREENS. 01:23PM 13 WHERE DO YOU TYPICALLY GET YOUR PRESCRIPTIONS FILLED CUSTOMERS 01:23PM 14 ARE ASKED? 01:23PM 53 PERCENT SAY WALGREENS. BUT ALL OF THE REST WERE OTHER 15 01:23PM COMPETITORS OF WALGREENS. SO THIS IS BRINGING PEOPLE INTO THE 16 01:24PM 17 WALGREENS STORE THAT WOULDN'T NECESSARILY BE THERE OTHERWISE. 01:24PM 18 SO THAT'S USEFUL TO WALGREENS, RIGHT? THEY WANT MORE 01:24PM 19 CUSTOMERS, TOO. 01:24PM BASED ON YOUR RECENT VISIT, WHAT IS THE LIKELIHOOD THAT 01:24PM 20 21 YOU WILL RETURN TO A THERANOS WELLNESS CENTER? 01:24PM AND YOU CAN SEE THAT OVERWHELMINGLY 82 PERCENT SAY THEY 22 01:24PM WILL DEFINITELY COME BACK, 17 PERCENT IN THE BLUE THERE ARE 23 01:24PM LIKELY TO RETURN, AND JUST 1 PERCENT SAYS NOT SURE IF I WILL 24 01:24PM 25 RETURN. SO THAT IS PRETTY GOOD RESULTS. 01:24PM

THIS IS BEING DISCUSSED AT THE PARTNERSHIP MEETING IN 1 01:24PM AUGUST, AND IN ALL OF THE MEETINGS REALLY THERE ARE SIMILAR 2 01:24PM GRAPHS, BECAUSE THEY WANT TO TRACK HOW THIS CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE 3 01:24PM 4 AND HOW THIS IS WORKING OUT, AND THAT'S PART OF WHAT THEY'RE 01:24PM 01:24PM 5 DISCUSSING. IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT FINGERSTICK. WE'LL TALK ABOUT THAT. 01:24PM THE GOVERNMENT REALLY WANTS YOU TO THINK THAT, WELL, THE 01:24PM 8 FINGERSTICK PERCENTAGE IS REALLY HOVERING AROUND 40 PERCENT, SO 01:24PM WITHOUT MORE MOVEMENT THERE, THE WHOLE PARTNERSHIP IS DEAD 9 01:24PM 10 BASICALLY. 01:25PM THAT'S NOT THE CASE. THAT IS ONE FACTOR, THE FINGERSTICK 01:25PM 11 12 PERCENTAGE, AND I'M GOING TO ADDRESS THAT, AND THERE ARE OTHER 01:25PM 13 FACTORS THAT WERE VERY IMPORTANT TO WALGREENS AND VERY 01:25PM 14 IMPORTANT TO THERANOS THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS IGNORED. THESE 01:25PM ARE SOME OF THEM THAT WE'RE DISCUSSING NOW. 15 01:25PM LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. LIKELY TO COME BACK BY 16 01:25PM 17 8/31/15. 01:25PM 18 THE SUCCESSFUL CRITERIA STATED WAS EQUAL TO OR GREATER 01:25PM 01:25PM 19 THAN 90 PERCENT. THEY WERE ALREADY THERE. LET'S GO TO THE PARTNERSHIP MEETING MINUTES THEMSELVES. 01:25PM 20 21 THE KICKOFF: MOMENTUM IS BUILDING, PATIENT UPTICK IS 01:25PM 22 OCCURRING; THEY ARE MEETING OUITE FREOUENTLY; MR. JHAVERI 01:25PM COMMENTED ON A BIG DAY FOR WALGREENS TO FOCUS US EVEN HARDER 23 01:25PM 24 FOR WHAT IS NEXT TO FOCUS ON INITIATIVES TO DRIVE VALUE TO 01:25PM 25 WALGREENS, OUR PARTNERS, AND U.S. HEALTH SYSTEM. 01:26PM

SO IT'S A BIG MEETING FOR WALGREENS. 1 01:26PM KEEP GOING. 2 01:26PM AS I JUST SHOWED YOU IN THE CHARTS, THERANOS EXPERIENCE 3 01:26PM 4 SURVEY SUMMARY RESULTS ARE OFF THE CHARTS. THAT'S WHAT WAS 01:26PM BEING REPORTED AT THIS MEETING. 01:26PM OKAY. NOW, THIS IS THE PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR '15. THAT'S 01:26PM THAT PERIOD GOING THROUGH AUGUST 31ST, 2015. 01:26PM AND REMEMBER IN THE JULY MEETING MINUTES, SO JUST THE 8 01:26PM 9 MONTH BEFORE, THE GOAL WAS 500. BUT THAT WAS IN MAY RATHER. 01:26PM SO THE GOAL WAS 500 STORES FOR THAT FISCAL YEAR, AND NOW 10 01:26PM THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT SOMETHING DIFFERENT. 01:26PM 11 12 AND REMEMBER THE GOAL FOR THAT PERIOD WAS TO HAVE ONLY 01:26PM 13 THREE ADDITIONAL MARKETS. ARIZONA WAS AN EXISTING MARKET, BUT 01:26PM 14 THEY COULD EXPAND THAT; CALIFORNIA TO EXPAND BEYOND THE ONE 01:26PM STORE; AND TRI-STATE AREA, AND THAT WAS THE THREE MARKETS THAT 15 01:27PM 16 THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT IN MAY OF 2014, AND IT WAS GOING TO BE 01:27PM 17 500 STORES FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR. 01:27PM 18 NOW LET'S LOOK AT WHAT IS GOING ON IN AUGUST. 01:27PM 01:27PM 19 SO THEY SAY THE NEXT MARKET IS NORTHERN CALIFORNIA. THE NEXT MARKETS ARE -- AND NOW THEY'RE ADDING MORE MARKETS. 01:27PM 20 21 SO THE PRIORITY ONE MARKETS ARE CALIFORNIA, WHICH THEY TALKED 01:27PM ABOUT BEFORE, BUT IT'S STILL THERE, AND THAT'S APPROXIMATELY 22 01:27PM 90 STORES; ARIZONA, APPROXIMATELY 20 STORES. REMEMBER, THEY 23 01:27PM 24 ALREADY HAD AT THIS POINT ALMOST 40 STORES, SO THEY'RE GOING TO 01:27PM EXPAND TO AN ADDITIONAL 20, NEW YORK, NEW JERSEY TO 25 01:27PM

CONNECTICUT, THAT IS THE TRI-STATE AREA, THAT WAS GOING TO BE 1 01:27PM ABOUT 90 STORES. 2 01:27PM 3 SO WYOMING IS A QUESTION MARK. BUT RIGHT THERE, 90, PLUS 01:27PM 4 20, PLUS 90, THAT'S 200 STORES RIGHT THERE BEFORE YOU EVEN GET 01:27PM TO THE REST OF THE MARKET THAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT. 01:27PM SO LET'S GO ON TO THE REST OF THEM. THESE ARE ADDITIONAL 01:27PM MARKETS THAT ARE IN DISCUSSION BETWEEN THERANOS AND WALGREENS 01:28PM THAT HAD NOT BEEN IN THE MAY MEETING. 8 01:28PM 9 SO NOW WE HAVE THE PRIORITY 2 MARKETS: ILLINOIS, TEXAS, 01:28PM AND FLORIDA. THREE VERY LARGE STATEMENTS. 10 01:28PM 01:28PM 11 PRIORITY 3 MARKETS: INDIANA, NEW MEXICO, MISSOURI, AND 12 EXCLUDED PENNSYLVANIA. 01:28PM 13 SO DOES THIS LOOK LIKE SOMETHING THAT IS CONTRACTING OR 01:28PM 14 EXPANDING? THEY'RE ADDING MORE MARKETS. I'M SORRY. 01:28PM THEY WERE AT -- WHEN THEY WERE AT A PROJECTION OF 500 15 01:28PM 16 STORES, THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT 3 MARKETS, AND NOW THEY HAVE 01:28PM 17 200 STORES WITH JUST THOSE 3 MARKETS, AND NOW THEY'RE ADDING 01:28PM 18 MORE MARKETS. 01:28PM 01:28PM 19 AND WHEN YOU LOOK AT THIS, THEY'RE GOING -- THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMS THAT THIS WAS A SCALING BACK FROM 500 TO 200, BUT WE'VE 01:28PM 20 21 GOT 200 JUST WITH THE THREE, ARIZONA, CALIFORNIA, AND THE 01:28PM TRI-STATE, AND NOW THEY'RE ADDING MORE MARKETS, ILLINOIS, 22 01:29PM TEXAS, FLORIDA. IT'S GOING TO BE MORE THAN 200. AND YOU CAN 23 01:29PM 24 SEE THAT IN THE REST OF THE DOCUMENT. 01:29PM PLAN FOR FISCAL YEAR '15 IS TO FOLLOW THE WELL EXPERIENCE 25 01:29PM

ROLLOUT AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE. MR. JHAVERI TESTIFIED TO THAT, 1 01:29PM THEY WERE BUILDING THIS WELL EXPERIENCE ANYWAY, AND IT TURNED 2 01:29PM OUT THEY HAD A ROOM THAT COULD BE USED FOR BLOOD TESTING, SO 3 01:29PM 4 THEY COULD DO THERANOS BLOOD TESTING IN ALL OF THE STORES THAT 01:29PM WERE WELL EXPERIENCE STORES. 01:29PM AND THIS IS, THIS NEXT BULLET. INITIAL GOAL FOR FISCAL 01:29PM YEAR '15, SEPTEMBER THROUGH AUGUST, THAT'S THE FISCAL YEAR. 01:29PM THE INITIAL GOAL WAS 500 SORES. SO WE'VE SEEN THAT BEFORE. 8 01:29PM NEED TO DEFINE THIS GOAL. 9 01:29PM SO WHAT DOES IT SAY RIGHT AFTER THAT? DOES IT SAY WE NEED 10 01:29PM TO REDEFINE THIS GOAL DOWNWARD TO 200 STORES? NO. 01:29PM 11 12 IT SAYS THE NEXT THING WE NEED TO DO AFTER REDEFINING THIS 01:29PM GOAL, IT SAYS NATIONWIDE 2,000 TO 2500 STORES AND 25 TO 30 13 01:29PM 14 METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS. IT ASSUMES A LAUNCH IN 01:30PM PARALLEL MARKETS. THAT MEANS NOT JUST FOCUSSING ON ONE MARKET 15 01:30PM 16 AT A TIME, LAUNCHING IN SEVERAL MARKETS AT ONCE, PARALLEL 01:30PM 17 MARKETS. 01:30PM 18 NEED TO FIGURE OUT LAUNCH IN A BOX CONCEPT. MR. JHAVERI 01:30PM TESTIFIED THAT IF YOU'RE GOING TO EXPAND RAPIDLY, YOU'VE GOT TO 01:30PM 19 HAVE A SYSTEM WHERE -- HOW YOU'RE GOING TO ROLL OUT ANY NEW 01:30PM 20 21 STORES IS PRETTY MUCH PACKAGED IN A BOX SO YOU COULD NOT 01:30PM 22 REINVENT THE WHEEL EVERY TIME AND JUST FORWARD WITH WHATEVER 01:30PM YOU HAVE PLANNED THAT YOU'VE DONE OVER AND OVER AGAIN, THAT'S 23 01:30PM PLAN TO LAUNCH IN A BOX. 24 01:30PM

PLAN TO BE IN EVERY HCC LOCATION. EVERY HCC LOCATION.

25

01:30PM

AND MR. JHAVERI CONFIRMED THAT IN HIS TESTIMONY. 1 01:30PM AND BY THE WAY, THAT'S 430 EXISTING STORES, PLUS 50 NEW IN 2 01:30PM FISCAL YEAR 2015. 3 01:30PM 01:30PM 4 SO RIGHT THERE WE'VE GOT 480, RIGHT? PLUS WE HAVE THE 200 FOR CALIFORNIA, ARIZONA, AND THE TRI-STATE, PLUS THE EXISTING 01:30PM 40 STORES, AND OTHER STORES. 01:31PM SO WE'RE VERY QUICKLY COMING TO THE 900 STORE NUMBER, AND 01:31PM I'M GOING TO SHOW YOU EVEN MORE THAN THAT, THAT THE GOVERNMENT 8 01:31PM 9 CLAIMS THAT WHEN LISA PETERSON WAS TOLD, YEAH, WE'RE HOPING 01:31PM THEY ROLL OUT TO 900 STORES IN 2015, THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMS THAT 10 01:31PM THAT IS SOME KIND OF MISREPRESENTATION TO MS. PETERSON. 01:31PM 11 01:31PM 12 WELL, THIS IS WHAT MR. BALWANI WAS LEARNING VERY SHORTLY 13 BEFORE HE WAS DEALING WITH THE DEVOS FAMILY AND MR. MOSLEY 01:31PM 14 ABOUT WHAT WALGREENS WAS THINKING. 01:31PM AND WHATEVER MR. JHAVERI SAYS ON THE STAND NOW DURING THIS 15 01:31PM 16 TRIAL, THIS IS WHAT IS IN THE DOCUMENT. AND WHATEVER HE SAYS 01:31PM 17 ON THE STAND, THIS IS WHAT MR. BALWANI WAS LEARNING IN REAL 01:31PM 18 TIME SEEING IT THROUGH HIS EYES WHEN HE WAS DEALING WITH 01:31PM 01:31PM 19 WALGREENS. 20 AGAIN, IT DOESN'T SAY WE'RE SCALING BACK. IT SAYS 01:31PM 21 REDEFINE THIS GOAL. NATIONWIDE, 2,000 TO 2500 STORES, AND THE 01:31PM 22 THEN REST OF THE MATERIAL THAT I JUST READ TO YOU. 01:32PM NOW, LET'S GO DOWN THE PAGE A BIT BECAUSE THERE'S MORE TO 23 01:32PM 24 THIS. 01:32PM SO YOU CAN SEE FROM THE CHART THAT THE WELL EXPERIENCE IS 25 01:32PM

2,000 AND THEN THERANOS IS 200. SO WHAT MR. JHAVERI WANTS TO 1 01:32PM SAY NOW -- REMEMBER, EVERYBODY AT THIS POINT DOES NOT WANT TO 2 01:32PM SAY I WAS A CHEERLEADER FOR THERANOS, I LOVED THERANOS IN 3 01:32PM 4 AUGUST OF 2014. 01:32PM AT THIS POINT EVERYONE'S INCENTIVE IS TO SAY, NO, I WAS 01:32PM BACKING OFF, YOU KNOW, I DIDN'T WANT TO HAVE ANYTHING TO DO 01:32PM WITH IT. 01:32PM SO HE'S NOW TRYING TO CLAIM THAT 200 STORES AND THERANOS 8 01:32PM 9 STORES, THAT'S IT, THAT ONE BOX IS IT. IGNORING THE 430, PLUS 01:32PM 50 FOR HCC AND IGNORING THE WELLNESS EXPERIENCE OF 2,000. 10 01:32PM AND THINK ABOUT THIS FOR A MINUTE. WHY WOULD THE 01:32PM 11 12 PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN THERANOS AND WALGREENS, WHY WOULD 01:32PM 13 MR. JHAVERI AND WALGREENS BE PUTTING IN A CHART 2,000 WELL 01:32PM 14 EXPERIENCE STORES IF THAT HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH THERANOS? 01:32PM THAT'S JUST SOME INDEPENDENT PROGRAM. SO OBVIOUSLY SOME 15 01:33PM PORTION OF THE 2,000 WELL EXPERIENCE STORES HAVE EVERYTHING TO 16 01:33PM 17 DO WITH THERANOS, AND IT CONFIRMS THAT IN THE REST OF THE 01:33PM 18 DOCUMENT THAT WE'RE GOING TO DISCUSS IN A MINUTE. 01:33PM 01:33PM 19 SO THE NEXT STEPS. GET LIST OF 2,000 STORES WITH PRIVATE HEALTH ROOMS; GET LIST OF WELLNESS EXPERIENCE STORES WITHIN 01:33PM 20 21 THOSE STATES. SO THAT'S THE LEGWORK THAT HAS TO BE DONE. 01:33PM 22 AND THEN THIS BULLET POINT REALLY NAILS IT. THE ONE THAT 01:33PM IS HIGHLIGHTED THERE, THERANOS, WELL EXPERIENCE, HSRX, AND 23 01:33PM 24 CLINICS ARE TOP PRIORITIES FOR THE COMPANY; 3 OUT OF 4 ARE 01:33PM REPRESENTED ON THE THERANOS INITIATIVE. 25 01:33PM

SO MR. JHAVERI EXPLAINED THAT HSRX IS A TOTALLY SEPARATE 1 01:33PM PROGRAM. THERE'S NO DISPUTE THAT IT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH 2 01:33PM THERANOS. SO THE 3 OUT OF THE 4 THAT ARE REPRESENTED ON THE 3 01:33PM 4 THERANOS INITIATIVE ARE THERANOS, WELL EXPERIENCE, AND CLINICS. 01:33PM THERANOS, THAT LABEL, THAT APPLIES TO THE THERANOS GOLD 01:33PM STORES. AND GO BACK TO THE DECEMBER 31ST CONTRACT AMENDMENT, 01:34PM IT DEFINES WHAT GOLD STORES ARE. 01:34PM SO THERE WAS A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF STORES THAT THERANOS HAD 8 01:34PM 9 AT WALGREENS OR THESE COLLECTION CENTERS THAT WOULD BE 01:34PM SEPARATELY BUILT OUT WITH THE THERANOS SIGN AND HAD A SEPARATE 10 01:34PM BATHROOM AND A SEPARATE ROOM. THOSE WERE THE SO-CALLED GOLD 01:34PM 11 12 STORES, THAT LEVEL OF SERVICE. 01:34PM 13 AND SO THE THERANOS STORES REFERS TO THE THERANOS STORES, 01:34PM 14 AND THEN THE BLOOD TESTING COULD ALSO GO ON AT THESE WELL 01:34PM EXPERIENCE STORES AND THESE HCC CLINIC STORES. AND THAT'S WHAT 15 01:34PM 16 THAT BULLET IS SAYING, THERANOS, WELL EXPERIENCE, AND CLINICS, 01:34PM 17 THOSE ARE THE THREE REPRESENTED ON THE THERANOS INITIATIVE. 01:34PM 18 BUT LET'S TALK ABOUT MR. JHAVERI A LITTLE BIT MORE. 01:34PM 01:34PM 19 GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 20 AND THAT'S JUST CONFIRMING THAT MS. HAWORTH TOOK ACCURATE 01:34PM 21 NOTES AND MADE SURE SHE CAPTURED ALL OF THE DECISIONS THAT WERE 01:34PM 22 BEING MADE AT THESE MEETINGS. 01:35PM SO JUST ABOUT A WEEK AFTER THE PARTNERSHIP MEETING 23 01:35PM MINUTES, MR. JHAVERI SENT THIS EMAIL TO MR. BALWANI, AND JUST 24 01:35PM TO SHOW WHAT MR. BALWANI WAS ASKING. HE WROTE TO MR. JHAVERI 25 01:35PM

1 01:35PM 2 01:35PM 3 01:35PM 4 01:35PM 01:35PM 01:35PM 01:35PM 8 01:35PM 9 01:35PM 10 01:35PM 01:35PM 11 12 01:35PM 13 01:35PM 14 01:36PM 15 01:36PM 16 01:36PM 17 01:36PM 18 01:36PM 01:36PM 19 20 01:36PM 21 01:36PM 22 01:36PM 23 01:36PM 24 01:36PM

25

01:36PM

ON AUGUST 12TH. HE SAID, CAN WE TOUCH BASE OVER THE NEXT FEW DAYS TO CHAT ABOUT OUR GOLD, SILVER, AND BRONZE STORE LAYOUTS AND DISTRIBUTION. AS YOU MAY KNOW (MAY NOT KNOW ALSO) THAT IN ARIZONA AND CALIFORNIA, AT LEAST 40 PERCENT OF THE STORES ARE TO BE GOLD (DEDICATED THERANOS ROOMS) SO WE WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE TRACKING THAT.

SO MR. BALWANI IS JUST POINTING OUT, HEY, WHILE WE ARE EXPANDING, KEEP IN MIND WE HAVE A CONTRACT THAT SAYS 40 PERCENT OF THESE HAVE TO BE GOLD ROOM STORES, A SEPARATE BATH ROOM AND SEPARATE ROOM AND SO FORTH.

AND MR. JHAVERI RESPONDS. HE SAYS, "HI SUNNY, YES, FULLY AWARE OF THE TERMS -- WE ARE DETERMINING THE IMPLICATIONS OF THIS REQUIREMENT. THE BEST WAY TO MAKE THIS HAPPEN TO TRULY DETERMINE THE STORE SELECTION FOR THE ENTIRE CHAIN NOW, BASED ON THE CRITERIA. WE ARE GOING TO TOUCH 2,000 STORES IN 2015 AND WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SURE WE PLACE 2 ROOMS IN THE STORES THAT WE WANT TO SELECT FOR GOLD LEVEL. IF YOU CAN FINALIZE THE STORE SELECTION CRITERIA BY THE END OF THIS WEEK, IT WOULD BE A TREMENDOUS HELP."

SO MR. JHAVERI IS NOT SAYING WE'RE GOING TO TOUCH 2,000 STORES THAT ARE WELL EXPERIENCE STORES AND WE'RE GOING TO SELECT A CERTAIN NUMBER OF THOSE FOR IT TO BE THERANOS STORES AND THE REST ARE GOING TO BE COMPLETELY UNRELATED TO THERANOS, THAT'S WHAT MR. JHAVERI IS TRYING TO CLAIM NOW.

HE SAYS, WE'RE GOING TO TOUCH 2,000 STORES IN 2015, I WANT

TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY HAVE 2 ROOMS IN THE STORES THAT ARE 1 01:36PM SELECTED FOR THE GOLD LEVEL, OKAY? SO THOSE ARE JUST THE ONES 2 01:36PM THAT ARE GOING TO BE GOLD LEVEL. THERE ARE THE OTHER STORES 3 01:36PM 4 THAT ARE NOT GOLD LEVEL, THAT DON'T HAVE THE SEPARATE BATHROOM 01:36PM AND THE SEPARATE ROOM. 01:36PM SO IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, THOSE ARE THE THERANOS 01:37PM GOLD STORES. THAT'S WHAT MR. JHAVERI CONFIRMED. IT HAS THE 01:37PM THERANOS SIGNAGE. IT HAS THE PLEASANT WAITING AREA, AND IT HAS 8 01:37PM 9 A SEPARATE BATHROOM, WHICH IS NOT IN THE PICTURE, BUT THAT WAS 01:37PM SHOWN TO MR. JHAVERI. SO THAT'S WHAT WAS GOING TO BE SELECTED. 10 01:37PM AND SO FOR MR. JHAVERI TO CLAIM NOW THAT, OH, THIS IS 01:37PM 11 12 TOUCHING 2,000 STORES, THAT WAS JUST SOME INDEPENDENT WALGREENS 01:37PM 13 PROGRAM THAT WAS CALLED WELL EXPERIENCE AND HAS NOTHING TO DO 01:37PM 14 WITH THERANOS. WHY WOULD HE BE REPORTING THAT TO MR. BALWANI? 01:37PM WHY WOULD MR. BALWANI CARE ABOUT SOME OTHER PROGRAM THAT 01:37PM 15 WALGREENS HAD THAT HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH THERANOS? 16 01:37PM 17 AND WE KNOW THAT'S THE CASE BECAUSE OF THE WAY THAT 01:37PM 18 MR. JHAVERI TESTIFIED. SO HE SAYS ON CROSS, IS YOUR TESTIMONY 01:37PM 19 TODAY, MR. JHAVERI, THAT WHEN YOU SAID 2,000, YOU MEANT THESE 01:37PM ARE WELL EXPERIENCE STORES THAT HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH 01:37PM 20 21 THERANOS? 01:37PM 22 AND HE SAYS NO. WHAT I WAS REFERRING TO WAS EXACTLY THE 01:37PM 23 PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS THAT WE WERE LOOKING AT, WHICH WAS THAT 01:37PM WE'RE GOING TO TOUCH 2,000 STORES FOR WELL EXPERIENCE IN 2015. 24 01:38PM OF THOSE 2,000 STORES, WE ARE PLANNING FOR 200 THERANOS 25 01:38PM

STORES, OR THERANOS SERVICES WITHIN THOSE STORES. 1 01:38PM HE SAYS, I MAY NOT HAVE SAID WELL EXPERIENCE IN FRONT OF 2 01:38PM 2,000, BUT IT'S CONSISTENT WITH THE DOCUMENT THAT WE JUST 01:38PM 3 4 REVIEWED. 01:38PM HE DOESN'T TALK ABOUT HOW IT'S JUST THE WELL EXPERIENCE 01:38PM STORES. BUT HE'S SAYING, OKAY, I JUST OMITTED THOSE WORDS. 01:38PM WELL, FIRST OF ALL, EVEN IF THAT WERE TRUE, WHAT IS 01:38PM MR. BALWANI SUPPOSED TO TAKE AWAY FROM THE EMAIL WHEN HE'S TOLD 8 01:38PM 9 BY WALGREENS EXECUTIVE NAMED NIM JHAVERI WE'RE GOING TO TOUCH 01:38PM 2,000 STORES IN SEPTEMBER OF 2015, AND LET'S TALK ABOUT THE 10 01:38PM ONES WHICH WILL BE SELECTED FOR THE GOLD LEVEL SERVICE. 01:38PM 11 12 MR. BALWANI WOULD TAKE AWAY THAT THIS PARTNERSHIP IS 01:38PM 13 EXPANDING, THIS IS FULL SYSTEMS GO, ALL SYSTEMS GO, AND WE'RE 01:38PM 14 GOING TO GO FORWARD. 01:38PM AND SO WHAT HE TELLS MS. PETERSON, WHICH WE'LL GET TO, IT 01:38PM 15 COULD BE 900 STORES IN 2015, THAT'S A CONSERVATIVE ESTIMATE, 16 01:38PM 17 COMPARED TO WHAT MR. JHAVERI IS SAYING, NOT MR. BALWANI, 01:39PM 18 MR. JHAVERI IS SAYING. 01:39PM 01:39PM 19 BUT THIS TESTIMONY, HERE'S THE REST OF THE PICTURE HERE. YOU NEVER TALK ABOUT WELL EXPERIENCE? 01:39PM 20 HE SAYS THAT'S CORRECT IN THAT EMAIL. I'M SORRY, IN THE 21 01:39PM 22 VIDEO CLIP. 01:39PM SO YOU MIGHT REMEMBER AT TRIAL THERE WAS SOME PREVIOUS 23 01:39PM 24 TESTIMONY THAT MR. JHAVERI GAVE WHERE HE HAD GIVEN TESTIMONY 01:39PM BEFORE, AND WE PLAYED FOR YOU, IT'S NOT IN EVIDENCE, BUT YOU 25 01:39PM

SAW IT AT THE TIME. IT WAS PLAYED, SOME PRIOR TESTIMONY, WHERE 1 01:39PM HE HAD TALKED ABOUT THIS SAME EMAIL, AND HE DIDN'T TESTIFY 2 01:39PM ANYTHING ABOUT, WELL, THESE ARE JUST WELL EXPERIENCE STORES AND 3 01:39PM 4 WE'RE ONLY GOING TO PICK 200. 01:39PM HE SAID, YEAH, WE COULD, WE COULD GET THE 2,000 STORES AND 01:39PM OF COURSE EVERYTHING ELSE, CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE AND ALL OF THE 01:39PM OTHER METRICS, INCLUDING FINGERSTICK PERCENTAGE HAVE TO GET 01:39PM WHERE THEY NEED TO GET. BUT, YEAH, WE WERE PLANNING FOR 2,000 8 01:40PM STORES. THAT IS WHAT HE SAID IN PREVIOUS TESTIMONY. HE WAS 9 01:40PM SINGING A DIFFERENT TUNE ABOUT THIS PARTICULAR POINT ON THE 10 01:40PM 01:40PM 11 STAND. 12 YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT THAT MR. JHAVERI IS A BAD PERSON, IT'S 01:40PM 13 JUST THAT NOBODY WANTS TO BE A CHEERLEADER FOR THERANOS NOW. 01:40PM 14 LOOK AT WHERE WE ARE, OKAY? 01:40PM LET'S KEEP GOING. 15 01:40PM 16 HE ALSO HAD TO ADMIT IN PRIOR TESTIMONY, HE SAID HE AGREED 01:40PM 17 AS OF AUGUST OF 2014 THIS 2500 WAS HIS PROJECTION. 01:40PM 18 AND THIS IS HIS TESTIMONY UNDER OATH IN A PRIVATE 01:40PM 01:40PM 19 PROCEEDING. 20 SO HE SAID DIFFERENT THINGS AT DIFFERENT TIMES ABOUT THIS 01:40PM 21 POINT. 01:40PM 22 BUT IF YOU HAD ANY DOUBT ABOUT THIS PARTNERSHIP BEING AN 01:40PM EXPANSIVE PROJECT AT THIS POINT IN TIME IN THE SUMMER, LATE 23 01:40PM 24 SUMMER OF '14, LET'S LOOK AT THE REST OF THESE THINGS THAT WE 01:40PM HAD TO SHOW YOU, IS THE DEFENSE. 25 01:40PM

SO HERE'S MR. JHAVERI'S EXCHANGE WITH WADE MIQUELON. AT 1 01:40PM THIS POINT MR. MIQUELON WAS LEAVING WALGREENS. AND MR. JHAVERI 2 01:40PM WRITES TO MR. MIQUELON AND SAYS, "THANK YOU AND ABSOLUTELY WE 3 01:41PM 01:41PM 4 WILL STAY IN TOUCH. ALSO, WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW WHAT WE ARE DOING WITH THERANOS. THE PROGRESS HAS BEEN GREAT SINCE WE 01:41PM PRESENTED TO YOU IN MARCH. I PROMISED YOU WE WILL GET THIS 01:41PM DONE -- SEE BELOW." 01:41PM AND MR. MIQUELON SAYS, "WOW. KEEP ROCKIN IT. WADE." 8 01:41PM SO IS THIS MR. JHAVERI REPORTING THINGS ARE NOT REALLY 9 01:41PM WORKING OUT AND WE'RE NOT GOING TO EXPAND CONTRACTING? HE IS 10 01:41PM GOING TO GET THIS DONE, AND HE PROMISED MR. MIQUELON, AND HE'S 01:41PM 11 12 GOING TO GET THIS DONE. THAT'S EXHIBIT 20235. 01:41PM 13 LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 01:41PM 14 MR. JHAVERI HAD TO AGREE THAT IF THERANOS WAS IN EVERY HCC 01:41PM LOCATION, THAT WOULD BE RIGHT THERE, 480 STORES JUST WITH THE 15 01:41PM 16 HCC PROGRAM. 01:41PM 17 AND I TALKED ABOUT THIS POINT BEFORE, BUT THAT'S THE \$59 01:41PM 18 FOR THE HCC STD PANEL AND ON THE PROJECTION THAT WAS MADE FROM 01:42PM 01:42PM 19 MR. GROSSMAN, THE NORMAL REQUISITION PER PATIENT WAS GOING TO BE \$35. 01:42PM 20 21 SO AS I SAID, IT WAS GOING TO BE MORE LUCRATIVE FOR THE 01:42PM 22 STD PANEL. SO EVEN THOUGH THERE WERE FEWER TESTS, THAT DIDN'T 01:42PM MATTER. THEY WERE GOING TO GET A LITTLE BIT HIGHER FEE. 23 01:42PM 24 OKAY. LET'S TALK ABOUT THE NEXT EXHIBIT WHICH THE 01:42PM GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU. THIS IS AUGUST 15TH, MR. JHAVERI TO 25 01:42PM

MR. BALWANI. 1 01:42PM HE SAYS, "THERE HAS BEEN A LOT OF DISCUSSION WITH THE NEW 2 01:42PM LEADERSHIP." 3 01:42PM 01:42PM 4 SO WHAT WAS GOING ON AT THIS POINT IN WALGREENS WAS THAT THEY WERE GOING THROUGH WITH A MERGER WITH ANOTHER COMPANY 01:42PM 5 CALLED BOOTS ALLIANCE. AND MR. JHAVERI TALKED ABOUT THE BOOTS 6 01:42PM PHARMACY STORES IN EUROPE. AND THERE WERE OTHER LEADERSHIP 01:43PM COMING ON. MR. GREG WASSON WAS LEAVING. OTHER LEADERSHIP WAS 8 01:43PM 9 COMING ON. SO UNDERSTANDABLY WALGREENS WAS TAKING A LOOK AT 01:43PM 10 THIS. 01:43PM AND HE SAYS, "AS YOU CAN IMAGINE, OUR PARTNERSHIP IS ONE 01:43PM 11 12 AT THE CORE." 01:43PM 13 MR. JHAVERI WROTE, "AND WE HAVE MADE UNBELIEVABLE PROGRESS 01:43PM 14 IN THE SHORT 5 MONTHS -- I RECEIVED EMAILS FROM SEVERAL LEADERS 01:43PM TELLING ME THIS." 15 01:43PM SO THAT'S WHAT MR. JHAVERI IS REPORTING. 16 01:43PM 17 "HOWEVER, IT WILL BE IMPORTANT THAT WE DRIVE WITH A SINGLE 01:43PM 18 FOCUS TOGETHER. THE 2 AREAS WHICH MUST BE FOCUSSED ON," AND HE 01:43PM 01:43PM 19 SAYS TWO THINGS. PATIENTS PER DAY WITH A 4-PLUS EXPERIENCE. THEY ARE ALREADY THERE. WE SAW THE CHART. 01:43PM 20 21 VENOUS PERCENT IN THE 10 PERCENT RANGE. 01:43PM 22 SO AS I SAID, THAT WAS A METRIC. THAT WAS IMPORTANT. 01:43PM WASN'T THE ONLY THING, AS THE GOVERNMENT WANTS YOU TO BELIEVE 23 01:43PM 24 THAT WITHOUT THAT THE WHOLE THING IS DEAD AND WALGREENS LIKED 01:43PM THE TRAFFIC IN THEIR STORES, THEY LIKED THE PATIENT EXPERIENCE, 25 01:43PM

THEY LIKED THE FACT THAT THEY WERE HAVING CUSTOMERS COME IN 1 01:43PM THAT WOULDN'T OTHERWISE VISIT WALGREENS STORES, ALL OF THAT. 2 01:44PM BUT VENOUS PERCENTAGE IS, IN FACT, ONE OF THE METRICS. 3 01:44PM 4 BUT LET'S TALK ABOUT HOW IMPORTANT THAT WAS TO WALGREENS. 01:44PM THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU A DOCUMENT WHERE MR. BALWANI EARLIER 01:44PM IN TIME HAD SAID, WELL, WE'RE HOPING TO BE, YOU KNOW, BELOW 01:44PM 5 PERCENT BY THE END OF THE YEAR, BUT THEN AT THIS POINT IN 01:44PM TIME, THIS IS AUGUST, THIS IS FROM THE AUGUST 2014 PARTNERSHIP 8 01:44PM 9 MEETING, THE PERCENT OF VENOUS DRAWS SHOULD BE BELOW 10 PERCENT 01:44PM 10 BY AUGUST 31ST, 2015. SO WALGREENS AND THERANOS, ACCORDING TO 01:44PM THE PARTNERSHIP MEETING MINUTES, AGREED THAT THAT WAS THE 01:44PM 11 12 DEADLINE. 01:44PM 13 SO AT THIS POINT IN AUGUST, THERE WAS PLENTY OF TIME TO 01:44PM 14 GET THAT VENOUS PERCENT DOWN. IT WASN'T SOMETHING IMMINENT, IT 01:44PM WASN'T SOMETHING PRESSING, IT WASN'T GOING TO INTERFERE WITH 15 01:44PM 16 THE EXPANSION, AND THAT WAS ALL SYSTEMS GO. THAT WAS THE 01:44PM 17 DEADLINE. 01:44PM 18 AND THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T SHOW YOU THAT BECAUSE THEY LIKED 01:44PM 01:44PM 19 THE EARLIER DEADLINE THAT WAS PROJECTED EARLIER. BUT THAT'S THE DEADLINE BETWEEN THE MEETING WITH WALGREENS AND THERANOS, 01:45PM 20 21 AND AUGUST 31ST, 2015, ABOUT A YEAR AWAY. 01:45PM SO THE RELATION BETWEEN THERANOS AND WALGREENS, IT DIDN'T 22 01:45PM STOP IN THE SUMMER OF 2014. THE GOVERNMENT WANTS YOU TO THINK 23 01:45PM THAT BY THE TIME THE FALL 2014 INVESTORS CAME IN, LIKE 24 01:45PM MR. MOSLEY AND RDV, THAT THIS WAS DEAD, AND ANYTHING 25 01:45PM

MR. BALWANI SAID ABOUT THE PROSPECTS FOR EXPANDING A 1 01:45PM PARTNERSHIP FOR WALGREENS WERE FRAUDULENT. NO. 2 01:45PM THE DATA, THE DOCUMENTS SHOW THAT THIS PARTNERSHIP WAS 3 01:45PM 4 EXPANDING. THAT'S WHAT MR. BALWANI WOULD HAVE REASONABLY 01:45PM BELIEVED. AND IT DIDN'T STOP IN THE SUMMER. IT KEPT GOING. 01:45PM AND THIS IS IN OF NOVEMBER OF 2014. SO THESE ARE JUST 01:45PM SOME COMMENTS FROM THAT MEETING, YOU KNOW, INPUT FROM PEOPLE 01:45PM WHO USED THE SERVICE. THIS WAS AN RN, A NURSE, AND SHE WAS 8 01:46PM ALSO A PATIENT. 9 01:46PM SHE SAID THAT IT WAS GREAT, FAST, AND PAINLESS. ALL OF MY 10 01:46PM PHYSICIANS AND PATIENTS ARE GOING TO HEAR ABOUT THERANOS. 01:46PM 11 12 IF YOU GO TO THE EXHIBITS, THIS IS EXHIBIT 2214, AND 01:46PM 13 YOU'LL SEE ALL OVER REALLY POSITIVE PATIENT REPORTS, AND SOME 01:46PM 14 NEGATIVE ONES COMPLAINING ABOUT CERTAIN ASPECTS. YOU KNOW, THE 01:46PM BATHROOM WAS TOO FAR AWAY OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. BUT THOSE 15 01:46PM 16 ARE THE EXHIBITS. 01:46PM IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, NOVEMBER, THIS METRIC OF WHERE 17 01:46PM 18 DO YOU TYPICALLY GET YOUR PRESCRIPTIONS FILLED? IT WAS STILL 01:46PM 19 SHOWING A LOT OF PEOPLE COMING INTO WALGREENS WHO WERE GETTING 01:46PM THEIR PRESCRIPTIONS FILLED, OTHERWISE AT OTHER PHARMACIES. SO 01:46PM 20 21 THEY WERE GETTING THAT FOOT TRAFFIC. 01:46PM THE LIKELIHOOD TO RETURN METRIC. STILL OFF THE CHARTS. 22 01:46PM NOW WE'RE DOWN TO 4 PERCENT WHO WOULD NOT RETURN. 23 01:46PM AND THEN IN NOVEMBER OF 2014, THEY WERE EVEN DRAWING UP 24 01:46PM 25 PLANS FOR THE PRIVATE HEALTH ROOM LAYOUT WITH SHARED SERVICES. 01:47PM

SO THESE ARE NOT THE GOLD SERVICES, THESE ARE THE SHARED 1 01:47PM SERVICES. AND THERE'S GOING TO BE A CENTRIFUGE, AND THEY ARE 2 01:47PM ALREADY DRAWING UP THE PLANS FOR WHAT THESE STORES ARE GOING TO 3 01:47PM 4 LOOK LIKE. 01:47PM OKAY. SO I WANT TO TALK ABOUT --01:47PM IF YOU GO TO SLIDE 272, MR. ALLEN. 01:47PM SO THIS IS AN EMAIL WHERE THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU WHERE 01:47PM ON NOVEMBER 19TH, 2014 -- THIS IS, BY THE WAY, AFTER 8 01:47PM 9 MR. MOSLEY'S INVESTMENT AND RDV'S INVESTMENT, WHERE MR. BALWANI 01:47PM WANTS TO MS. HOLMES "WE CAN'T SCALE WITH WAG." 10 01:47PM AND THE GOVERNMENT HOLDS IT UP AND SAYS, "WELL, AHA-HAH, 01:47PM 11 12 THEY REALIZE THEY ARE NOT GOING TO EXPAND." 01:47PM 13 LET'S TALK ABOUT WHAT THIS IS REALLY ABOUT. 01:47PM 14 SO ON THE LAST TEXT ON THAT MR. BALWANI SAYS, "THEY TOLD 01:47PM OUR TEAM IN WAG MEETING THAT THEY DON'T INTEND TO OPEN MORE 01:47PM 15 16 PSC'S UNTIL JULY BECAUSE WE MISSED THE INTEGRATION DEADLINE. 01:48PM THIS IS VERY FRUSTRATING TO MR. BALWANI BECAUSE THEY ARE 17 01:48PM 18 TALKING ABOUT THIS I.T. INTEGRATION ISSUE. 01:48PM 01:48PM 19 YOU MIGHT REMEMBER WHEN MR. JHAVERI TESTIFIED, HE TALKED ABOUT THE DIFFICULTY BETWEEN THE WALGREENS I.T. DEPARTMENT, 01:48PM 20 21 WHICH IS MOVING MORE SLOWLY THAN PEOPLE MIGHT LIKE, AND THEN 01:48PM THERANOS, AND THAT INTEGRATION WAS A BIGGER PROBLEM THAN YOU 22 01:48PM WOULD INITIALLY THINK. SO THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT INTEGRATION OF 23 01:48PM THE I.T., AND, OF COURSE, THAT WAS FRUSTRATING TO MR. BALWANI. 24 01:48PM SO, YOU KNOW, YOU RATTLE OFF A TEXT TO YOUR BUSINESS 25 01:48PM

PARTNER AND ROMANTIC PARTNER AND YOU SAY WE CAN'T SCALE WITH 1 01:48PM WAG. IS THAT THE SUM TOTAL OF WHAT MR. BALWANI WAS THINKING. 2 01:48PM THE ANALOGY I THOUGHT OF WHEN I WAS THINKING ABOUT THIS 3 01:48PM 4 TEST WAS, YOU KNOW, A CHEF IN A RESTAURANT HAS A TOUGH NIGHT, 01:48PM BUSY, THE SUPPLIES AREN'T RIGHT, THINGS ARE NOT GOING WELL, AND 01:48PM HE SAYS -- THROWS DOWN HIS APRON AND SAYS I CAN'T WORK HERE 01:48PM ANYMORE, I'M OUT OF HERE, AND I'M GOING TO OPEN MY OWN 01:49PM RESTAURANT, OR WHATEVER. AND AN HOUR LATER, HE'S NOT DOING 8 01:49PM 9 THAT, RIGHT? 01:49PM 10 THE NEXT DAY AFTER SLEEPING ON IT, HE'S NOT DOING THAT. 01:49PM SO PEOPLE SAY ALL KINDS OF THINGS IN TEXT MESSAGES AND YOU 01:49PM 11 12 CAN'T JUST HOLD THOSE THINGS UP. 01:49PM 13 BUT IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT IS REALLY 01:49PM 14 GOING ON. HE'S TALKING ABOUT THE INTEGRATION DEADLINE, AND 01:49PM IT'S RIGHT THERE IN THE TEXT. AND MR. JHAVERI TESTIFIED ABOUT 15 01:49PM 16 THAT. 01:49PM 17 SO LET'S LOOK AT THAT. I WOULD SAY IT TAKES TIME TO 01:49PM 18 INCORPORATE INTO A LARGE FORTUNE 30 CORPORATION AND THE SYSTEMS 01:49PM 01:49PM 19 WE HAD, AND SO AT TIMES THAT WAS A LIMITING FACTOR IN HOW FAST WE COULD MOVE. THAT WAS WHAT MR. JHAVERI SAID. 01:49PM 20 21 GO TO THE NEXT ONE. 01:49PM 22 ALL OVER THESE PARTNERSHIP MEETING SLIDES, AND THIS IS 01:49PM ABOUT INTEGRATION. YOU CAN SEE TIMELINE 12/15/2014, 23 01:49PM JANUARY 31ST, 2015 DATES. THIS IS NEEDING PROGRESS, AMONG 24 01:50PM OTHER THINGS, THE I.T. PART OF THIS. AND THEN THERE'S THE 25 01:50PM

SECURITY ASSESSMENT. AND THEN THIS TITLE OF THE SLIDE IS 1 01:50PM THERANOS INTEGRATION NEXT STEPS. 2 01:50PM THEN THE NEXT SLIDE. 3 01:50PM 01:50PM 4 IF YOU GO TO THE PREVIOUS ONE, MR. ALLEN. MR. ALLEN, IT WILL BE 22 -- THANK YOU. 01:50PM THERE'S THE WALGREENS.COM INTEGRATION, AND THIS IS 01:50PM 11-14-14. SO RIGHT AROUND THE SAME TIME AS THIS TEXT MESSAGE 01:50PM THAT MR. BALWANI IS SAYING "WE CAN'T SCALE WITH WAG," AND IT'S 8 01:50PM ABOUT INTEGRATION, THAT'S WHAT IS GOING ON. THEY'RE HAVING 9 01:50PM SOME I.T. ISSUES WITH WALGREENS. THAT'S ALL IT IS. 10 01:51PM THE GOVERNMENT IS ACTING LIKE THIS IS SOME KIND OF 01:51PM 11 01:51PM 12 INCRIMINATING SMOKING GUN, BUT IT'S NOT THAT WHEN YOU LOOK AT 13 THE REST OF THE EVIDENCE, ALL OF THE EVIDENCE. 01:51PM 14 OKAY. LET'S GO TO -- AND THIS WALGREENS SECTION IS A LONG 01:51PM 15 SECTION, BUT WE'RE GETTING THERE. SO LET'S TALK ABOUT 01:51PM 16 WALGREENS INTERNAL CORRESPONDENCE. 01:51PM 17 SO THIS IS OUR MARCH 30TH, 2015. 01:51PM 18 NOW, I TOLD YOU THE MUSIC DIDN'T STOP IN AUGUST OF 2014. 01:51PM 01:51PM 19 THIS PARTNERSHIP KEPT GOING. THIS IS WHEN THEY HAVE THE NEW 20 01:51PM LEADERSHIP. 21 SO A GENTLEMAN NAMED JONATHAN SPITZER IS WRITING TO A 01:51PM 22 GROUP OF PEOPLE, INCLUDING MR. JHAVERI AND OTHER SENIOR PEOPLE 01:51PM 23 AT WALGREENS, AND HE SAYS, PLEASE FIND ATTACHED A QUIT SNAPSHOT 01:51PM 24 OF THE FINANCIAL AND OPERATIONAL PERFORMANCE OF THE THERANOS 01:51PM 25 PILOT. 01:51PM

1 01:51PM 2 01:52PM 3 01:52PM 4 01:52PM 01:52PM 01:52PM 01:52PM 8 01:52PM 9 01:52PM 10 01:52PM 01:52PM 11 12 01:52PM 13 01:52PM 14 01:52PM 15 01:52PM 16 01:52PM 17 01:52PM 18 01:52PM 19 01:52PM 01:52PM 20 21 01:53PM 22 01:53PM 23 01:53PM 24 01:53PM 25 01:53PM

AND THEN YOU CAN SEE IN THE HIGHLIGHTED LANGUAGE HE SAYS, I HAVE NOT FORESTED -- I THINK THAT MIGHT BE FORECASTED -- THE CHANGE IN LABOR AT THIS TIME, BUT WE SHOULD EXPECT THE PROJECT OPERATIONAL EXPENSES TO GO FROM AROUND \$100 A MONTH TO ABOUT \$20 TO \$40,000 PER MONTH. THE NEW CONTRACT RENEGOTIATIONS ARE TAKING PLACE.

SO YOU MIGHT REMEMBER FROM MR. JHAVERI'S TESTIMONY THAT
THERE WAS AN ISSUE OF PHLEBOTOMISTS, AND MR. JHAVERI TESTIFIED
THAT AS LONG AS THERE WERE PHLEBOTOMISTS IN THE WALGREENS
STORES -- PHLEBOTOMISTS ARE THE PEOPLE WHO TAKE THE VEIN DRAWS
IN THE ARM, RIGHT? AS LONG AS THERE WERE PHLEBOTOMISTS, THERE
WAS A COST FOR PHLEBOTOMISTS.

AND FOR THE ARIZONA PROJECT OF THE 40 STORES, THERANOS IS PAYING FOR THOSE PHLEBOTOMISTS, BUT WHEN THIS WENT TO SCALE NATIONALLY, IT WAS GOING TO BE WALGREENS'S RESPONSIBILITY TO PAY A PHLEBOTOMIST.

SO ONE OF THE REASONS WHY WALGREENS THOUGHT THAT GETTING
THE FINGERSTICK PERCENTAGE HIGHER AND THE VENOUS DRAW
PERCENTAGE DOWN, WAS SO THAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO PAY LESS
PHLEBOTOMISTS. THAT WOULD BE A COST THAT THEY COULD ELIMINATE.

SO THIS NEW ARRANGEMENT THAT THEY'RE DISCUSSING AND YOU HEARD FROM MR. JHAVERI ABOUT A NEW BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP AND THEY'RE NEGOTIATING A NEW CONTRACT, THAT WAS GOING TO MEAN THAT THERANOS WOULD HAVE TO PAY FOR THE PHLEBOTOMISTS.

AND YOU CAN SEE, AND WE'LL GO THROUGH THESE IN A MINUTE,

WHEN THE PARTNERSHIP MEETING MINUTES CONTINUED, THE FINGERSTICK 1 01:53PM ISSUE WAS COMPLETELY DROPPED ONCE THE COST OF PHLEBOTOMISTS IS 2 01:53PM NO LONGER ON WALGREENS. 3 01:53PM AND THAT'S WHAT THIS IS. SOME OF THE OPERATIONAL EXPENSES 4 01:53PM MAY GO DOWN BECAUSE WALGREENS IS NOT GOING TO BE PAYING FOR 01:53PM 5 PHLEBOTOMISTS WITH THIS NEW BUSINESS MODEL. 6 01:53PM AND THEN HE GOES ON. HE SAYS WITH THERANOS TAKING ON 01:53PM BUILD OUT CAPITAL COSTS AND STAFFING PHLEBOTOMISTS WITH 8 01:53PM 9 WALGREENS BEING LIABLE FOR CHECK IN LABOR, OUR GOAL WOULD BE TO 01:53PM RECEIVE 3 TIMES RENT AND MINIMUM \$8 PER PATIENT WHICH WOULD 10 01:53PM TRANSLATE TO AN EBIT OF \$125 MILLION PER YEAR, BUT THIS IS THE 01:53PM 11 12 KEY PART, AT SCALE. SO AT THIS POINT -- THIS IS MARCH OF 2015, 01:53PM 13 WALGREENS IS SAYING THE SCALE WOULD BE 2,501 LOCATIONS AND 22.5 01:54PM 14 PATIENTS PER DAY. 01:54PM SO WALGREENS IS STILL INTERNALLY TALKING ABOUT WHAT THIS 15 01:54PM WOULD LOOK LIKE AT SCALE, WHICH IS THAT NUMBER OF 2500, IT 16 01:54PM 17 COULD HAVE BEEN A LITTLE MORE THAN THAT, LOCATIONS. 01:54PM 18 SO EVEN AT THIS LATER DATE, WELL AFTER THE INVESTORS FROM 01:54PM 01:54PM 19 THERANOS CAME IN, THEY'RE STILL TALKING ABOUT SCALING TO THAT 20 LEVEL INTERNALLY AT WALGREENS. 01:54PM 21 LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 01:54PM 22 THE COURT: CAN YOU FINISH ON THIS SLIDE OUICKLY OR 01:54PM SHOULD WE TAKE A BREAK? 23 01:54PM 24 MR. COOPERSMITH: I'M HAPPY TO TAKE THE BREAK NOW, 01:54PM 25 YOUR HONOR. 01:54PM

THE COURT: LET'S DO THAT. THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE 1 01:54PM THAT, MR. COOPERSMITH. 2 01:54PM LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, LET'S TAKE OUR AFTERNOON BREAK NOW. 3 01:54PM 01:54PM 4 SHOULD THAT BE ABOUT 20, 25 MINUTES. LET'S DO THAT. THANK 01:54PM YOU. (JURY OUT AT 1:55 P.M.) 01:55PM THE COURT: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED. WE'LL 01:55PM TAKE ABOUT 20, 25 MINUTES RIGHT NOW. 8 01:55PM (RECESS FROM 1:55 P.M. UNTIL 2:25 P.M.) 9 01:55PM THE COURT: THANK YOU. WE'RE BACK ON THE RECORD. 10 02:25PM 11 OUR JURY IS PRESENT. ALL COUNSEL AND MR. BALWANI ARE PRESENT. 02:25PM 02:25PM 12 BEFORE, MR. COOPERSMITH, I INVITE YOU TO CONTINUE, I JUST 02:25PM 13 WANT TO GO OVER SCHEDULING WITH THE JURY FOR JUST A MOMENT. 14 LET ME -- MY FIRST OUESTION IS ARE ANY OF YOU AVAILABLE TO 02:25PM GO UNTIL 5:00, OR JUST A LITTLE BIT BEFORE 5:00 THIS AFTERNOON? 15 02:26PM 16 I SHOULD ASK THAT QUESTION. WOULD THAT CAUSE AN INCONVENIENCE 02:26PM 17 FOR ANYONE? 02:26PM 18 JUROR NUMBER 3, YOU THINK THAT WILL CAUSE AN INCONVENIENCE 02:26PM 02:26PM 19 FOR YOU? THAT'S FINE. THANK YOU. I JUST NEEDED TO KNOW THAT. 20 TOMORROW I BELIEVE WE'RE SCHEDULED TO START AT NOON, AND 02:26PM 2.1 WE'LL GO UNTIL -- I THINK WE'RE SCHEDULED UNTIL 4:30 TOMORROW. 02:26PM 22 CAN I PUSH THAT CLOSER TO 5:00? I WON'T KEEP YOU UNTIL 02:26PM 23 5:00, BUT I MIGHT -- EVEN AN EXTRA 10 OR 15 MINUTES MIGHT BE 02:26PM 24 HELPFUL IF THAT WOULD WORK. IF YOU COULD PLAN YOUR SCHEDULES 02:26PM 25 THAT WAY. 02:26PM

AND THEN FRIDAY, THIS FRIDAY I DON'T KNOW IF WE'LL BE 1 02:26PM FINISHED WITH OUR ARGUMENTS AND INSTRUCTIONS, BUT IF NEED BE, 2 02:26PM CAN FOLKS GO AGAIN UNTIL 4:30, CLOSE TO 5:00 ON FRIDAY? IF YOU 3 02:26PM 4 COULD MAYBE WORK ON YOUR SCHEDULES THIS AFTERNOON, AND I'LL 02:27PM CHECK WITH YOU TOMORROW AS TO THAT. 02:27PM OKAY. GREAT. 02:27PM THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. 02:27PM MR. COOPERSMITH, WOULD YOU LIKE TO CONTINUE? 8 02:27PM 9 MR. COOPERSMITH: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 02:27PM OKAY. WELCOME BACK EVERYONE AGAIN. 10 02:27PM WHEN WE LEFT OFF, I WAS TALKING ABOUT THE WALGREENS 02:27PM 11 12 PARTNERSHIP WITH THERANOS. 02:27PM 13 AND AS YOU SAW, THIS WAS EXTREMELY IMPORTANT FOR INVESTORS 02:27PM 14 IN THERANOS BECAUSE THIS WAS REALLY THE TICKET TO BASICALLY A 02:27PM UNICORN SITUATION WHERE THERANOS WAS GOING TO BE AT THAT LEVEL 15 02:27PM 16 OF COMPANY. 02:27PM 17 SO WE'VE BEEN GOING THROUGH THESE PARTNERSHIP MEETING 02:27PM 18 MINUTES AND OTHER EMAILS, AND I JUST WANT TO CONTINUE WITH THAT 02:27PM 19 FOR JUST A FEW MINUTES. 02:27PM BASICALLY, AS I WAS SAYING, EVEN LATE INTO THE YEAR IN 02:28PM 20 21 2014, WELL AFTER THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMS THAT THIS PARTNERSHIP 02:28PM WAS GOING DOWNHILL OR SOUTH, THEY WERE STILL VERY MUCH TALKING 22 02:28PM ABOUT A MAJOR EXPANSION. AND WE SAW THAT IN THE AUGUST 2014 23 02:28PM PARTNERSHIP MEETING MINUTES IN PARTICULAR WHERE WALGREENS WAS 24 02:28PM 25 TALKING ABOUT A MAJOR EXPANSION. 02:28PM

1 02:28PM 2 02:28PM 3 02:28PM 4 02:28PM 02:28PM 02:28PM 02:28PM 8 02:28PM 9 02:28PM 10 02:29PM 02:29PM 11 12 02:29PM 13 02:29PM 14 02:29PM 15 02:29PM 16 02:29PM 17 02:29PM 18 02:29PM 02:29PM 19 02:29PM 20 21 02:29PM 22 02:29PM 23 02:29PM 24 02:29PM 25 02:29PM

MR. JHAVERI TALKED ABOUT TOUCHING 2,000 STORES.
WE'RE NOW AT THIS EMAIL AT THE END OF THE YEAR.

AS I SAID, THERE WAS A NEW BUSINESS RELATIONSHIP BEING NEGOTIATED, AND THAT HAD HAPPENED FROM TIME TO TIME WITH WALGREENS AND THERANOS. THERE WAS THE ORIGINAL AGREEMENT IN 2010, THERE WAS THE AMENDMENT IN 2012, THERE WAS THE ADDITIONAL AMENDMENT AT THE END OF '13 WHEN WALGREENS COMMITTED TO THE \$75 MILLION AND THE NATIONAL ROLLOUT, AND THEN THERE WAS A NEW DISCUSSION ABOUT A DIFFERENT MODEL WHERE THERANOS WOULD CAPTURE MORE OF THE FEE AND PAY FOR SOME OF THE PHLEBOTOMISTS AND IT WOULD REDUCE WALGREENS'S COST.

SO THIS EMAIL WE'RE NOW LOOKING AT ON THE SCREEN IS FROM DECEMBER 15TH, 2014. AND IT'S MR. FLUEGEL, WHO AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THE EMAIL IS SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT, CHIEF STRATEGY AND BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT OFFICER AT WALGREENS. AND HE'S REPORTING ABOUT A MEETING THAT OCCURRED.

AND HE SAYS, "THANKS AGAIN FOR HOSTING US LAST WEEK," AND HE'S WRITING TO MR. BALWANI. "WE FOUND IT VERY PRODUCTIVE AND IT WAS GREAT TO SPEND TIME WITH YOU BOTH," ELIZABETH AND SUNNY.

"AS ALEX MENTIONED AT THE CLOSE OF THE MEETING, WE WANTED TO CHECK IN WITH GREG AND STEFANO ON THE REVISED ROLLOUT APPROACH WE LAID OUT THE MEETING. THAT HAPPENED THIS WEEKEND AND THEY BOTH ARE IN AGREEMENT WITH THE APPROACH WE DISCUSSED LAST WEEK."

SO AS YOU CAN SEE FROM THE NEXT SLIDE, MR. JHAVERI'S

TESTIMONY, THIS WAS AT AS HIGH A LEVEL AT WALGREENS AS YOU 1 02:29PM COULD POSSIBLY GET. 2 02:30PM STEFANO WAS THE NEW INCOMING CEO FROM THE BOOTS ALLIANCE 3 02:30PM 4 SIDE, AND GREG WASSON WAS THE OUTGOING CEO. SO AT THE VERY 02:30PM HIGHEST LEVELS OF WALGREENS THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT BOTH BEING 02:30PM IN AGREEMENT WITH THE APPROACH AND MOVING FORWARD ON THE 02:30PM PARTNERSHIP WITH THIS NEW BUSINESS MODEL WHERE THERANOS WOULD 02:30PM TAKE SOME OF THE COSTS OVER FROM WALGREENS. 8 02:30PM 9 LATER, IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, THERE ARE SOME MORE 02:30PM 10 TEXT MESSAGES. 02:30PM SO BRAD WASSON TEXTED. AND THIS IS MR. BALWANI PASTING 02:30PM 11 12 THAT INTO THE TEXT TO MS. HOLMES. IT SAYS, "HOPE CONVERSATION 02:30PM 13 GOES WELL TOMORROW BETWEEN NIM AND I BELIEVE IN THE VISION WE 02:30PM 14 HAVE TOGETHER, BELIEVE IN YOU AND ELIZABETH, SIGNED 02:30PM 15 BRAD WASSON, ANOTHER EXECUTIVE AT WALGREENS AND THE BROTHER OF 02:30PM 16 GREG WASSON. 02:30PM AND THEN MR. BALWANI SAYS, "GOING THRU CVS CONTRACT. WE 17 02:31PM 18 CAN'T WORK WERE WAG OR CVS. BOTH ARE SAME." 02:31PM 19 SO DO YOU REMEMBER THESE ARE THE TIMES THAT THE NEW 02:31PM CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS WITH WALGREENS ARE GOING ON. 02:31PM 20 21 "AND SAFEWAY SAYS." 02:31PM 22 "WE NEED TO THINK THRU OUR DISCUSSION ON THIS TOPIC." 02:31PM AND THEN MEANING TOMORROW'S? 23 02:31PM AND THEN MR. BALWANI SAYS, "NO. OUR OWN STORES." 24 02:31PM SO, AGAIN, THIS IS LIKE THE ANALOGY I GAVE YOU BEFORE THE 25 02:31PM

BREAK, OKAY? I'M HAVING A HARD NIGHT AS A CHEF IN THE KITCHEN 1 02:31PM AND I'M THROWING DOWN MY APRON, I'M OUT OF HERE, AND I'M 2 02:31PM OPENING UP MY OWN RESTAURANT. 3 02:31PM 4 AND THEN IN THE LIGHT OF DAY, THE NEXT DAY, I'M GOING BACK 02:31PM TO WORK TO MY VERY GOOD JOB AS A CHEF. 02:31PM THIS IS FRUSTRATIONS THAT ALWAYS OCCUR WITH NEGOTIATIONS 02:31PM AND NEW CONTRACTS, BUT YOU CAN SEE FROM THE TEXT FROM 02:31PM BRAD WASSON, WALGREENS IS STILL VERY SUPPORTIVE. 8 02:31PM 9 AND WE KNOW THAT'S THE CASE BECAUSE IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT 02:31PM SLIDE, THESE PARTNERSHIP MEETING MINUTES, AND IF YOU LOOK AT 10 02:31PM THE EXHIBITS, THEY'RE NUMBERED IN THE BOTTOM LEFT, THE 02:32PM 11 12 PARTNERSHIP MEETINGS CONTINUE. IN FACT, THEY CONTINUE ALL OF 02:32PM 13 THE WAY TO OCTOBER 15TH, 2015, WHEN THE NEGATIVE 02:32PM 14 "WALL STREET JOURNAL" ARTICLE COMES OUT. 02:32PM SO ALL THROUGH 2015 AND WELL AFTER THE INVESTMENT MONEY 15 02:32PM COMES INTO THERANOS, THERE ARE STILL ACTIVE DISCUSSIONS ABOUT 16 02:32PM 17 EXPANDING THE PARTNERSHIP. 02:32PM ONE QUICK WORD ON THE NEXT SLIDE ABOUT RIGHT AFTER THAT 02:32PM 18 02:32PM 19 "WALL STREET JOURNAL" ARTICLE, YOU CAN SEE THERE'S A QUESTION ABOUT HAVING THREE TO FOUR WEEKS BEFORE, IF YOU LOOK AT THAT 02:32PM 20 21 TEXT MESSAGE AT 7:35 P.M., THREE TO FOUR WEEKS, SO THEY HAD 02:32PM STOPPED USING THE CTN. 22 02:32PM DO YOU REMEMBER THIS IS THE TIME OF THE CMS INSPECTION, 23 02:32PM 24 AND THAT THEY HADN'T TOLD WALGREENS YET. 02:32PM 25 AND ELIZABETH IS TALKING ABOUT THAT ISSUE. AND 02:32PM

MR. BALWANI SAYS AT THIS POINT THEY KNOW. SO NEED TO BE 1 02:33PM 2 TRANSPARENT. 02:33PM SO MR. BALWANI IS ENCOURAGING TRANSPARENCY WITH WALGREENS, 3 02:33PM 4 NOT THE OTHER WAY AROUND. IT'S MR. BALWANI WHO SAYS THAT. 02:33PM AND YOU CAN SEE AT 7:42 MS. HOLMES SAYS, "I'M TRYING TO 02:33PM REMEMBER WHAT OUR THINKING WAS ON THAT." 02:33PM AND MR. BALWANI SAYS, "NONE. WE JUST DIDN'T TELL THEM 02:33PM THINKING UNDER OUR NEW MODEL THIS DOESN'T MATTER." 8 02:33PM REMEMBER, AS I SAID BEFORE, THAT WITH THE NEW BUSINESS 9 02:33PM 10 MODEL, THE WHOLE FINGERSTICK PERCENTAGE ISSUE WENT OUT THE 02:33PM WINDOW. AND IT WAS NO LONGER -- AND IF YOU LOOK AT THOSE 02:33PM 11 12 EXHIBITS WITH THOSE PARTNERSHIP MEETING MINUTES, IT'S NO LONGER 02:33PM 13 DISCUSSED BECAUSE WALGREENS -- I'M SORRY, THERANOS WAS TAKING 02:33PM 14 OVER THAT COST. SO THAT WHETHER THEY DID CTN'S, FINGERSTICK, 02:33PM OR NOT, THEY NO LONGER MATTERED, AND SO THEY DIDN'T TELL THEM 15 02:33PM 16 FOR THREE OR FOUR WEEKS, NOT THAT LONG A PERIOD OF TIME, BUT 02:33PM 17 MR. BALWANI IS URGING TRANSPARENCY THERE. THAT'S IN THE TEXT 02:33PM 18 MESSAGES WITH MS. HOLMES. 02:33PM 19 IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 02:34PM THIS IS IN MARCH OF 2015. SO THIS IS WHEN THE GOVERNMENT 02:34PM 20 21 IS CLAIMING AT THIS POINT THE PARTNERSHIP MUST BE LONG DEAD. 02:34PM BUT HERE'S WHAT MR. KOZLOWSKI FROM WALGREENS SAYS. 22 02:34PM SAYS, "THANKS FOR YOUR TIME YESTERDAY. ALTHOUGH THERE'S STILL 23 02:34PM A LOT TO DO, I THINK WE MADE SOME GREAT PROGRESS." 24 02:34PM AND THEN HE SAYS HE WANTS TO PUT TOGETHER AN 18-MONTH 25 02:34PM

EXECUTION PLAN AND 3-YEAR LONG RANGE PLAN. THAT'S ASSIGNED TO 1 02:34PM 2 SUNNY. 02:34PM IDENTIFY ALL OF THE METROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS AND 3 02:34PM 4 INDEX VERSUS CHICAGO. 02:34PM DEFINE I.T. REQUIREMENTS FOR SCALE. THAT'S SOMETHING THAT 02:34PM CASEY IS GOING TO TAKE ON. 02:34PM AND MR. JHAVERI FORWARDS THAT EMAIL TO MR. BALWANI ON 02:34PM MARCH 11TH, 2015. 8 02:34PM 9 SO THIS IS NOT, OKAY, WE'RE DONE WITH THIS, THE PILOT 02:34PM DIDN'T WORK OUT, THE FINGERSTICK PERCENTAGE ISN'T GOOD ENOUGH. 10 02:34PM THERE'S STILL, EVEN IN MARCH OF 2015, STILL TALKING ABOUT SCALE 02:34PM 11 02:35PM 12 AND LONG-RANGE PLANS IN IDENTIFYING ALL OF THE METROPOLITAN 13 STATISTICAL AREAS. AND AGAIN, THE DOCUMENTS THAT THE DEFENSE 02:35PM 14 HAD TO SHOW YOU, THE GOVERNMENT DID NOT. 02:35PM MR. JHAVERI, IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT DOCUMENT, IN JUNE OF 15 02:35PM 2015. SO THIS IS VERY LATE IN THE GAME. MR. JHAVERI IS 16 02:35PM 17 SAYING, "THANK YOU SUNNY. WE ARE VERY EXCITED TO MOVE OUR 02:35PM 18 RELATIONSHIP TO THE NEXT LEVEL. I HAVE NO DOUBT THAT WE WILL 02:35PM 02:35PM 19 DRIVE SUCCESS FOR BOTH OF OUR ORGANIZATIONS AND MORE IMPORTANTLY, OUR PATIENTS." 02:35PM 20 21 SO MR. JHAVERI AT THAT TIME IS A CHEERLEADER FOR THERANOS, 02:35PM 22 EVEN IF HE DOESN'T WANT TO BE ON THE WITNESS STAND NOW. 02:35PM THE NEXT THING, YOU MIGHT REMEMBER THIS FROM TRIAL, BUT 23 02:35PM 24 THIS DIDN'T COME INTO EVIDENCE, BUT THERE WAS A SPREADSHEET 02:35PM WITH, AS YOU CAN SEE ON THE LEFT THERE, INFORMATION ABOUT EVERY 25 02:35PM

1 02:35PM 2 02:35PM 3 02:36PM 4 02:36PM 02:36PM 02:36PM 02:36PM 8 02:36PM 9 02:36PM 10 02:36PM 02:36PM 11 12 02:36PM 13 02:36PM 14 02:36PM 15 02:36PM 16 02:36PM 17 02:36PM 18 02:36PM 19 02:36PM 02:37PM 20 21 02:37PM 22 02:37PM 23 02:37PM 24 02:37PM 25 02:37PM

WALGREENS STORE IN THE DATABASE. EXTREMELY CONFIDENTIAL

INFORMATION, SAYS MR. JHAVERI. AND THAT THIS DATA WAS SHARED

WITH THERANOS PURSUANT TO THE NONDISCLOSURE AGREEMENT THAT THEY

HAD.

SO IF THIS PARTNERSHIP WAS DYING OR DEAD AS THE GOVERNMENT WANTS TO MAKE YOU THINK, WOULD WALGREENS BE SHARING ITS SUPER SECRET CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION ABOUT ALL OF ITS STORES WITH THERANOS? THAT DOESN'T MAKE ANY SENSE.

BUT THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED. THE PARTNERSHIP WAS GOING
STRONG. THEY'RE EXPANDING. MR. BALWANI HAD EVERY REASON TO
BELIEVE THAT THEY WERE EXPANDING. AND THE REASON WHY THAT IS
REALLY IMPORTANT IS BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT IS SAYING THAT WHEN
MR. BALWANI INTERACTED WITH INVESTORS LIKE LISA PETERSON FROM
IT RDV AND THE REST OF THE DEVOS FAMILY DECISION MAKERS, THAT
MR. BALWANI KNEW AT THAT TIME THAT THIS PARTNERSHIP WAS DEAD.

SO WHEN HE SAID, LOOK, THERE COULD BE 900 STORES IF THE EXECUTION IS GOING RIGHT, THEY'RE SAYING THAT'S FRAUD BECAUSE MR. BALWANI KNEW 900 STORES WAS NO POSSIBILITY BECAUSE WALGREENS WAS TELLING HIM, WELL, AT MOST IT'S GOING TO BE 200 STORES. THAT IS NOT TRUE.

EVERYTHING -- ALL OF THE EVIDENCE, THE DOCUMENTARY

EVIDENCE SHOWS THAT, IN FACT, MR. BALWANI HAD EVERY REASON TO

BELIEVE, AND, IN FACT, IT WAS THE CASE THAT WALGREENS WANTED TO

EXPAND THIS PARTNERSHIP TO THOUSANDS OF STORES IN AUGUST OF

2014 AND EVEN LATER AFTER THAT.

SO WHEN MR. BALWANI WENT TO HIS INVESTOR INTERACTIONS, 1 02:37PM THAT'S WHAT HE REASONABLY BELIEVED. SO THOSE STATEMENTS AND 2 02:37PM REPRESENTATIONS ABOUT WHAT WAS POSSIBLE, THE PROJECTIONS ON HOW 3 02:37PM 4 MANY STORES, THOSE WERE TRUE. THOSE WERE GOOD FAITH 02:37PM PROJECTIONS. AS JUDGE DAVILA WILL TELL YOU, GOOD FAITH IS PART 02:37PM 5 OF WHAT YOU HAVE TO CONSIDER HERE, AND THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED 02:37PM WITH MR. BALWANI. 02:37PM I WANT TO SWITCH TO A DIFFERENT SUBJECT NOW. THIS IS THE 8 02:37PM TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS THAT YOU HAVE HEARD SOME THINGS 9 02:37PM ABOUT. SOMETIMES THEY'RE REFERRED TO AS DEMOS. 10 02:37PM THIS IS BRINGING PEOPLE IN WHO HAVE AN INTEREST, WHICH 02:37PM 11 02:37PM 12 THEY'RE BUSINESS PARTNERS LIKE WALGREENS OR INVESTORS, AND 13 SHOWING THEM A LITTLE BIT ABOUT WHAT THE TECHNOLOGY IS. 02:38PM 14 SO LET'S GO THROUGH THAT SUBJECT. 02:38PM SO, FIRST OF ALL, MR. EDLIN KNEW A LOT ABOUT THE SUBJECT 15 02:38PM BECAUSE HE WAS INVOLVED IN SETTING THESE THINGS UP. 16 02:38PM 17 QUESTION: SOMETIMES DEMOS WERE JUST SO PEOPLE COULD SEE 02:38PM 18 THE SOFTWARE AND THE TECHNOLOGY? 02:38PM 02:38PM 19 CORRECT. 20 THEY DIDN'T WANT TO GET THEIR BLOOD DRAWN? 02:38PM 21 RIGHT. 02:38PM 22 SO THEY WERE EXAMPLES, AND THEY JUST WANTED TO SEE WHAT 02:38PM THE SOFTWARE AND THE TECHNOLOGY LOOKED LIKE. 23 02:38PM 24 AND MR. EDLIN CONTINUED, THE WALGREENS DEMO IN AUGUST OF 02:38PM '13, WE'RE GOING TO TALK MORE ABOUT THAT, THAT INVOLVED NEXT 25 02:38PM

GENERATION DEVICES. 1 02:38PM YES, MEANING THE 4S AND THE MINILAB WERE NEXT GENERATION 2 02:38PM 3 DEVICES? 02:38PM 4 SO THEY'RE SHOWING WALGREENS NOT JUST WHAT WE'RE CURRENTLY 02:38PM USING IN THE CLIA LAB BUT ALSO WHAT THE FUTURE OF THE COMPANY 02:38PM IS, INCLUDING THE 4S DEVICE. 02:38PM AND THERE'S NOTHING, NOTHING AT ALL THAT LIMITS THERANOS 02:38PM WHEN THEY'RE TALKING TO INVESTORS OR TALKING TO WALGREENS THAT 8 02:39PM THEY SOMEHOW HAVE TO LIMIT WHAT THEY'RE SHOWING PEOPLE. IT'S 9 02:39PM JUST WHAT WE'RE OPERATING IN THE CLIA LAB AT THIS PARTICULAR 10 02:39PM 02:39PM 11 MOMENT. THEY'RE PERFECTLY ENTITLED TO SAY, WELL, THIS IS WHAT 12 WE HAVE IN NEXT GENERATION DEVICES, THIS IS WHAT WE'RE 02:39PM 13 PLANNING, THIS IS WHAT WE'RE GOING TO DO, THIS IS A DEVICE THAT 02:39PM 14 CAN RUN ALL OF THE DIFFERENT CATEGORIES OF BLOOD TESTING. 02:39PM SO IT DOESN'T MATTER IF IT'S ACTUALLY OPERATING IN THE 15 02:39PM 16 CLIA LAB IN TERMS OF SHOWING PEOPLE, BECAUSE INVESTORS ARE 02:39PM 17 INVESTING FOR THE LONG TERM. WE HAVE HEARD FROM A NUMBER OF 02:39PM INVESTORS, INCLUDING LISA PETERSON, THAT THIS WAS A LONG TERM 02:39PM 18 19 PARTNERSHIP THAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT. 02:39PM SO OF COURSE IT WAS INTERESTING TO INVESTORS WHAT THE 02:39PM 20 21 COMPANY WOULD BE DOING. AFTER ALL, THE 4S WAS A DEVICE THAT 02:39PM THE COMPANY GOT ITS FDA APPROVAL FOR. SO THIS WAS THE FUTURE 22 02:39PM OF THE COMPANY. 23 02:39PM LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 24 02:39PM SO IN AUGUST OF 2013, MR. JHAVERI AND OTHER WALGREENS 25 02:39PM

EXECUTIVES CAME TO THERANOS AND THEY HAD A MEETING AND A TOUR, 1 02:40PM BUT THEY ALSO HAD THEIR BLOOD TESTING DONE. 2 02:40PM THE GOVERNMENT HAS TALKED ABOUT THAT DEMO, AND I WANT TO 3 02:40PM 4 SPEND A LITTLE TIME ON THAT. 02:40PM SO, FIRST OF ALL, THE GOVERNMENT SHOWED YOU THIS EMAIL 02:40PM ABOUT STARTING THE ADVIA RUN ON ALL SAMPLES. 02:40PM AND THEY'RE TREATING THIS LIKE, WELL, MR. JHAVERI AND HIS 02:40PM COLLEAGUES, YOU KNOW, CAME THERE TO GET A THERANOS BLOOD TEST 8 02:40PM 9 AND, IN FACT, THEIR SAMPLES ARE RUN ON AN ADVIA MACHINE, SO 02:40PM THIS IS SOME KIND OF BAIT AND SWITCH WHERE THEY'RE ACTUALLY, 10 02:40PM YOU KNOW, RUNNING ON THEIR COMMERCIAL DEVICE. 02:40PM 11 12 WHAT THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T TELL YOU OR SHOW YOU IS THAT 02:40PM 13 ACTUALLY THE FINGERSTICK COLLECTION IS WHAT HAPPENED. SO IT 02:40PM 14 WASN'T A VENOUS DRAW, IT WAS A COLLECTION FROM THE FINGER. 02:40PM SO YOU CAN'T RUN THE FINGERSTICK AS WE ALL KNOW NOW, WE 15 02:40PM 16 CAN'T RUN THAT FINGERSTICK ON A COMMERCIAL DEVICE THAT IS NOT 02:40PM 17 MODIFIED. 02:40PM 18 SO FAR THIS HAS TO BE A MODIFIED ADVIA. IT CAN'T BE A 02:40PM 02:41PM 19 STANDARD COMMERCIAL ADVIA. AND THAT WAS THE SUBJECT OF 20 THERANOS PATENT I SHOWED YOU EARLIER AND ALL OF THE 02:41PM 21 MODIFICATIONS THE SCIENTIFIC TEAM MADE TO ACHIEVE HIGH 02:41PM 22 THROUGHPUT PERFORMANCE RUNNING A LOT OF BLOOD SAMPLES BUT ALSO 02:41PM JUST TO KEEP THE FINGERSTICK. 23 02:41PM 24 SO THIS WAS WHAT MR. EDLIN REPORTED ABOUT COLLECTING 02:41PM 25 FINGERSTICK SAMPLES. AND MR. JHAVERI CONFIRMED THAT. HE SAID 02:41PM

1 02:41PM 2 02:41PM 3 02:41PM 4 02:41PM 02:41PM 02:41PM 02:41PM 8 02:41PM 9 02:42PM 10 02:42PM 02:42PM 11 12 02:42PM 13 02:42PM 14 02:42PM 15 02:42PM 16 02:42PM 17 02:42PM 18 02:42PM 02:42PM 19 02:42PM 20 21 02:42PM 22 02:42PM 23 02:42PM 24 02:42PM 25 02:42PM

WHEN HE WENT THERE TO THERANOS IN MID-AUGUST OF 2013, HE HAD A FINGERSTICK SAMPLE, NOT A VENOUS DRAW.

AND YOU CAN SEE IN MR. JHAVERI'S TESTIMONY, HE HAD A FINGERSTICK, AND HE ALSO IS AWARE THAT AN OFF-THE-SHELF ADVIA MACHINE IS NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO FINGERSTICK TESTING.

MR. JHAVERI ALSO UNDERSTOOD FROM THIS DEMO IN AUGUST THAT
THE MACHINES IN THE ROOM -- AND JUST TO STEP BACK FOR A MINUTE.
THE GOVERNMENT HAS SAID, WELL, THERE ARE MACHINES IN THE ROOM
LIKE A 4S OR AN EDISON. AND SOMEHOW THE GOVERNMENT IS TRYING
TO CLAIM, WELL, THAT'S PART OF A FRAUDULENT SCHEME BECAUSE WHY
WOULD THERE BE MACHINES IN THE ROOM IF THEY'RE NOT ACTUALLY
USING THOSE PARTICULAR MACHINES FOR THE BLOOD TEST?

MR. JHAVERI KNEW THEY WEREN'T OPERATIONAL. IT WAS SIMPLY
TO SHOW US WHAT THOSE MACHINES WOULD LOOK LIKE, BOTH THE
CURRENT AND THE FUTURE OF THE COMPANY.

SO THIS WASN'T ANYTHING OTHER THAN, WELL, LET'S SHOW OUR BUSINESS PARTNER EVERYTHING WE'RE TRYING TO DO HERE, AND AT THAT TIME THEY WERE RUNNING TESTS ON MODIFIED ADVIA'S, SO IF THEY TEST WALGREENS THAT WAY, THAT'S CONSISTENT WITH WHAT THEY WERE DOING.

LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.

SO MR. JHAVERI GOT LAB TEST RESULTS. AND YOU MIGHT
REMEMBER DURING HIS TESTIMONY, HE TESTIFIED THAT ALL OF THOSE
PATIENT RESULTS FIELDS THAT YOU ARE SEEING ON THE SCREEN WERE
ALL FILLED IN. AND THE COPY HE WAS LOOKING AT, WE REDACTED

THOSE PATIENT RESULTS JUST TO PROTECT MR. JHAVERI'S PRIVACY. 1 02:42PM JUST LIKE ANY OTHER PERSON, HE'S ENTITLED TO NOT AIR HIS 2 02:43PM 3 PRIVATE HEALTH INFORMATION IN PUBLIC, SO AS A COURTESY THOSE 02:43PM 4 WERE REDACTED. 02:43PM BUT HE TESTIFIED THAT EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THOSE RESULTS 02:43PM 5 WERE FILLED IN ON THIS FORM. 02:43PM AND HE GOT THOSE RESULTS. 02:43PM NOW, THERE WAS AN EMAIL SHOWN THAT DR. YOUNG WAS 8 02:43PM 9 CORRECTING SOME OF THOSE RESULTS. WHAT THAT EMAIL ACTUALLY 02:43PM SAID WAS THAT HE CORRECTED RESULTS BECAUSE OF WHAT HE VIEWED AS 10 02:43PM A BLOOD COLLECTION DEVICE, A BCD ISSUE. SO DR. YOUNG WAS THE 02:43PM 11 12 SCIENTIST. IF HE THOUGHT THERE WAS A COLLECTION ISSUE WITH ONE 02:43PM 13 OF THE TESTS AND IT HAS TO DO WITH ONE OF THE THYROID TESTS, 02:43PM 14 DR. YOUNG WAS THE ONE ENTITLED TO DO THAT. IT WASN'T 02:43PM MR. BALWANI WHO WAS MAKING CORRECTIONS FOR THE RESULTS. 15 02:43PM DR. YOUNG APPARENTLY PERCEIVED A BCD ISSUE AND HE 16 02:43PM 17 CORRECTED THE RESULT. 02:43PM 18 BUT HERE'S WHAT IS IMPORTANT. MR. JHAVERI GOT THESE 02:43PM 02:43PM 19 RESULTS, RIGHT? AND WHAT HAPPENED? 20 WELL, IT HAPPENED TO BE -- IT JUST SO HAPPENS THAT 02:44PM 21 MR. JHAVERI IS MARRIED TO A NURSE PRACTITIONER. HER NAME WAS 02:44PM SAGE AND IT IS SAGE. AND THEY LOOKED AT THE RESULTS TOGETHER 22 02:44PM WHEN HE GOT THE FINGERSTICK RESULTS FROM THE THERANOS MODIFIED 23 02:44PM 24 PREDICATES THAT THE GOVERNMENT SAYS ARE SO BAD. 02:44PM THE RESULTS THAT THERANOS REPORTED WERE IDENTICAL TO THE 25 02:44PM

LAB TESTS THAT YOU HAD AT THE OTHER LAB. 1 02:44PM MR. JHAVERI AGREED, YES, THEY WERE. 2 02:44PM EVERY SINGLE ONE? 3 02:44PM 02:44PM 4 HE SAYS YES. AND THEN I ASKED HIM THE QUESTION, TO YOUR KNOWLEDGE, DID 02:44PM THERANOS HAVE ANY INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT THE RESULTS WERE FROM 02:44PM THE OTHER LAB? IN OTHER WORDS, WAS THERE ANY CHANCE THAT 02:44PM THERANOS COULD MANIPULATE THINGS SO THAT THEY CHANGED RESULTS 8 02:44PM OR DID SOMETHING TO MAKE IT APPEAR THAT THE RESULTS WERE 9 02:44PM IDENTICAL WHEN THEY REALLY WEREN'T? 10 02:44PM AND MR. JHAVERI SAID, NO, HE DOESN'T BELIEVE SO. 02:44PM 11 12 SO THERANOS DIDN'T KNOW WHAT THE OTHER LAB RESULTS WERE. 02:44PM 13 THERANOS DID THE TEST, THE FINGERSTICK TEST, AND THEY REPORTED 02:44PM 14 THE RESULTS TO MR. JHAVERI, HE REVIEWED THEM WITH HIS LIFE WHO 02:45PM WAS A NURSE PRACTITIONER, AND THEY WERE IDENTICAL. 15 02:45PM SO YET ANOTHER INDICATION THAT WHEN THE GOVERNMENT SAYS 16 02:45PM 17 THE TEST DOESN'T WORK, WELL, THEY WORKED FOR MR. JHAVERI JUST 02:45PM 18 FINE, THESE FINGERSTICK TESTS. 02:45PM 02:45PM 19 THIS NEXT SLIDE IS ABOUT THE ISSUE THAT I WAS JUST 20 DISCUSSING WITH YOU, MR. EDLIN. 02:45PM 21 SO DR. YOUNG REVIEWED THE RESULTS, AND HE PARTICIPATED IN 02:45PM 22 THE DEMO PROCESS, AND HE ULTIMATELY REVIEWED AND APPROVED TEST 02:45PM 23 RESULTS? 02:45PM 24 MR. EDLIN SAID YES. 02:45PM GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 25 02:45PM

OKAY. SO MR. EDLIN SAID -- QUESTION: THE GOAL OF THOSE 1 02:45PM DEMONSTRATIONS WAS TO PRESENT AN HONEST PICTURE OF WHAT WAS 2 02:45PM GOING ON? 3 02:45PM 4 MR. EDLIN SAID YES. 02:45PM AND THE QUESTION PUT TO MR. EDLIN WAS, DID HE BELIEVE THAT 02:45PM 5 DANIEL YOUNG, IN DOING WHAT HE WAS DOING, WAS BEING DISHONEST 02:45PM IN ANALYZING AND MAKING DECISIONS ABOUT THOSE TEST RESULTS, 02:46PM INCLUDING THAT CORRECTION OF THE RESULTS FOR THE THYROID, 8 02:46PM 9 PARTICULAR THYROID TEST FOR MR. JHAVERI AND HIS COLLEAGUES? 02:46PM AND MR. EDLIN SAID NO. 10 02:46PM SO, AGAIN, IN REAL TIME, THIS IS WHAT MR. EDLIN, WHO WAS 02:46PM 11 12 DEEPLY INVOLVED WITH THESE DEMOS, THAT'S WHAT HE WAS 02:46PM 02:46PM 13 PERCEIVING. HE DIDN'T THINK HE WAS PART OF SOME SCHEME. 14 THOUGHT THEY WERE DEMOING THE TECHNOLOGY, WHAT IT WOULD BE, 02:46PM WHAT IT WAS. 15 02:46PM AND MR. JHAVERI AND HIS COLLEAGUES GOT THE TEST AND 16 02:46PM 17 MR. JHAVERI'S RESULTS WERE IDENTICAL. 02:46PM 18 OKAY. LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 02:46PM 02:46PM 19 AND THIS IS AN EARLIER DEMO IN CHICAGO FROM 2012. AND AS 20 YOU CAN SEE THIS IS AN EMAIL FROM MR. BALWANI. HE SAYS HE 02:46PM 21 HEARD BACK FROM THE EXECUTIVE ON WHOM WE HAD PERFORMED THE DEMO 02:46PM 22 ON JULY 16TH IN CHICAGO, AND HE SAID HIS RESULTS FROM THE 02:46PM CENTRAL LAB, THAT HE HAD DID ON HIS DOCTOR'S ORDER, WERE 23 02:47PM 24 IDENTICAL TO THE RESULTS FROM OUR SYSTEM DURING THE DEMO. 02:47PM AND MR. BALWANI SAYS, I HOPE THIS GIVES AN ADDITIONAL DOSE 25 02:47PM

OF CONFIDENCE (NOT THAT YOU NEEDED MORE OF IT) TO EVERYONE AS 1 02:47PM THESE WERE DIFFICULT ASSAYS, INCLUDED VITAMIN D, VITAMIN 2 02:47PM D(IFFICULT)." 3 02:47PM 4 BECAUSE I THINK AS WE SAW THROUGH DR. ROSENDORFF, 02:47PM VITAMIN D WAS A PARTICULARLY DIFFICULT ASSAY. YOU MAY REMEMBER 02:47PM 5 6 THERE WAS TESTIMONY ABOUT A SCHOLARLY PAPER THAT TALKED ABOUT 02:47PM VITAMIN D. IT WAS REFERENCED IN AN EMAIL AND IT WAS A 02:47PM 8 DIFFICULT ASSAY. 02:47PM 9 SO MR. BALWANI WAS AWARE THAT VITAMIN D WAS A DIFFICULT 02:47PM ASSAY, BUT IT CAME OUT WELL, AND THIS GAVE MR. BALWANI 10 02:47PM 02:47PM 11 CONFIDENCE. 12 AND I TALKED BEFORE ABOUT ALL OF THOSE MARKERS THAT 02:47PM 13 MR. BALWANI HAD TO MAKE HIM BELIEVE THAT THE TECHNOLOGY WORKED, 02:47PM 14 THAT HE WAS CONFIDENT GOING FORWARD WITH PATIENT TESTING AND 02:47PM TALKING TO INVESTORS. AND THIS WAS JUST ONE OF THOSE DATA 15 02:47PM 16 POINTS THAT MR. BALWANI HAD THAT THE TECHNOLOGY WAS WORKING 02:47PM 17 JUST FINE, AS HE HAD BEEN TOLD FOR MANY -- IN MANY OTHER WAYS 02:47PM 18 AND IN MANY OTHER SOURCES. 02:47PM 19 SAME THING WITH ONE OF BRIAN GROSSMAN'S COLLEAGUES. 02:48PM IS WITH REGARD TO A TEST THAT WAS CONDUCTED FOR A MAN NAMED 02:48PM 20 21 VIVEK KHANNA, WHO IS SOMEONE WHO WORKED FOR PFM WITH 02:48PM 22 BRIAN GROSSMAN. AND AGAIN, DR. YOUNG REPORTS THE RESULTS LOOK 02:48PM FINE FOR THAT DEMONSTRATION. 23 02:48PM 24 THESE ARE MR. KHANNA'S RESULTS, OF COURSE, REDACTED. 02:48PM AND YOU CAN SEE AT THE TOP THERANOS LAB REPORT TECHNOLOGY 25 02:48PM

DEMONSTRATION. THAT'S WHAT MR. JHAVERI'S RESULT SAID AS WELL. 1 02:48PM SO THESE ARE NOT CLINICAL TESTS THAT ARE DESIGNED TO HAVE 2 02:48PM PATIENTS MAKE DECISIONS ABOUT THEIR TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS. 3 02:48PM 4 OKAY. LET ME TALK ABOUT AN EMAIL THAT THE GOVERNMENT 02:48PM SHOWED YOU IN THEIR CLOSING REMARKS. THIS IS AN EMAIL FROM 02:48PM 5 CHRISTIAN HOLMES TO A GROUP OF PEOPLE, INCLUDING MR. BALWANI. 02:48PM AND THIS IS AN EMAIL WHERE MR. HOLMES, CHRISTIAN HOLMES, 02:48PM HAS A VERY SILLY IDEA. HE'S TALKING ABOUT A DEMO THAT IS GOING 8 02:48PM 9 TO BE SCHEDULED AT A WALGREENS WHERE A GROUP OF PEOPLE CALLED 02:49PM BDT. THAT ACTUALLY STANDS FOR BYRON D. TROTT. YOU HEARD A 10 02:49PM 02:49PM 11 LITTLE BIT ABOUT HIM WHEN MS. PETERSON WAS ON THE STAND. 12 AND MR. TROTT OR PEOPLE FROM HIS OFFICE CAME TO DO A DEMO. 02:49PM 02:49PM 13 AND IN PREPARATION FOR THAT, THERE'S THIS EMAIL FROM 14 CHRISTIAN HOLMES. AND ONE OF THE THINGS THAT CHRISTIAN HOLMES 02:49PM SAYS IS, OKAY, WE WANT THE PEOPLE TO GET THE FINGERSTICK 15 02:49PM 16 EXPERIENCE, BUT OBVIOUSLY EVERYBODY KNOWS AT WALGREENS IF YOU 02:49PM 17 GO IN THERE, YOU MIGHT GET A VENOUS DRAW FOR SOME OF THE TESTS 02:49PM 18 BECAUSE THAT IS PUBLICLY KNOWN. 02:49PM 02:49PM 19 BUT MR. HOLMES HAS A SILLY IDEA ABOUT LET'S SEE IF WE CAN DISTRACT PEOPLE WHILE THEY GET THE RESULTS AND THEY DON'T 02:49PM 20 21 REALIZE THAT WE'VE REMOVED A TEST THAT WAS GOING TO BE ON 02:49PM 22 VENOUS. 02:49PM 23 WELL, THAT'S CHRISTIAN HOLMES SAYING THAT, NOT 02:49PM 24 MR. BALWANI. THERE'S NO EVIDENCE, NONE, THAT MR. BALWANI 02:50PM 25 CONDONED THAT, OR APPROVED IT, OR THOUGHT OF THAT. 02:50PM

1 02:50PM 2 02:50PM 3 02:50PM 4 02:50PM 02:50PM 02:50PM 02:50PM 8 02:50PM 9 02:50PM 10 02:50PM 02:50PM 11 12 02:50PM 13 02:50PM 14 02:50PM 15 02:50PM 16 02:50PM 17 02:50PM 18 02:51PM 19 02:51PM 02:51PM 20 21 02:51PM 22 02:51PM 23 02:51PM 24 02:51PM 25 02:51PM

HOW MANY TIMES -- LET'S USE OUR COMMON SENSE HERE. HOW
MANY TIMES HAVE YOU HAD A BUSINESS MEETING OR A MEETING WITH
FAMILY AND SOMEONE SAYS SOMETHING THAT MAYBE A LITTLE DUMB OR
UNENLIGHTENED, OR YOU THINK MIGHT EVEN BE LIKE PROBLEMATIC,
RIGHT?

AND JUST BECAUSE THAT SOMEONE SAYS THAT, DOESN'T MEAN YOU ENDORSE IT OR YOU DO IT.

AND HERE'S THE THING ABOUT THIS, IF THIS HAPPENED, IF
THERE WAS SOME EFFORT TO DISTRACT THESE POTENTIAL INVESTORS AT
A WALGREENS STORE, THE GOVERNMENT COULD HAVE CALLED BDT, OR
ANYONE WHO WAS INVOLVED IN THIS DEMO, CHRISTIAN HOLMES, OR THE
PEOPLE WHO HAD THE DEMO AND ASK THEM IF THIS IS WHAT HAPPENED
IF THEY WERE DISTRACTED. WE HEARD NOTHING ABOUT THAT.

THE GOVERNMENT'S ARGUMENT ON CLOSING WAS THAT, WELL, THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS IS THAT CHRISTIAN HOLMES APPARENTLY FELT COMFORTABLE TO AIR THIS SILLY IDERE IN AN AUDIENCE OF MR. BALWANI AND OTHERS, SO, THEREFORE, HE MUST BE COMFORTABLE TALKING ABOUT DECEPTIVE THINGS, THEREFORE, SOMEHOW THIS STICKS TO MR. BALWANI.

WHAT CHRISTIAN HOLMES IS THINKING AND WHAT HE THINKS IS A GOOD IDEA AT THE TIME THAT THERE'S NO EVIDENCE THAT THAT WAS EVER CARRIED OUT, THAT'S NOT EVIDENCE OF MR. BALWANI'S INTENT TO DEFRAUD OR MR. BALWANI'S KNOWLEDGE OF ANYTHING.

AND CHRISTIAN HOLMES IS NOT ON TRIAL HERE, MR. BALWANI IS.

AND HE DID NOT WRITE THIS EMAIL, HE DID NOT APPROVE THIS, HE

DID NOT CONDONE IT. THERE'S NO EVIDENCE ABOUT THIS WHATSOEVER. 1 02:51PM THIS IS MEANINGLESS. IT'S JUST A SILLY IDEA THAT THIS PERSON 2 02:51PM HAD, CHRISTIAN HOLMES, WHO YOU HAVE NOT HEARD FROM. 3 02:51PM 4 LET'S TALK ABOUT THIS OTHER ASPECT OF THE DEMOS THAT THE 02:51PM GOVERNMENT HAS POINTED TO AS SOMEHOW DECEPTIVE, AND THAT'S WHAT 02:51PM IS KNOWN AS THE NULL PROTOCOL. 02:51PM SO THIS WAS A QUESTION PUT TO MR. EDLIN ON DIRECT 02:51PM 8 EXAMINATION. 02:51PM 9 QUESTION: SO LET'S IMAGINE THAT WE'RE IN THE CONFERENCE 02:51PM ROOM, THE DEVICE IS THERE, IT'S SET UP TO RUN THIS NULL 10 02:51PM PROTOCOL. 02:52PM 11 12 IF A SAMPLE IS PUT INTO THE MACHINE, THEN CAN YOU DESCRIBE 02:52PM 13 WHAT THE VISITOR WOULD SEE OR WHAT WOULD WE SEE IN THAT CASE? 02:52PM 14 AND THEN MR. EDLIN ANSWERS THE QUESTION. 02:52PM THE PREMISE OF THE HYPOTHETICAL, THAT A SAMPLE IS PUT INTO 15 02:52PM 16 THE DEVICE, AND THEN THIS NULL PROTOCOL IS RUNNING ON THE 02:52PM 17 THERANOS DEVICE. THIS IS THE SORT OF IMPRESSION THAT THE 02:52PM GOVERNMENT WANTS TO LEAVE YOU WITH, THAT THERE IS KIND OF 18 02:52PM 02:52PM 19 FAKERY GOING ON. THAT AT THESE DEMOS, THERE'S A FINGERSTICK 20 SAMPLE TAKEN FROM THE INVESTOR, OR WHOEVER IT IS DOING THE 02:52PM 21 DEMO. 02:52PM 22 AND THAT THERE'S SOME BIG SHOW OF TAKING THE SAMPLE, AND 02:52PM PUTTING IT IN THE CARTRIDGE, AND THEN PUTTING THE CARTRIDGE 23 02:52PM 24 INTO THE MACHINE, AND RUNNING THE MACHINE, AND HAVING IT WHIRL 02:52PM AWAY AS IF IT'S REALLY RUNNING THE SAMPLE, BUT REALLY SECRETLY 25 02:52PM

THERE'S THIS THING CALLED THE NULL PROTOCOL THAT IS RUNNING AND 1 02:52PM IT'S DESIGNED TO HIDE ANY ERROR THAT, FROM THE GOVERNMENT'S 2 02:53PM 3 STANDPOINT, INEVITABLY THE MACHINE IS GOING TO, I DON'T KNOW, 02:53PM 4 BURST INTO CLAIMS OR SOMETHING OR AT LEAST SHOW AN ERROR CODE. 02:53PM AND IN ORDER TO HIDE ALL OF THAT, THERE'S GOING TO BE THIS NULL 02:53PM PROTOCOL. AND THE INVESTOR THINKS IT'S RUNNING FINE. BUT IT'S 02:53PM REALLY NOT. 02:53PM THAT'S THE GOVERNMENT'S THEORY IT SEEMS LIKE, AND THAT'S 8 02:53PM THE IMPRESSION THEY WANT TO LEAVE YOU. THAT'S NOT WHAT 9 02:53PM 10 HAPPENED. 02:53PM THAT WHOLE HYPOTHETICAL, THAT WHOLE SCENARIO DEPENDS ON 02:53PM 11 12 THIS SAMPLE BEING PUT INTO THE MACHINE AND MAKING THE INVESTOR 02:53PM 13 OR THE VIP WHO IS DOING -- PARTICIPATING IN THIS DEMO THINK 02:53PM 14 THAT THEIR BLOOD SAMPLE IS REALLY RUNNING. BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT 02:53PM 15 IS HAPPENING. 02:53PM LET'S GO TO THE NEXT. 16 02:53PM 17 SO THEN ON CROSS MR. EDLIN IS ASKED, IT WAS YOUR 02:53PM 18 EXPERIENCE AT THERANOS, WASN'T IT, THAT IF THE NULL PROTOCOL 02:53PM 19 WAS RUNNING ON THE MACHINE, A BLOOD SAMPLE WAS NOT PUT IN THAT 02:53PM MACHINE; IS THAT CORRECT? 02:53PM 20 21 THAT'S CORRECT. 02:53PM 22 SO IT'S NOT BEING PUT IN THE MACHINE. THE NULL PROTOCOL 02:53PM IS A WAY OF RUNNING THE MACHINE WITHOUT PUTTING THE SAMPLE IN. 23 02:53PM 24 AND SOMETIMES PEOPLE JUST WANTED TO SEE WHAT THE HARDWARE WAS 02:53PM 25 LIKE. AND THEY WEREN'T, AS MR. EDLIN SAID AND I POINTED OUT 02:54PM

EARLIER, SOMETIMES PEOPLE DIDN'T WANT THEIR BLOOD SAMPLE TAKEN. 1 02:54PM SO THE IDEA THAT THE NULL PROTOCOL IS SOMETHING NEFARIOUS 2 02:54PM IS JUST NOT TRUE. AND THE HYPOTHETICAL THAT WAS PUT TO 3 02:54PM 4 MR. EDLIN ABOUT RUNNING A SAMPLE IS JUST NOT WHAT IS GOING ON. 02:54PM THERE IS NO SAMPLE. THERE IS NO SHOW OF RUNNING A SAMPLE AND 02:54PM HAVING THIS NULL PROTOCOL HIDE ERRORS. THERE IS NO SAMPLE. 02:54PM THOSE ARE THE FACTS. 02:54PM IN FACT, TO GO FURTHER ON THAT POINT, THE INTELLECTUAL 8 02:54PM 9 PROPERTY WAS USED INTERNALLY FOR SOME PURPOSES, AND IT HAD 02:54PM NOTHING TO DO WITH INVESTORS. 10 02:54PM SO IN THIS EMAIL MR. EDLIN WRITES TO DR. YOUNG, "HI 02:54PM 11 12 DANIEL, 02:54PM "SUNNY WOULD LIKE TO START STRESS TESTING THE DEPARTMENT 13 02:54PM 14 OF DEFENSE APP ON THE 4S NEXT MONDAY -- WILL YOU BE ABLE TO 02:54PM PROVIDE A DUMMY CARTRIDGE FOR THE TESTING?" 15 02:54PM AND THE RESPONSE FROM MR. EDLIN, "INITIALLY WE ONLY HAVE 16 02:55PM 17 TO RUN A NULL PROTOCOL." 02:55PM 18 SO THIS IS JUST INTERNAL TESTING. IT'S NOT DEMOS FOR 02:55PM 02:55PM 19 INVESTORS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. SO EVEN INTERNALLY THEY'RE 20 RUNNING THE NULL PROTOCOL WHEN THEY JUST WANT TO RUN THE 02:55PM HARDWARE WITHOUT DOING A BLOOD SAMPLE. THAT'S ALL IT IS, AND 21 02:55PM 22 THAT'S WHAT THE EVIDENCE SHOWS. 02:55PM GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 23 02:55PM THE QUESTION WAS PUT TO MR. EDLIN, AND THERE WAS NOTHING 24 02:55PM OR YOU DIDN'T BELIEVE YOU WERE DOING ANYTHING NEFARIOUS IN 25 02:55PM

RUNNING THESE DEMOS USING THE DEMO APP OR THE NULL PROTOCOL, 1 02:55PM DID YOU? 2 02:55PM THE ANSWER IS NO. 3 02:55PM 4 YOU WEREN'T TRYING TO TRICK ANYONE? 02:55PM 02:55PM RIGHT. AND YOU HAD NO REASON TO BELIEVE AT THE TIME THAT ANYONE 02:55PM ELSE AT THERANOS WAS DOING THAT; RIGHT? 02:55PM 8 RIGHT. 02:55PM 9 AND HE WAS THE PERSON, MR. EDLIN, WHO WAS DEEPLY INVOLVED 02:55PM WITH THE DEMOS, AND HE KNEW WHAT THE NULL PROTOCOL WAS AND WHAT 10 02:55PM IT WASN'T. HE'S NOT THINKING HE'S DECEIVING ANYBODY. 02:55PM 11 12 OKAY. LET'S GO TO ANOTHER TOPIC THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAS 02:56PM 02:56PM 13 POINTED OUT, AND THIS IS THE THERANOS WEBSITE. AND YOU HAVE 14 HEARD SOMETHING ABOUT THIS WHERE ACCORDING TO THE GOVERNMENT, 02:56PM LAWYERS WERE INVOLVED IN ADVISING ABOUT THE WEBSITE, AND THEY 15 02:56PM 16 HAD CERTAIN CHANGES THAT THEY WANTED MADE, THE LAWYERS, RIGHT? 02:56PM 17 SO THE FIRST THING IS THAT IF YOU'RE GOING TO RUN A 02:56PM 18 FRAUDULENT SCHEME AND YOU'RE GOING TO PUT OUT A BUNCH OF FALSE 02:56PM 19 STATEMENTS ON YOUR WEBSITE, WHY HAVE LAWYERS AT ALL? WHY GO 02:56PM 20 THROUGH THE EXERCISE OF HAVING THEM LOOK AT IT? 02:56PM 21 WELL, THE REASON IS THAT THEY WERE SERIOUS ABOUT TAKING 02:56PM 22 THE ADVICE. 02:56PM SO HERE'S WHAT HAPPENED. IF YOU LOOK AT THESE EXHIBITS --23 02:56PM 24 IF YOU WANT TO WRITE THESE DOWN, 3965, 3981, AND 5805 -- WHAT 02:56PM YOU WILL SEE IS THAT THERE WERE 49 CHANGES TO THE WEBSITE IN 25 02:56PM

RESPONSE TO THE ATTORNEY FEEDBACK. SO A GREAT MANY CHANGES 1 02:56PM 2 02:56PM 3 02:56PM 4 FEEDBACK. 02:56PM AND THERE'S NOT ANY EVIDENCE THAT IT WAS MR. BALWANI WHO 02:56PM 5 02:57PM 02:57PM 8 02:57PM 9 STATEMENT. AND HERE ARE EXAMPLES OF WHEN THOSE WERE CHANGED. 02:57PM 10 02:57PM 02:57PM 11 12 02:57PM 13 02:57PM 14 02:57PM 15 02:57PM THE HEADER OF THE DOCUMENT. 16 02:57PM 17 02:57PM 18 02:57PM 19 02:57PM 02:58PM 20 21 IN THE TEXT "HIGH LEVELS OF PRECISION." 02:58PM 22 02:58PM 23 02:58PM 24 02:58PM THOSE ARE AMONG THE 49 CHANGES THAT WERE MADE. 25 02:58PM

WERE MADE BASED ON THE LAWYER'S ADVICE. AND THERE WERE FOUR ATTORNEYS FROM INSIDE THERANOS AND TWO LAW FIRMS PROVIDING THE

WAS PERSONALLY TRYING TO MAKE THESE CHANGES. SO WHAT HAPPENS? THIS IS JUST A FEW EXAMPLES. SO THE GOVERNMENT HAS PARTICULARLY FOCUSSED ON THIS "HIGHEST LEVELS OF ACCURACY"

SO, FOR EXAMPLE, THERE WAS DRAFT WEBSITE LANGUAGE. AND THESE ARE THOSE SAME EXHIBITS IF YOU WANT TO LOOK AT THOSE DURING DELIBERATIONS. DRAFT WEBSITE LANGUAGE WAS "HIGHEST LEVELS OF ACCURACY," THAT WAS REPLACED -- THE ATTORNEY ADVICE RATHER WAS TO REPLACE IT WITH "HIGH LEVELS OF ACCURACY." AND THEN THE FINAL WEBSITE IS "HIGH LEVELS OF PRECISION," THAT'S IN

THERE WAS DRAFT WEBSITE LANGUAGE ABOUT "HIGHEST LEVELS OF ACCURACY, " AND THE LAWYERS -- THE DRAFT WAS "HIGHEST LEVELS OF ACCURACY." THE ATTORNEY ADVICE WAS REPLACE THAT WITH "HIGH LEVELS OF ACCURACY." AND THEN THE FINAL WEBSITE LANGUAGE WAS

AND THEN ANOTHER EXAMPLE "A TINY DROP IS ALL IT TAKES," AND THEN THE ATTORNEY'S ADVICE WAS REVISE "ONE TINY DROP" TO "A FEW DROPS IS ALL IT TAKES." AND THEN THAT CHANGE WAS MADE. SO

1 02:58PM 2 02:58PM 3 02:58PM 4 02:58PM 02:58PM 02:58PM 02:58PM 8 02:58PM 9 02:59PM 10 02:59PM 02:59PM 11 12 02:59PM 13 02:59PM 14 02:59PM 15 02:59PM 16 02:59PM 17 02:59PM 18 02:59PM 19 02:59PM 02:59PM 20 21 02:59PM 22 02:59PM 23 02:59PM 24 02:59PM 25 02:59PM

NOW, IT IS TRUE THAT IF YOU GO TO THE EXHIBIT, THERE WERE CERTAIN PLACES WHERE THE CHANGE ISN'T MADE. BUT THE FACT THAT PEOPLE ACTUALLY FIXING THE WEBSITE DIDN'T CATCH ALL OF THE PLACES, ALL OF THE CHANGES, IS NOT INDICATIVE OF MR. BALWANI'S FRAUD. THEY HAD LAWYERS, THEY FOLLOWED THE ADVICE MADE MANY TIMES OVER. SO THAT'S THE ISSUE WITH THE WEBSITE.

AND THEN IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT ONE, MR. EDLIN TESTIFIED

THAT THERE WERE MEETINGS WITH THE LAWYERS ABOUT THE MARKETING

MATERIALS AND THE WEBSITE.

SO IT'S NOT JUST THE EMAIL THAT YOU SAW WHERE THE
ATTORNEYS GAVE ADVICE IN THE EMAIL FORM. THERE ARE ALSO
MEETINGS ABOUT THE MARKETING MATERIALS IN THE WEBSITE ABOUT THE
LAWYERS. WE DON'T KNOW WHAT WAS SAID IN THE MEETINGS, WHAT
DISCUSSIONS OCCURRED THAT WAS APPROPRIATE OR NOT APPROPRIATE ON
THE WEBSITE. WE JUST DON'T HAVE THAT INFORMATION. BUT WE KNOW
THERE WERE SUCH MEETINGS.

ANOTHER AREA WHERE THE GOVERNMENT HAS CLAIMED THERE WAS A PROBLEM WAS THE WALGREENS BROCHURE.

AND THEY SHOWED YOU A PARTICULAR DOCUMENT THAT WAS THE WALGREENS BROCHURE, BUT THAT -- AND THEY'RE CLAIMING THIS WAS AN EXAMPLE OF SOMETHING THAT PATIENTS WOULD HAVE HAD THAT WAS MISLEADING BECAUSE IT HAD THINGS THAT THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMS WEREN'T CORRECT.

WELL, LET'S LOOK AT WHAT MR. EDLIN SAID ABOUT THAT.

SO THE DOCUMENT THAT THE GOVERNMENT PUT INTO EVIDENCE

MR. EDLIN SAID IS A MECHANICAL FOR THE BROCHURE. 1 02:59PM AND THE QUESTION WAS, WHAT DOES THAT MEAN, A MECHANICAL? 2 02:59PM AND HE SAYS IT'S ESSENTIALLY A DRAFT OF THE BROCHURE 3 02:59PM 4 BEFORE IT GETS PRINTED. 03:00PM SO THE ONLY THING IN EVIDENCE IS A DRAFT. THE GOVERNMENT 03:00PM 5 DID NOT SHOW YOU WHAT THE FINAL BROCHURE LOOKED LIKE. THAT'S 03:00PM NOT IN EVIDENCE. 03:00PM SO TO TALK ABOUT A DRAFT WHEN YOU DON'T KNOW WHAT THE 8 03:00PM FINAL WAS, I DON'T KNOW THAT THAT MAKES SENSE. IT DOESN'T SEEM 9 03:00PM LIKE THE LEVEL OF PROOF YOU NEED TO FIND BEYOND A REASONABLE 10 03:00PM DOUBT THAT THIS WAS PART OF THE FRAUDULENT SCHEME. 03:00PM 11 12 LET'S TALK ABOUT THE MARKETING MATERIALS THAT INCLUDES THE 03:00PM 13 INVESTOR POWERPOINT SLIDES THAT YOU HAVE SEEN. 03:00PM 14 IF WE CAN GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 03:00PM SO THE ORIGIN OF THIS SLIDE DECK THAT YOU HAVE SEEN IN THE 15 03:00PM 16 CASE OF THE INVESTORS IS THE MARKETING WITH CHIAT/DAY. THIS IS 03:00PM 17 THE MARKETING FIRM THAT THERANOS HAD WORKING WITH THEM. 03:00PM RELATIONSHIP ACTUALLY BEGAN IN 2012 ACCORDING TO MR. EDLIN. HE 03:00PM 18 03:00PM 19 SAID THAT SOUNDED REASONABLE AT LEAST. AND HE KNOWS THERE WAS A RELATIONSHIP WITH CHIAT/DAY 03:00PM 20 21 BEFORE THE WALGREENS LAUNCH. 03:00PM IF WE CAN GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 22 03:01PM AND THIS IS THE CHIAT/DAY DOCUMENT THAT SHOWS WHAT THE 23 03:01PM 24 SO-CALLED DELIVERABLES WERE, IN OTHER WORDS, THE WORK PRODUCT 03:01PM THAT THEY WERE GOING TO PUT OUT. 25 03:01PM

AND I HIGHLIGHTED THE PHYSICIAN SALES SLIDE DECK AND LEAVE 1 03:01PM BEHINDS, AND THAT IS BECAUSE EVERYTHING THAT OUR SALESPEOPLE 2 03:01PM WILL NEED TO BE EFFECTIVE IN A PHYSICIAN OFFICE TO COMMUNICATE 3 03:01PM 4 OUR VALUE PROPOSITION. THAT'S FROM DECEMBER OF 2012. 03:01PM WE'LL SEE THIS TESTIMONY IN A MINUTE -- IN FACT, LET'S GO 03:01PM TO IT RIGHT NOW. THE NEXT SLIDE. 03:01PM SO, MS. PETERSON, THIS IS ONE OF THE SLIDES, AND THE 03:01PM HEADING OF THE SLIDE IS "EVERY THERANOS TEST BEGINS WITH YOU, 8 03:01PM 9 THE PHYSICIAN." 03:01PM AND I ASKED HER, A SLIDE THAT SAYS -- WITH THAT HEADING 10 03:01PM THE WAY IT'S WRITTEN, IT SEEMS TO BE DIRECTED TO AN AUDIENCE OF 03:01PM 11 12 PHYSICIANS. 03:01PM 03:01PM 13 SHE SAID RIGHT, BECAUSE THIS WAS A MARKETING MATERIAL THAT 14 CHIAT/DAY DEVELOPED. SO WHAT THESE SLIDES WERE IS A HODGEPODGE 03:01PM OF ALL KINDS OF THINGS THAT WERE DEVELOPED FOR MARKETING 15 03:01PM 16 PURPOSES WITH CHIAT/DAY WELL BEFORE THE WALGREENS LAUNCH AND 03:02PM 17 BEFORE THERANOS WAS DOING THAT TESTING WITH WALGREENS. 03:02PM 18 AND THEY WERE JUST THIS HODGEPODGE OF MATERIALS SHOWING 03:02PM 03:02PM 19 INVESTORS, HERE'S WHAT OUR COMPANY IS OR COULD BECOME. LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 03:02PM 20 21 MR. EDLIN TESTIFIED THAT THE PURPOSE OF THIS MEETING OR 03:02PM 22 THESE MEETINGS WAS TO DEVELOP MATERIALS ABOUT WHAT THERANOS, 03:02PM THE GOALS THAT THERANOS WAS TRYING TO ACHIEVE. THOSE WERE THE 23 03:02PM ORIGINS OF THESE SLIDES. 24 03:02PM AND WHEN THESE SLIDE DECKS CAME IN FRONT OF INVESTORS, 25 03:02PM

1 03:02PM 2 03:02PM 3 03:02PM 4 03:02PM 03:02PM 03:02PM 03:02PM 8 03:02PM 9 03:02PM 10 03:02PM 03:03PM 11 12 03:03PM 13 03:03PM 14 03:03PM 15 03:03PM 16 03:03PM 17 03:03PM 18 03:03PM 03:03PM 19 03:03PM 20 21 03:03PM 22 03:03PM 23 03:03PM 24 03:03PM

25

03:03PM

THEY WERE SLIDES, AS YOU MIGHT REMEMBER, THAT HAD ALL KINDS OF PRESENT TENSE STATEMENTS THAT OBVIOUSLY EVERYONE KNEW WASN'T TRUE, HAVING THE LARGEST LAB IN THE COUNTRY AND HAVING THE LARGEST FOOTPRINT IN CALIFORNIA. THE INVESTORS TESTIFIED THAT THEY KNEW THOSE THINGS WERE NOT PRESENTLY TRUE, THOSE WERE GOALS.

SO THE SLIDE DECK HAS A MIX OF THINGS OF WHAT IS GOING ON NOW, WHAT IS GOING TO BE IN THE FUTURE. I MEAN, YOU REALLY CAN'T KNOW MUCH ABOUT THESE SLIDE DECKS WITHOUT KNOWING EXACTLY WHAT THE NARRATIVE WAS, WHAT THE VOICEOVER WAS BECAUSE THESE SLIDE DECKS WERE NOT PRESENTED IN A VACUUM. THEY WERE MEETINGS WITH THESE INVESTORS.

SO THE IDEA THAT THESE SLIDE DECKS ARE SOMEHOW, YOU KNOW,
LITERAL AND IT'S ONLY ABOUT WHAT IS GOING ON IN THE CLIA LAB AT
THE MOMENT, THAT'S NOT THE CASE. THESE INVESTORS WERE IN
LONG-TERM PARTNERSHIPS WITH THERANOS. THEY WERE INTERESTED IN
NOT ONLY WHAT THERANOS WAS DOING NOW, BUT WHAT THEY WERE GOING
TO BE DOING IN THE FUTURE. AND THAT'S WHAT THESE SLIDE DECKS
WERE AND MANY OF THESE SLIDES WERE CLEAR TO INVESTORS THAT THEY
WERE FUTURE FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS.

OKAY. LET'S TALK ABOUT THE MEDIA AND COMMUNICATIONS WITH JOURNALISTS. IT'S ANOTHER TOPIC THE GOVERNMENT HAS RAISED, AND THE GOVERNMENT'S THEORY, AS YOU'VE HEARD, IS THAT EVEN THOUGH THE ARTICLES THAT THEY HAVE SHOWN YOU ARE THE JOURNALIST'S WORDS, NOT MR. BALWANI, AND MR. BALWANI IS SOMEHOW RESPONSIBLE

FOR THAT, TOO, BECAUSE HE WAS AWARE THAT THESE MATERIALS WERE 1 03:04PM IN THE HANDS OF INVESTORS. 2 03:04PM SO LET'S START WITH THE -- WHAT IS KNOWN AS THE RAGO 3 03:04PM 4 ARTICLE, IT'S "THE WALL STREET JOURNAL" ARTICLE FROM SEPTEMBER 03:04PM 2013. 03:04PM AND MR. LUCAS WAS SHOWN THAT. YOU CAN SEE THE LANGUAGE, 03:04PM "THE SECRET THAT HUNDREDS OF EMPLOYEES ARE NOW REFINING 03:04PM INVOLVES DEVICES THAT AUTOMATE AND MINIATURIZE MORE THAN 1,000 8 03:04PM 9 LABORATORY TESTS, FROM ROUTINE BLOOD WORK TO ADVANCED GENETIC 03:04PM 10 ANALYSIS." 03:04PM THAT'S A TRUE STATEMENT. MR. RAGO CHOSE THE WORD 03:04PM 11 "REFINING." AND YOU COULD USE THE WORD "DEVELOPING." THERANOS 12 03:04PM 13 WAS WORKING ON THAT IN THEIR RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. AND 03:04PM 14 WE'LL SEE THERE'S A LOT OF RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT EXPENDITURE 03:04PM BEHIND WHAT THERANOS IS DOING. 15 03:04PM BUT LET'S TALK ABOUT MR. LUCAS, HIS TESTIMONY. HE'S ASKED 16 03:04PM 17 A QUESTION ON DIRECT EXAMINATION BY THE GOVERNMENT. 03:04PM 18 WAS THE INFORMATION IN THIS ARTICLE CONSISTENT WITH 03:04PM 03:04PM 19 MR. LUCAS'S UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE TECHNOLOGY COULD DO BASED ON CONVERSATIONS WITH MS. HOLMES? 03:05PM 20 21 AND MR. LUCAS SAYS, THAT CERTAINLY WAS THE HOPE THAT AT 03:05PM SOME TIME IT WOULD DO ALL OF THE TESTS. 22 03:05PM AND MR. LUCAS GOES ON. I DID NOT BELIEVE AT THE TIME 23 03:05PM THAT -- YOU KNOW, THIS IS MORE OF A MARKETING. I CANNOT 24 03:05PM BELIEVE AT THE TIME THAT THE COMPANY COULD DO MORE THAN ONE 25 03:05PM

THOUSAND TESTS. 1 03:05PM SO MR. LUCAS, AN INVESTOR, RECOGNIZES THIS FOR WHAT IT IS. 2 03:05PM IT'S JOURNALIST'S WORDS AND HE'S NOT PUTTING MUCH STOCK IN 3 03:05PM 4 THAT. 03:05PM LET'S TALK ABOUT ROGER PARLOFF. HE WAS THE WRITER FROM 03:05PM "FORTUNE" MAGAZINE AND THAT CAME OUT IN JULY OF 2014. THIS 03:05PM EMAIL THAT I'M SHOWING YOU FROM MAY OF 2014 IS THE WORK THAT 03:05PM THERANOS IS DOING TO GET MR. PARLOFF INFORMATION FOR HIS 8 03:05PM 9 ARTICLE. 03:05PM NOW, THE DATE IS IMPORTANT HERE BECAUSE LET'S GO BACK TO 10 03:05PM MR. PANDORI FOR A MINUTE. DR. PANDORI CLAIMS THAT HE HAD A 03:05PM 11 12 MEETING WITH MS. HOLMES AND MR. BALWANI AROUND MAY 19TH OF 03:06PM 13 2014, AND REMEMBER HE SAID I KNOW THAT BECAUSE IT WAS AROUND 03:06PM 14 HIS BIRTHDAY. 03:06PM HE CLAIMED YOU'VE GOT TO INVOLVE THE SCIENTIFIC TEAM WITH 15 03:06PM 16 THE DISCUSSIONS WITH JOURNALISTS OR THE INFORMATION PRESENTED 03:06PM 17 TO JOURNALISTS BECAUSE WE WANT TO MAKE SURE IT'S ACCURATE, AND 03:06PM 18 HE CLAIMS THAT MR. BALWANI SAID THAT'S NEVER GOING TO HAPPEN 03:06PM 19 AND DR. PANDORI SAID HE QUIT FIVE MINUTES LATER. 03:06PM AND WE ALREADY WENT THROUGH DR. PANDORI AND WHY THAT CAN'T 03:06PM 20 21 BE TRUE, BUT THIS IS ANOTHER PIECE OF THAT. 03:06PM SO ON MAY 27TH, 2014, DR. PANDORI IS STILL AT THE COMPANY. 22 03:06PM HE DOESN'T LEAVE FOR ANOTHER THREE DAYS. 23 03:06PM AND THIS IS THE TEAM, NOT MR. BALWANI, HE'S NOT ON THIS, 24 03:06PM 25 AND AS YOU'LL SEE, HE'S NOT ASSIGNED ANY ACTION ITEMS FROM 03:06PM

MR. PARLOFF. 1 03:06PM BUT THIS IS THE TEAM BEING ASSIGNED TASKS TO GET THE 2 03:06PM JOURNALIST, MR. PARLOFF, INFORMATION FOR HIS ARTICLE, WHICH 3 03:06PM 4 ENDS UP COMING OUT A COUPLE MONTHS LATER. 03:06PM SO LET'S TALK ABOUT OUR ACTION ITEMS, SHOWING THE REFLEX 03:07PM TESTING CONFIGURATION WE WILL RELEASE IN THE FUTURE/ALL FUTURE 03:07PM FEATURES, THAT'S A TASK ASSIGNED TO DANIEL YOUNG. YOU CAN SEE 03:07PM THERE ARE OTHER TASKS ASSIGNED TO DR. YOUNG. 8 03:07PM 9 POINT 8 THERE, DATA ON ANY COMBINATION OF TESTS BEING ABLE 03:07PM TO BE DONE ON OUR FRAMEWORK, ALSO ASSIGNED TO DANIEL YOUNG. 10 03:07PM 03:07PM 11 LAB REPORT SHOWING TRENDING DATA, I BELIEVE THAT'S TO JEFF 12 AND DANIEL YOUNG. 03:07PM 13 NUMBER 1, FOLLOW UP ON ALL OF OUR STATE CERTIFICATIONS. 03:07PM 14 THAT'S ASSIGNED TO ADAM, MEANING DR. ROSENDORFF, MARK, 03:07PM DR. PANDORI HIMSELF, AND IT LOOKS LIKE BRAD, WHICH IS PROBABLY 15 03:07PM 16 BRAD ARINGTON, WHO IS A LAWYER AT THERANOS. 03:07PM SO IT LOOKS LIKE DR. PANDORI, NOT WITHSTANDING HIS 17 03:07PM 18 TESTIMONY THAT HE WAS TOLD NEVER GOING TO HAPPEN AND NEVER 03:08PM GOING TO INVOLVE SCIENTIFIC TEAM IN ANY INFORMATION THAT YOU'RE 03:08PM 19 GIVING TO JOURNALISTS, HE IS INVOLVED. HE IS INVOLVED A FEW 03:08PM 20 21 DAYS LATER, AS IS DR. YOUNG. 03:08PM 22 SO ANOTHER REASON WHY DR. PANDORI'S TESTIMONY IS JUST 03:08PM COMPLETELY FALSE ON THAT SCORE AND MANY OTHER SCORES. 23 03:08PM 24 BUT THIS IS INFORMATION THAT THE TEAM, NOT MR. BALWANI, IS 03:08PM GATHERING FOR THE JOURNALISTS AND AS YOU CAN SEE MR. BALWANI IS 25 03:08PM

1 03:08PM 2 03:08PM 03:08PM 3 4 03:08PM 03:08PM 03:08PM 03:08PM 8 03:08PM 9 03:08PM 10 03:09PM 03:09PM 11 12 03:09PM 13 03:09PM 14 03:09PM 15 03:09PM 16 03:09PM 17 03:09PM 18 03:09PM 19 03:09PM 03:09PM 20 21 03:09PM 22 03:09PM 23 03:09PM 24 03:09PM 25 03:10PM

NOT EVEN ON THIS EMAIL AND HE HAS NO TASK ASSIGNED TO HIM.

IT'S THE TEAM, AND THAT'S ELIZABETH HOLMES, IT'S

JEFF BLICKMAN, IT'S DR. YOUNG, IT'S MARK PANDORI, AND A LAWYER

NAMED BRAD ARINGTON.

THIS NEXT DOCUMENT I WANT TO SHOW YOU ON THE TOPIC OF

JOURNALISTS IS A DOCUMENT THAT MR. SCHENK SHOWED YOU DURING HIS

CLOSING ARGUMENT YESTERDAY, AND HE'S POINTING TO THIS BECAUSE

IT'S AN EMAIL DIRECTLY FROM MR. BALWANI TO A GROUP OF PEOPLE AT

WALGREENS, INCLUDING JAY ROSAN AND BRAD WASSON, AND IT'S AN

ARTICLE FROM A PUBLICATION CALLED "SINGULARITY HUB" AND THE

HEADLINE IS SMALL, FAST, AND CHEAP, THERANOS IS THE POSTER

CHILD OF MED TECH -- AND IT'S IN WALGREENS. THIS IS FROM

NOVEMBER 18TH, 2013.

SO THE GOVERNMENT POINTED TO THIS, SEE, HE'S PROVIDING INFORMATION IN ARTICLES FROM WALGREENS THAT HAS INFORMATION IN IT. LET'S LOOK AT WHAT THAT IS.

THE FIRST STATEMENT THAT THE GOVERNMENT SAYS IS FALSE IS HENRY FORD WOULD RECOGNIZE THE PRINCIPLE THAT ALLOWS THERANOS TO COMPLETE ACCURATE LAB TESTS WITHIN FOUR HOURS.

LET'S PAUSE RIGHT THERE.

THESE BLOOD TESTS AT THIS TIME IN NOVEMBER OF 2013, THIS IS GOING ON IN WALGREENS'S OWN STORES. THEY KNOW EXACTLY HOW LONG IT TAKES. THEY KNOW THAT THE BLOOD SAMPLE IS BEING COLLECTED THERE AND TRANSPORTED TO A CENTRAL LAB. THIS IS NOT INFORMATION THAT WALGREENS IS GOING TO LOOK AT AND SAY, OH YES,

IT MUST BE GOING ON IN FOUR HOURS. THEY KNOW EXACTLY WHAT'S 1 03:10PM GOING ON. IT'S THEIR OWN STORES WHERE THE BLOOD COLLECTION IS 2 03:10PM 3 GOING ON. 03:10PM 4 BY THE WAY, IN THE EMAIL MR. BALWANI DOESN'T COMMENT ON 03:10PM THIS. HE DOESN'T SAY LOOK AT THIS ARTICLE AND LOOK AT WHAT 03:10PM 5 WE'RE DOING. HE JUST SENDS IT WITHOUT EXPLANATION. 03:10PM WALGREENS KNOWS THIS. 03:10PM LET'S GO ON TO THE NEXT POINT. 8 03:10PM 9 BLOOD IS DRAWN WITH A FINGERSTICK, RATHER THAN A NEEDLE IN 03:10PM 10 THE ARM. THERE ARE NO BOTCHED STICKS, OF COURSE, THERE ARE NO 03:10PM PHLEBOTOMISTS, ONLY MACHINES IN THE THERANOS LABS. 03:10PM 11 12 WALGREENS KNOW FULL WELL THAT THERE ARE VENOUS DRAWS GOING 03:10PM 13 ON IN THEIR OWN STORES. AND IN 2014 TIME PERIOD, IT IS, LIKE 03:10PM 14 60 PERCENT. AND THIS IS 2013. NO REASON TO THINK IT'S ANY 03:10PM 15 DIFFERENT. 03:10PM 16 SO THE IDEA THAT WALGREENS DOESN'T KNOW THAT WE HAVE 03:10PM 17 PHLEBOTOMISTS AND WE HAVE VENOUS DRAWS, OF COURSE THEY DO. 03:10PM 18 SO MR. BALWANI IS SENDING THIS INFORMATION TO WALGREENS, 03:10PM HE'S FORWARDING AN ARTICLE. THERE'S NO BASIS TO SAY THAT 19 03:10PM MR. BALWANI IS TRYING TO DECEIVE WALGREENS INTO THINKING THESE 03:10PM 20 21 THINGS WERE TRUE WHEN HE KNOWS, EVERYONE KNOWS, WALGREENS KNOWS 03:10PM THESE THINGS, THEY KNOW ABOUT THE PHLEBOTOMISTS, THEY KNOW 22 03:10PM ABOUT THE VENOUS DRAWS, IT WAS IN THEIR OWN PRESS RELEASE FOR 23 03:11PM GOODNESS SAKES. 24 03:11PM AND THIS IS ANOTHER ONE OF THOSE RED HERRINGS WHERE THE 25 03:11PM

GOVERNMENT IS TRYING TO TAR MR. BALWANI WITH SOMETHING 1 03:11PM DECEPTIVE THAT IS REALLY NOT. 2 03:11PM BUT LET'S GO TO WHAT MR. BALWANI REALLY THOUGHT AND REALLY 3 03:11PM 4 DID. 03:11PM HERE'S AN INQUIRY FROM A MEDIA SOURCE CALLED G2 03:11PM INTELLIGENCE AND THIS IS SEPTEMBER 10TH, 2013. 03:11PM AND IF THERE'S ANY EVIDENCE OF WHAT MR. BALWANI REALLY 03:11PM INTENDED HERE, THIS IS ONE OF THE KEY THINGS BECAUSE WHAT 8 03:11PM HAPPENS IS -- LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 9 03:11PM G2 INTELLIGENCE, AS MR. BLICKMAN SAYS HERE, THIS RON FROM 10 03:11PM G2 SENDS AN EMAIL TO MR. BLICKMAN AND CALLS HIS PHONE LINE. 03:11PM 11 12 HIS VOICEMAIL REITERATED THAT HIS DEADLINE IS FRIDAY AND HE'S 03:11PM 03:11PM 13 TRYING TO CONFIRM THE SAME SET OF QUESTIONS SENT YESTERDAY. 14 SO THAT'S WHAT MR. BLICKMAN IS REPORTING, THIS JOURNALIST 03:12PM HAS A DEADLINE, AND I NEED TO GET HIM THE INFORMATION AND HE 03:12PM 15 16 POSES THE QUESTIONS. 03:12PM SO LET'S LOOK AT WHAT THOSE ARE IN PART. AND THIS IS 17 03:12PM 18 **EXHIBIT 20167.** 03:12PM 03:12PM 19 SO MR. BALWANI RESPONDS SEPTEMBER 11TH, 2013. I EDITED THE FOLLOWING. ELIZABETH NEEDS TO DECIDE WHETHER TO SEND THIS 03:12PM 20 21 AND IF SO, THEN PROVIDE HER THOUGHTS AND EDITS. 03:12PM 22 AND HERE IS ONE OF THE JOURNALIST'S QUESTIONS. HOW MUCH 03:12PM BLOOD IS REQUIRED (IN NANOLITERS OR MICROGRAMS)? ALTHOUGH IT 23 03:12PM IS MENTIONED IN THE RELEASE, HOW SPECIFICALLY WILL IT BE DRAWN? 24 03:12PM AND THE ANSWER THAT IS DRAFTED THERE IS, THE BLOOD WILL BE 25 03:12PM

1 03:12PM 2 03:12PM 3 03:12PM 4 03:12PM 03:13PM 03:13PM 03:13PM 8 03:13PM 9 03:13PM 10 03:13PM 03:13PM 11 12 03:13PM 13 03:13PM 14 03:13PM 03:13PM 15 16 03:13PM 17 03:13PM 18 03:13PM 03:13PM 19 03:13PM 20 21 03:14PM 22 03:14PM 23 03:14PM

24

25

03:14PM

03:14PM

DRAWN IN A THREE STEP PROCESS, ONE, PLACE FINGER WARMER ON PATIENT'S FINGERTIP; TWO, CLEAN THE FINGERTIP AND DEPRESS TINY LANCET ON FINGER; THREE, TECHNICIAN COLLECTS BLOOD IN SMALL DISPOSABLE DEVICES TO FILL OR NANOTAINER TUBES WITH A FEW DROPS OF SAMPLE.

SO THAT'S THE ANSWER THAT'S DRAFTED.

BUT HERE'S MR. BALWANI'S SUGGESTED EDIT. HE WRITES, FIX THIS, FIX THIS TO ALSO INCLUDE VENIPUNCTURE.

SO MR. BALWANI WANTS THIS JOURNALIST TO BE TOLD THAT THERE IS VENIPUNCTURE GOING ON, AND THE GOVERNMENT SAYS, THIS IS SOME KIND OF INTENT THAT MR. BALWANI WANTS TO HIDE THESE TRADITIONAL METHODS FROM THE WORLD WHEN HE'S THE ONE WHO IS SAYING IN THIS RESPONSE TO THIS JOURNALIST AT G2 INTELLIGENCE, TELL THEM IT'S VENIPUNCTURE, LET'S MAKE SURE WE INCLUDE THAT BECAUSE WE'RE ALSO DOING THAT, AND THIS IS THE OPPOSITE.

LOOK AT ALL OF THE EVIDENCE. MR. BALWANI'S INTENT, HIS

GOOD FAITH, THIS IS ONE OF THOSE PIECES OF EVIDENCE THAT SHOULD

REALLY MAKE YOU GIVE PAUSE. TRANSLATE IT INTO REASONABLE DOUBT

WHEN THE GOVERNMENT SAYS THAT MR. BALWANI WAS TRYING TO DECEIVE

ANYBODY ABOUT THE METHODS BEING USED AT THERANOS'S LAB.

OKAY. HERE'S ANOTHER EXAMPLE. SO THIS IS ONE OF THOSE
TEXT MESSAGES BETWEEN TWO PEOPLE THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMS ARE
COCONSPIRATORS, AND HERE THEY ARE TALKING IN PRIVATE BY TEXT
MESSAGE.

AND MR. BALWANI WRITES ON JULY 8TH, 2015, AND TELLS

MS. HOLMES, "THE HOME PAGE ANNOUNCEMENT FOR CBC," I BELIEVE 1 03:14PM THAT TO BE A REFERENCE TO CAPITAL BLUE CROSS, "IS TOO DRAMATIC. 2 03:14PM CHANGE THE FACE OF HEALTH CARE IN PENNSYLVANIA. CAN U CHANGE 3 03:14PM IT ONCE IN OFFICE. SOMETHING MORE HUMBLE AND ACCURATE." 4 03:14PM THAT'S WHAT MR. BALWANI WANTS MS. HOLMES TO DO. 03:14PM SO IT'S NOT, GREAT, ELIZABETH, FULL STEAM AHEAD, YOU KNOW, 03:14PM SAY SOMETHING REALLY DRAMATIC AND INACCURATE. 03:14PM HE'S SAYING, NO, LET'S BE HUMBLE AND ACCURATE. THAT'S 8 03:14PM 9 MR. BALWANI'S INTENT. 03:14PM AND IF YOU WANT TO LOOK AT THE TEXT MESSAGES, YOU KNOW, 10 03:14PM THAT IS THE OPPOSITE OF, YOU KNOW, SOME KIND OF CONSPIRACY OR 03:14PM 11 12 INTENT TO DEFRAUD. 03:14PM 13 LET'S SWITCH GEARS HERE FOR A MINUTE AND TALK ABOUT THE 03:14PM 14 THERANOS IP PORTFOLIO. 03:14PM SO WE TALKED ABOUT HOW IMPORTANT WALGREENS WAS TO 15 03:15PM INVESTORS. BUT THAT WASN'T THE ONLY THING THAT WAS IMPORTANT. 16 03:15PM 17 WE ALSO TALKED ABOUT THE BOARD BEING IMPORTANT. 03:15PM 18 THE INVESTORS ALSO TESTIFIED ABOUT THE IP PORTFOLIO BEING 03:15PM 03:15PM 19 IMPORTANT TO THEM AS WELL. SO THIS NEXT ITEM HERE IS FROM LISA PETERSON'S BINDER. DO 03:15PM 20 21 YOU REMEMBER -- I CALL IT LISA PETERSON'S BINDER. IT'S REALLY 03:15PM 22 THE BINDER SENT TO THE DEVOS FAMILY OFFICE, RDV, AND IT'S SENT 03:15PM 23 TO JERRY TUBERGEN, AND MS. PETERSON ENDED UP MAKING A COPY OF 03:15PM TWO BINDERS, AND ONE HAD A NUMBER OF MATERIALS, INCLUDING THIS 24 03:15PM LENGTHY LIST OF PATENTS AND OTHER IP, INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY. 25 03:15PM

SO ONE OF THEM, AND THIS IS ON PAGE 141 OF EXHIBIT 4858, 1 03:15PM IS THIS PARTICULAR ITEM DEVICES, METHODS, AND SYSTEMS FOR 2 03:15PM REDUCING SAMPLE VOLUME. THAT'S A PATENT APPLICATION. 3 03:15PM 4 AND THEN IT HAS A NUMBER. AND THE DATE IS 9/9/2013. 03:15PM AND IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, MR. ALLEN. 03:16PM I SHOWED YOU THIS YESTERDAY, BUT THIS IS THE PATENT 03:16PM APPLICATION THAT WAS FILED, AND YOU SEE IT'S THE SAME PATENT 03:16PM APPLICATION BEING REFERENCED. AND IF YOU LOOK AT 8 03:16PM 9 EXHIBIT 20830, IT HAS THE SAME NUMBER AND WITH THE SAME DATE. 03:16PM THIS IS IN THE PATENT APPLICATION, AND I WON'T READ IT AND 10 03:16PM I'LL LEAVE IT ON THE SCREEN FOR A MINUTE, BUT IT DESCRIBES ALL 03:16PM 11 12 OF THE EXTENSIVE MODIFICATIONS THAT THERANOS FILED WITH THE 03:16PM 13 PATENT OFFICE THAT THEY WERE MAKING TO THE COMMERCIAL DEVICES 03:16PM 14 IN ORDER TO MODIFY THEM FOR FINGERSTICK USE. 03:16PM AND THEN ON THIS PAGE MORE OF THE PATENT APPLICATION 15 03:16PM TALKING ABOUT WHAT THEY WERE DOING. 16 03:16PM SO THIS PATENT APPLICATION WAS SPECIFICALLY REFERENCED, 17 03:16PM 18 THESE MODIFIED PREDICATE DEVICE, IN MS. PETERSON'S SLIDE DECK. 03:16PM 19 MORE ON DISCLOSURE OF COMMERCIAL DEVICES. SO THIS IS AN 03:17PM EMAIL FROM MS. HOLMES TO SALLY HOJVAT WHO WE SAW BEFORE WAS AN 03:17PM 20 21 OFFICIAL AT THE FDA. THIS IS FROM OCTOBER 23RD, 2013. YOU SEE 03:17PM IT HAS ATTACHMENTS, AND THEN THERE'S THIS NOTICE TRADE SECRET 22 03:17PM 23 CONFIDENTIAL COMMERCIAL INFORMATION EXEMPT FROM DISCLOSURE 03:17PM UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT. 24 03:17PM 25 SO THERANOS CONSIDERED THIS INFORMATION, MODIFIED 03:17PM

PREDICATE DEVICES, TO BE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, YOU KNOW, 1 03:17PM PROPRIETARY INFORMATION THAT WOULDN'T BE SHARED. IN FACT, AS 2 03:17PM YOU JUST SAW, THEY FILED A PATENT APPLICATION SO THAT THEY 3 03:17PM COULD PROTECT THIS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY. 4 03:17PM AND NOW THEY'RE SHARING THIS WITH THE FDA. 03:17PM AND LET'S LOOK AT WHAT THEY SHARED. 03:17PM SO THEY SHARED WITH THE GOVERNMENT AGENCY, THE FOOD AND 03:17PM DRUG ADMINISTRATION, THE TESTS RUN IN THERANOS'S CLIA 8 03:18PM 9 LABORATORY FROM SAMPLES COLLECTED IN THERANOS WELLNESS CENTERS. 03:18PM AND YOU CAN SEE THERE -- LET'S START WITH THE FOOTNOTE AT 10 03:18PM THE VERY BOTTOM DEFINING THE TERM THERANOS PROTOCOL MEANS 03:18PM 11 12 FDA-CLEARED ASSAYS RUNNING A THERANOS PROTOCOL (IE, MODIFIED 03:18PM 13 UNDER THE CLIA REGULATIONS). THESE ASSAYS ARE THUS VALIDATED 03:18PM 14 AS LABORATORY DEVELOPED TESTS. 03:18PM 15 SO THESE WERE THE MODIFIED COMMERCIAL DEVICES, AND THEY'RE 03:18PM 16 DISCLOSING IT TO THE FDA, AND YOU CAN SEE WHERE CMP, COMPLETE 03:18PM 17 METABOLIC PANEL, THAT GROUP OF TESTS, YOU CAN SEE THE CHEMISTRY 03:18PM 18 IS THERANOS PROTOCOL, WHICH IS DEFINED AS THE MODIFIED 03:18PM 19 PREDICATE, AND THEN THE DEVICE IS AN FDA CLEARED DEVICE. 03:18PM SO IT'S COMMERCIAL DEVICE, FDA APPROVED, RUNNING THE 03:18PM 20 21 THERANOS PROTOCOL, SO IT'S MODIFIED. 03:18PM AND THEN THE SAME THING WITH LIPIDS, WHICH IS CHOLESTEROL 22 03:18PM TESTING AND THINGS LIKE THAT. 23 03:19PM SO THEY'RE DISCLOSING TO THE FDA EXACTLY WHAT THEY'RE 24 03:19PM DOING. SOME OF IT IS COMMERCIAL. 25 03:19PM

SO, FOR EXAMPLE, HBA1C IS FDA CLEARED AND APPROVED, AN FDA 1 03:19PM CLEARED AND APPROVED DEVICE, SO IT'S AN UNMODIFIED COMMERCIAL 2 03:19PM 3 DEVICE. 03:19PM 4 AND THEN SOME OF THEM ARE TSPU, PSA, THAT'S THE EDISON, 03:19PM AND IT'S RUNNING THERANOS CHEMISTRY. 03:19PM SO THEY'RE DISCLOSING ALL OF THE DIFFERENT METHODS THAT 03:19PM THEY'RE USING DIRECTLY TO THE U.S. GOVERNMENT, THE FOOD AND 03:19PM 8 DRUG ADMINISTRATION. 03:19PM MORE ABOUT DISCLOSURE OF USE OF TRADITIONAL METHODS. 9 03:19PM 10 LET'S LOOK AT THAT, BECAUSE THE GOVERNMENT SAYS THIS WAS SOME 03:19PM KIND OF A SECRET. 03:19PM 11 12 SO THIS IS A DOCUMENT THAT WAS PROVIDED TO MS. BENNETT, SO 03:19PM 13 SHE WAS NOTICED OF THIS. 03:19PM 14 AND IF WE GO -- THIS IS IN OCTOBER 25TH OF 2013. SO IT'S 03:19PM GOING TO -- IF YOU LOOK AT THE EMAIL STRING, ALBERTO GUTIERREZ 15 03:19PM 16 HAS AN EMAIL ADDRESS AT THE FDA, SO HE'S AT THE FDA, AND THEN 03:20PM 17 SARAH BENNETT WE KNOW TO BE A CMS EMPLOYEE, AND IT HAS SOME 03:20PM 18 03:20PM ATTACHMENTS. 03:20PM 19 AND IF YOU GO TO THE ATTACHMENT, IT TALKS ABOUT PHASE I. THAT'S THAT CENTRAL LAB MODEL I WAS TALKING ABOUT BEFORE. 03:20PM 20 21 AND YOU CAN SEE RIGHT IN THIS DOCUMENT IT SAYS, IF YOU 03:20PM LOOK AT THE BOX ON THE RIGHT, THE BIG GREEN ON THE RIGHT AT THE 22 03:20PM TOP OF THAT, IT SAYS "SAMPLES ARE PROCESSED AND RESULTS ARE 23 03:20PM GENERATED VIA CONVENTIONAL ANALYZERS." 24 03:20PM AND THEN BELOW THAT IT SAYS, "OR THERANOS SAMPLE 25 03:20PM

PROCESSING UNIT PERFORMS AUTOMATED PREANALYTIC PROCESSING." 1 03:20PM SO THEY'RE DISCLOSING RIGHT TO CMS AND THE FDA THAT 2 03:20PM 3 THEY'RE USING CONVENTIONAL ANALYZERS. 03:20PM 4 SO THAT'S THE U.S. GOVERNMENT. 03:20PM LET'S GO TO THE NEXT. 03:20PM MORE ABOUT DISCLOSURE WRITING ON THE WEBSITE. IT SAYS, 03:20PM "INSTEAD OF A HUGE NEEDLE WE CAN USE A TINY FINGERSTICK OR 03:20PM COLLECT A MICRO-SAMPLE FROM A VENOUS DRAW." 8 03:21PM SO THERE'S NO SECRET ABOUT VENOUS DRAWS. IT'S RIGHT ON 9 03:21PM THE THERANOS WEBSITE FOR THE WORLD TO SEE. 10 03:21PM 03:21PM 11 IT'S THE SAME THING IN THE PUBLIC PRESS RELEASE, WE LOOKED 12 AT THAT BEFORE, TRADITIONAL METHODS AS WELL AS FINGERSTICK. 03:21PM 13 THE SAME WITH THE NOVEMBER PRESS RELEASE. WE LOOKED AT 03:21PM 14 THAT EARLIER, TOO. THEY'RE DISCLOSING TRADITIONAL METHODS. 03:21PM SO THERE'S NO HIDING THAT THERANOS IS DOING VENOUS DRAWS. 15 03:21PM 16 ANYONE CAN LOOK AT THIS, THESE ARE PUBLIC DOCUMENTS, AND 03:21PM 17 THEY'RE TELLING THE GOVERNMENT AS WELL. 03:21PM 18 MR. JHAVERI AGREED THAT THESE MICRO SAMPLES THAT ARE 03:21PM 03:21PM 19 REFERRED TO IN SOME OF THE MATERIAL ARE ALSO VENOUS DRAWS. HE WAS WELL AWARE OF THAT. IT'S JUST A SMALLER VOLUME OF BLOOD 03:21PM 20 21 TAKEN FROM A VENOUS DRAW, AND THAT'S WHAT MR. JHAVERI TESTIFIED 03:21PM 22 HE KNEW. 03:21PM LET'S TALK ABOUT MR. LUCAS FOR A MINUTE. 23 03:21PM 24 MR. LUCAS, YOU MIGHT REMEMBER -- WE WILL RETURN TO THIS A 03:22PM LITTLE LATER, BUT HE KNEW THAT THERANOS COULDN'T DO HUNDREDS OR 25 03:22PM

03:22PM	1	THOUSANDS OF TESTS ON ITS OWN TECHNOLOGY. HE THOUGHT THERE
03:22PM	2	WERE DOZENS OF TESTS, WHICH WAS TRUE. WE SAW DR. ROSENDORFF
03:22PM	3	HAD SIGNED 57 VALIDATION REPORTS. SO DOZENS IS ACCURATE.
03:22PM	4	HERE THOUGH THE QUESTION PUT TO MR. LUCAS IS WHAT ABOUT
03:22PM	5	THE ONES THAT THERANOS COULDN'T DO BEYOND THE DOZENS?
03:22PM	6	THE QUESTION WAS WOULD THEY HAVE TO BE DONE BY SOME OTHER
03:22PM	7	METHOD?
03:22PM	8	AND HE SAYS YES.
03:22PM	9	AND THAT MIGHT BE TRADITIONAL METHODS.
03:22PM	10	AND HE SAID CORRECT.
03:22PM	11	AND THEN THE QUESTION, AND YOU KNEW THAT BEFORE YOU
03:22PM	12	INVESTED IN 2013?
03:22PM	13	AND HE SAID YES.
03:22PM	14	SO MR. LUCAS KNEW FULL WELL THAT THERANOS WAS USING
03:22PM	15	TRADITIONAL METHODS BEYOND THE DOZENS THAT THERANOS COULD DO ON
03:22PM	16	THEIR OWN TECHNOLOGY.
03:22PM	17	NOW, LET'S TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT MR. LUCAS. LET'S
03:23PM	18	GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE.
03:23PM	19	SO THE GOVERNMENT HIGHLIGHTED THIS TESTIMONY DURING
03:23PM	20	CLOSING, BUT I WANT TO SHOW YOU THE REST OF THE TESTIMONY THAT
03:23PM	21	THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T SHOW YOU.
03:23PM	22	SO THE PART THAT THE GOVERNMENT BROUGHT TO YOUR ATTENTION
03:23PM	23	WAS ON LINE 14, "BUT IF THERANOS HAD THE CAPABILITY TO RUN MANY
03:23PM	24	BLOOD TESTS ON ITS ANALYZERS BUT DECIDED THAT IT WOULD NOT MAKE
03:23PM	25	ECONOMIC SENSE TO DO THAT RIGHT AWAY AND INSTEAD USE A

COMMERCIAL ANALYZER, THAT'S NOT A BUSINESS DECISION THAT YOU 1 03:23PM WOULD EXPECT TO BE INVOLVED IN AS AN INVESTOR, IS IT?" 2 03:23PM MR. LUCAS ANSWERED, "THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN SHOCKING TO ME 3 03:23PM 4 TO KNOW THAT." 03:23PM SO THE GOVERNMENT HIGHLIGHTED THAT THAT MR. LUCAS WOULD 03:23PM HAVE BEEN SHOCKED ABOUT THE USE OF COMMERCIAL DEVICES, ALTHOUGH 03:23PM AS I JUST SHOWED YOU, HE KNEW THAT BEFORE HE INVESTED, THAT 03:23PM THERE WERE TRADITIONAL METHODS BEING USED. 8 03:23PM 9 BUT THE QUESTION HERE WAS A LITTLE DIFFERENT. IT WAS 03:23PM ABOUT THE BUSINESS DECISION, AND HE SAID IT WOULD HAVE BEEN 10 03:23PM 03:23PM 11 SHOCKING. 12 BUT LET'S GO ON TO THE NEXT PAGE, THE NEXT QUESTION THAT 03:23PM 13 THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T SHOW YOU IN ITS CLOSING YESTERDAY. 03:23PM 14 "OUESTION: BUT IF IT MADE BUSINESS SENSE TO DO IT 03:24PM DIFFERENTLY FOR SOME ASSAY OR CERTAIN ASSAYS, THE MANAGEMENT 15 03:24PM HAD A RIGHT TO MAKE THAT DECISION; RIGHT? 16 03:24PM AND THEN MR. LUCAS ANSWERS, "THEY HAVE A RIGHT TO MAKE ANY 17 03:24PM DECISION. AND --" 18 03:24PM 19 AND THEN HE GOES ON. "AGAIN, I KNEW THAT SOME TESTS 03:24PM THERANOS COULD NOT DO ON THEIR OWN MACHINES, AND THEY'D HAVE TO 03:24PM 20 21 BE RUN ON A COMMERCIAL ANALYZER." 03:24PM SO THIS WAS NOT SHOCKING TO MR. LUCAS THAT THERANOS WAS 22 03:24PM USING COMMERCIAL ANALYZERS. HE KNEW THAT BEFORE HE INVESTED, 23 03:24PM 24 AND HE INVESTED ANYWAY. 03:24PM SO WHAT I'M ALSO POINTING OUT HERE IS THAT THE GOVERNMENT 25 03:24PM

SHOWED YOU ONLY THAT PAGE BEFORE IT AND NOT HIS ADDITIONAL 1 03:24PM ANSWER. AND THAT'S JUST MISLEADING. THAT'S THE FULL TESTIMONY 2 03:25PM THAT MR. LUCAS GAVE. 3 03:25PM 4 LET'S TALK ABOUT THE PHARMACEUTICAL RELATIONSHIPS. SO 03:25PM THIS IS A REALLY PROMINENT EXAMPLE OF HOW THE GOVERNMENT IS 03:25PM 5 TRYING TO TAR MR. BALWANI WITH SOMETHING THAT REALLY ISN'T 03:25PM RIGHT. 03:25PM SO THERANOS, AS I POINTED OUT YESTERDAY, HAD QUITE A LOT 8 03:25PM 9 OF PHARMACEUTICAL RELATIONSHIPS. IT HAD CONTRACTS WITH VARIOUS 03:25PM 10 COMPANIES. 03:25PM AND THE GOVERNMENT SPENT A LOT OF TIME TALKING ABOUT TWO, 03:25PM 11 12 PFIZER AND SCHERING-PLOUGH, AS SOMEHOW FRAUDULENT BECAUSE THERE 03:25PM 13 WERE SOME REPORTS WITH LOGOS ON THEM, AND THE GOVERNMENT'S 03:25PM 14 THEORY IS THAT THESE WERE USED TO MISLEAD INVESTORS BECAUSE IT 03:25PM MADE IT LOOK LIKE THESE COMPANIES HAD DRAFTED REPORTS WHEN THEY 15 03:25PM HADN'T. THEY PRESENTED A COUPLE OF WITNESSES ABOUT THIS. 16 03:25PM BUT I REALLY WANT TO UNPACK THIS SO WE UNDERSTAND WHAT 17 03:25PM MR. BALWANI'S ROLE WAS IN THIS WAS OR LACK OF ROLE. 03:25PM 18 SO, FIRST OF ALL, LET'S START OUT WITH MR. EDLIN'S 19 03:26PM TESTIMONY. "THOSE RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE PHARMACEUTICAL 03:26PM 20 21 COMPANIES AND THERANOS WERE LED BY ELIZABETH HOLMES; CORRECT? 03:26PM "CORRECT. 22 03:26PM "MR. BALWANI WAS NOT INVOLVED IN THOSE RELATIONSHIPS AS 23 03:26PM 24 FAR AS YOU KNOW; RIGHT? 03:26PM 25 MR. EDLIN, WHO WAS THERE FOR A LONG TIME DURING THIS WHOLE 03:26PM

PERIOD, HE UNDERSTOOD THAT MR. BALWANI WAS NOT INVOLVED IN 1 03:26PM THOSE PHARMACEUTICAL RELATIONSHIPS. 2 03:26PM 3 AND THEN THE GOVERNMENT CALLED SHANE WEBER, WHO WAS A 03:26PM 4 PERSON WHO WORKED FOR PFIZER, AND HE NEVER MET MR. BALWANI. 03:26PM DR. CULLEN FROM SCHERING-PLOUGH DID NOT RECOGNIZE 03:26PM MR. BALWANI, DID NOT SPEAK WITH HIM, DID NOT EMAIL WITH HIM, 03:26PM HE'S NOT ON ANY OF THE EMAILS. 03:26PM SO DR. CULLEN DOESN'T KNOW OR HAD NOT MET MR. BALWANI 8 03:26PM 9 EITHER. SO THERE WAS NO INTERACTION THERE. 03:26PM AND THEN, AS I POINTED OUT TO YOU THE OTHER DAY, THERE 10 03:26PM WERE LOTS OF PHARMA RELATIONSHIPS. THIS IS EXHIBIT 7753. 03:26PM 11 12 HAS ALL OF THESE CONTRACTS: CELGENE, CENTOCOR, MERCK, 03:27PM 13 NOVARTIS, AS WELL AS SCHERING-PLOUGH AND ASTRA-ZENECA. 03:27PM 14 SO LET'S GO BACK TO SHANE WEBER. 03:27PM SO HE TESTIFIED THAT HE HAD CONCERNS AND HE HAD SOME 15 03:27PM 16 OPINIONS ABOUT THERANOS, AND HE WASN'T DEALING WITH 03:27PM 17 MR. BALWANI, BUT HE DIDN'T EVEN SHARE HIS VIEWS WITH 03:27PM 18 MS. HOLMES. 03:27PM 19 SO WHATEVER MR. WEBER THOUGHT ABOUT THE TECHNOLOGY AND 03:27PM WHAT HE HAD SEEN, HE KEPT TO HIMSELF OR AT LEAST KEPT THEM 03:27PM 20 21 INTERNALLY BECAUSE HE DIDN'T SHARE THEM WITH MS. HOLMES. 03:27PM SO IF MS. HOLMES DOESN'T EVEN KNOW WHAT SHANE WEBER 22 03:27PM 23 THINKS, HOW IS MR. BALWANI SUPPOSED TO KNOW ANYTHING WHEN HE 03:27PM NEVER INTERACTED WITH MR. WEBER AT ALL? 24 03:27PM GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 25 03:27PM

SAME WITH DR. CULLEN FROM SCHERING-PLOUGH. SHE HAD SOME 1 03:27PM THOUGHTS ABOUT THE THERANOS TECHNOLOGY. SHE NEVER VOICED THEM 2 03:27PM WITH MS. HOLMES. 3 03:27PM 4 SO OF COURSE MR. BALWANI DOESN'T KNOW THAT EITHER, WHAT 03:28PM DR. CULLEN THOUGHT OR SHANE WEBER THOUGHT. IT'S BASICALLY 03:28PM IRRELEVANT TO MR. BALWANI'S KNOWLEDGE AND INTENT BECAUSE HE 03:28PM NEVER HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT IT. 03:28PM BUT LET'S GO TO THE CORE OF THIS, WHICH IS THE 8 03:28PM 9 PHARMACEUTICAL REPORT LOGOS. 03:28PM 10 SO TWO PEOPLE, MR. WEBER FROM PFIZER, AND DR. CULLEN FROM 03:28PM SCHERING-PLOUGH, SAID THAT THEY DIDN'T AUTHORIZE THE LOGOS. 03:28PM 11 12 THERE WERE SOME CHANGES IN THE REPORT IN THE CASE OF 03:28PM 13 SCHERING-PLOUGH THAT DR. CULLEN SAYS SHE DIDN'T AUTHORIZE, AND 03:28PM 14 THAT THE GOVERNMENT PRESENTING THIS IS NOT ONLY SOMETHING THAT 03:28PM IS WRONG, BUT ALSO IT'S SOMETHING THAT MR. BALWANI IS 15 03:28PM 16 RESPONSIBLE FOR. 03:28PM LET'S TALK ABOUT WHAT MR. BALWANI KNOWS AND WHAT HE 17 03:28PM 18 DOESN'T KNOW. 03:28PM 03:28PM 19 SO, FIRST OF ALL, JUDGE DAVILA WILL GIVE YOU AN INSTRUCTION ABOUT THE DEFINITION OF KNOWINGLY, AND THE THING I 03:28PM 20 21 WANT TO HIGHLIGHT RIGHT NOW IS THAT LAST SENTENCE. TO FIND 03:28PM THAT MR. BALWANI ACTED KNOWINGLY, YOU MUST FIND THAT HE HIMSELF 22 03:28PM HAD KNOWLEDGE OF A FACT AT ISSUE. 23 03:29PM 24 SO WHETHER MS. HOLMES KNEW SOMETHING, I'M NOT SAYING SHE 03:29PM 25 DID OR DIDN'T, BUT IF MS. HOLMES KNOWS SOMETHING, THAT DOESN'T 03:29PM

MEAN MR. BALWANI KNOWS IT. YOU CAN'T TAKE MS. HOLMES'S 1 03:29PM KNOWLEDGE, IF THE GOVERNMENT HAS EVEN PROVEN THAT, AND SOMEHOW 2 03:29PM SAY THAT MR. BALWANI ALSO HAS THAT KNOWLEDGE. THAT'S WHAT THE 3 03:29PM 4 INSTRUCTION SAYS. 03:29PM LET'S GO BACK TO SOMETHING THAT I TALKED ABOUT YESTERDAY, 03:29PM 5 WHICH WAS GLAXOSMITHKLINE. SO THIS IS AN EMAIL THAT MS. HOLMES 6 03:29PM SENDS TO A PERSON AT GLAXOSMITHKLINE. SO SHE'S NOT TRYING TO 03:29PM HIDE ANYTHING FROM GLAXOSMITHKLINE, BECAUSE THAT'S THE PERSON, 8 03:29PM 9 THOMAS BREUER, WHO IS GETTING THE EMAIL. 03:29PM AND SHE ATTACHES THREE DOCUMENTS. THE SECOND IS A COPY OF 10 03:29PM THE VALIDATION REPORT FROM THE GLAXOSMITHKLINE STAFF WHO TESTED 03:29PM 11 12 THERANOS TECHNOLOGIES AND RESEARCH AT TRIANGLE PARK. 03:29PM 13 LET'S LOOK AT WHAT THOSE DOCUMENTS ARE, AND I'LL QUICKLY 03:29PM 14 GO THROUGH THESE BECAUSE I WENT THROUGH THEM YESTERDAY AS WELL. 03:30PM 15 GSK COMPLETED A COMPREHENSIVE VALIDATION OF THERANOS 03:30PM 16 SYSTEMS IN 2008. 03:30PM 17 SO THE GOVERNMENT HAS PRESENTED NOTHING ABOUT GSK. 03:30PM 18 THIS IS IN DECEMBER OF 2009. MR. BALWANI GETS THIS EMAIL. 03:30PM HE IS ON NOTICE THAT THERE'S A RELATIONSHIP WITH 19 03:30PM GLAXOSMITHKLINE, THAT THEY DID SOME ANALYSIS OF THERANOS 03:30PM 20 21 DEVICE, AND THEY COMPLETED A COMPREHENSIVE VALIDATION. 03:30PM 22 SO WHEN THE GOVERNMENT TURNS AROUND AND SAYS 03:30PM REPRESENTATIONS TO INVESTORS THAT THERE WAS NO COMPREHENSIVE 23 03:30PM 24 VALIDATION BY GSK AND OTHER PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES WAS FALSE, 03:30PM THIS IS WHAT HAPPENED. 25 03:30PM

FIRST OF ALL, MR. BALWANI IS BEING TOLD THAT GSK DID THIS 1 03:30PM WORK AND THERE'S NO EVIDENCE THAT HE THINKS ANYTHING OTHER THAN 2 03:30PM THAT. AND THE REPORT IS BEING SENT TO A GSK PERSON. SO NOT TO 3 03:30PM AN INVESTOR. IT'S BEING SENT RIGHT BACK TO GSK BY MS. HOLMES. 4 03:30PM LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE. 03:30PM THIS IS THE EXCERPTS FROM THE GSK METABOLIC STUDY. 03:30PM AND THEN I WON'T READ THIS AGAIN BECAUSE WE WENT THROUGH 03:31PM THIS YESTERDAY, BUT IF YOU LOOK AT THAT EXHIBIT, WHICH IS 8 03:31PM 15058, IT'S VERY COMPLIMENTARY OF THERANOS'S TECHNOLOGY. 9 THIS 03:31PM IS THE WORK THAT GSK DID. 10 03:31PM AGAIN, THIS IS SOMETHING THAT THE DEFENSE HAD TO SHOW YOU, 03:31PM 11 03:31PM 12 NOT -- THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T SHOW IT TO YOU. 13 THAT'S WHAT MR. BALWANI KNOWS. 03:31PM 14 AND THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T EVEN TALK ABOUT THE OTHER 03:31PM RELATIONSHIPS, LIKE CELGENE, CENTOCOR, AND ASTRA-ZENECA, BUT 15 03:31PM THIS IS IMPORTANT BECAUSE THIS IS WHAT MR. BALWANI UNDERSTANDS 16 03:31PM 17 ABOUT GLAXOSMITHKLINE, AND IT'S THE OPPOSITE OF SOME FRAUDULENT 03:31PM 18 KNOWLEDGE. THIS IS MR. BALWANI BEING TOLD THEY DID 03:31PM 03:31PM 19 COMPREHENSIVELY VALIDATE THE TECHNOLOGY. BUT HERE IS WHERE THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING OFF TRACK. 03:31PM 20 21 LET'S GO TO THE NEXT DOCUMENT. 03:31PM 22 SO THIS IS AN EMAIL FROM MS. HOLMES TO A PERSON NAMED 03:31PM BRUCE SHEPARD AT WAL-MART, NOT WALGREENS, BUT ANOTHER COMPANY 23 03:32PM WAL-MART, A BIG RETAILER. 24 03:32PM 25 AND IT SAYS "BRUCE, 03:32PM

GREAT TO TALK WITH YOU." 1 03:32PM AND THERE'S AN ATTACHMENT. AND IF YOU LOOK AT THE 2 03:32PM ATTACHMENT, IT'S THE SCHERING-PLOUGH REPORT. AND IT'S A 3 03:32PM 4 SCHERING-PLOUGH REPORT WITHOUT THE SCHERING-PLOUGH LOGO. 03:32PM JUST HAS THE THERANOS LOGO. 03:32PM SO THE GOVERNMENT SAYS, AH-HA, MR. BALWANI GOT THIS EMAIL 03:32PM COPIED TO HIM IN MARCH OF 2010 AND IT HAS A SCHERING-PLOUGH 03:32PM REPORT WITHOUT THE SCHERING-PLOUGH LOGO. SO RIGHT AWAY 8 03:32PM 9 MR. BALWANI HAS THAT DOCUMENT, RIGHT? 03:32PM AND THEN IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT DOCUMENT, ABOUT A MONTH 10 03:32PM LATER IN APRIL OF 2010, MS. HOLMES SENDS DR. ROSAN AND 03:32PM 11 12 ALEX JUNG FROM WALGREENS, WITH A COPY TO MR. BALWANI, THREE 03:32PM 03:32PM 13 REPORTS. ONE IS GSK, WHICH WE JUST LOOKED AT, ONE IS PFIZER, 14 AND THE OTHER ONE IS SCHERING-PLOUGH, AND IS SAYING, "DEAR JAY 03:33PM 15 AND ALEX, 03:33PM "AS PER OUR DISCUSSION, PLEASE FIND THREE INDEPENDENT DUE 16 03:33PM 17 DILIGENCE REPORTS." 03:33PM 18 SO MR. BALWANI GETS THAT, TOO, ABOUT A MONTH AFTER THE 03:33PM 03:33PM 19 WAL-MART EMAIL. 20 LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE. 03:33PM 21 AND YOU CAN SEE THAT THIS TIME THERE'S THAT REPORT WITH 03:33PM 22 THE GLAXOSMITHKLINE LOGO. 03:33PM AND IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, THERE'S THE PFIZER LOGO. 23 03:33PM 24 AND IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT PAGE, THERE'S THE 03:33PM 25 SCHERING-PLOUGH LOGO. 03:33PM

SO JUST COVERING GSK AND PFIZER FIRST. 1 03:33PM MR. BALWANI GETS THE EMAIL WITH THE GSK DOCUMENT WITH THE 2 03:33PM GSK LOGO, SO MR. BALWANI HAS EVERY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT THE 3 03:33PM 4 GSK IS TOTALLY LEGITIMATE, AND THERE'S NO EVIDENCE TO THE 03:33PM 03:33PM CONTRARY. WITH REGARD TO THE PFIZER, THERE'S NO EVIDENCE IN THIS 6 03:33PM CASE THAT MR. BALWANI HAS ANY KNOWLEDGE OF ANYTHING WRONG WITH 03:33PM THE PFIZER REPORT WHETHER IT'S PUTTING A PFIZER LOGO ON IT OR 8 03:33PM 9 ANYTHING ELSE. 03:34PM THERE'S NO INFORMATION THAT MR. BALWANI HAS EVER SEEN A 10 03:34PM VERSION OF A PFIZER REPORT WITHOUT THE LOGO. THERE'S NO 03:34PM 11 12 DISCUSSION ABOUT PUTTING ANY LOGOS ON IT. THERE'S NO 03:34PM 13 DISCUSSION OF ANY CHANGES MADE TO THE REPORT BY ANYBODY. 03:34PM 14 SO THERE'S JUST NO EVIDENCE IN THE CASE THAT MR. BALWANI 03:34PM KNOWS ANYTHING OTHER THAN WHAT IS BEING REPRESENTED, THAT THERE 15 03:34PM 16 IS COMPREHENSIVE VALIDATION BY NOT ONLY GSK BUT BY THESE OTHER 03:34PM 17 COMPANIES. 03:34PM 18 BUT LET'S TALK ABOUT SCHERING-PLOUGH IN PARTICULAR. 03:34PM 19 BECAUSE HERE'S THE GOVERNMENT'S THEORY. THIS IS ALL THEY'VE 03:34PM 03:34PM 20 GOT, OKAY? 21 THAT WHEN THE EMAIL WAS SENT FROM MS. HOLMES TO 03:34PM SCHERING-PLOUGH IN APRIL OF 2010, AND YOU CAN SEE THE DOCUMENT 22 03:34PM ON THE SCREEN THAT HAS THE SCHERING-PLOUGH LOGO, AND IF YOU 23 03:34PM LOOK DEEPER IN THE REPORT YOU CAN SEE THAT THERE ARE SOME 24 03:34PM 25 CHANGES MADE TO THE CONCLUSION SECTION COMPARED TO THE ONE THAT 03:34PM

1 03:34PM 2 03:34PM 3 03:34PM 4 03:35PM 03:35PM 03:35PM 03:35PM 8 03:35PM 9 03:35PM 10 03:35PM 03:35PM 11 12 03:35PM 13 03:35PM 14 03:35PM 15 03:35PM 16 03:35PM 17 03:35PM 03:35PM 18 19 03:35PM 03:35PM 20 21 03:36PM 22 03:36PM 23 03:36PM

24

25

03:36PM

03:36PM

WAS SENT TO WAL-MART ABOUT A MONTH EARLIER, THAT THE
GOVERNMENT'S THEORY IS THAT MR. BALWANI WAS SUPPOSED TO GET
THIS EMAIL WITH SCHERING-PLOUGH, YOU KNOW, BURIED ON PAGE 34 OF
THE EMAIL PACKAGE, AND MR. BALWANI WAS SUPPOSED TO SAY, HUH, I
SEE THIS REPORT WITH THE SCHERING-PLOUGH LOGO, LET ME GO BACK
TO THE EMAIL THAT I GOT A MONTH EARLIER AND COMPARE THAT, AND
IT DIDN'T HAVE A LOGO, AND THEN HE'S ALSO SUPPOSED TO LIKE DO
SOME KIND OF COMPARISON OF ALL OF THE TEXT TO FIGURE OUT IF
IT'S EXACTLY THE SAME, AND THEN CONCLUDE FROM THAT, OH, THERE
ARE SOME CHANGES IN THE REPORT, AND THERE'S A LOGO ON IT.

AND THEN MORE THAN THAT, THEN MR. BALWANI IS SUPPOSED TO CONCLUDE, WHEN PHARMA IS NOT EVEN HIS PROJECT, PHARMACEUTICAL, HE'S SUPPOSED TO CONCLUDE, OH, AND IT LOOKS LIKE MS. HOLMES MUST HAVE DONE THIS WITHOUT GETTING APPROVAL OF SCHERING-PLOUGH.

BUT HOW WOULD HE KNOW THAT? THERE'S NO EVIDENCE THAT

MR. BALWANI KNOWS ANYTHING. IT COULD JUST AS WELL BE THAT

MS. HOLMES GOT APPROVAL OR HAD SOME FURTHER CONVERSATIONS OR

OTHER PEOPLE AT THERANOS DID.

SO THERE'S NO EVIDENCE THAT MR. BALWANI KNOWS ANYTHING

ABOUT THE SCHERING-PLOUGH REPORT, HAVING A LOGO PUT ON IT THAT

WASN'T AUTHORIZED, OR HAVING CHANGES MADE TO IT THAT WASN'T

AUTHORIZED.

THERE'S NO EVIDENCE OF THAT IN THE CASE OF PFIZER, OR GSK, OR ANY OTHER PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY.

BUT THAT'S ALL THE GOVERNMENT HAS IS THAT MR. BALWANI IS 1 03:36PM SOMEHOW SUPPOSED TO HAVE DONE THIS COMPARISON. 2 03:36PM LET'S USE OUR COMMON SENSE HERE. IF YOU GET AN EMAIL AT 3 03:36PM 4 YOUR PLACE OF BUSINESS OR IN YOUR PERSONAL LIFE, AND YOU'VE GOT 03:36PM SOME SIMILAR EMAIL A MONTH EARLIER, ARE YOU, LIKE, DOING 03:36PM COMPARISONS AND PARSING EVERY WORD? WHY WOULD YOU DO THAT? 03:36PM WHY WOULD MR. BALWANI DO THAT? THERE'S NO REASON. THIS IS IN 03:36PM APRIL OF 2010. 8 03:36PM SO THAT'S THE GOVERNMENT'S SUM TOTAL OF THEIR THEORY ABOUT 9 03:36PM 10 WHY MR. BALWANI KNOWS, ACTUALLY KNOWS THAT THERE'S SOMETHING 03:36PM WRONG WITH THE SCHERING-PLOUGH REPORT, AND IT JUST DOESN'T FLY. 03:36PM 11 12 AND THAT IS NOT SUFFICIENT EVIDENCE BY ANY STRETCH OF THE 03:36PM 13 IMAGINATION TO CONVICT MR. BALWANI OF FEDERAL CRIMINAL OFFENSES 03:36PM 14 FOR DEFRAUDING INVESTORS. WHEN INVESTORS ARE TOLD THIS IS 03:37PM COMPREHENSIVE VALIDATION BY PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANIES OF THE 15 03:37PM 16 THERANOS TECHNOLOGY, HE HAS EVERY REASON TO BELIEVE THAT IS 03:37PM 17 TRUE, AND THERE'S REALLY NO EVIDENCE TO THE CONTRARY AT ALL IN 03:37PM THIS CASE. 03:37PM 18 19 AND THAT FLOWS INTO THE INSTRUCTION THAT JUDGE DAVILA WILL 03:37PM GIVE YOU: GOOD FAITH. SO THE MISREPRESENTATION AT ISSUE HERE, 03:37PM 20 21 THE GOVERNMENT CLAIMS IS A MISREPRESENTATION, IS PHARMACEUTICAL 03:37PM COMPANIES WHO COMPREHENSIVELY VALIDATED. 22 03:37PM THE QUESTION HERE IS, DID MR. BALWANI HAVE A GOOD FAITH 23 03:37PM 24 BELIEF IN THE TRUTH OF THOSE MISREPRESENTATIONS? 03:37PM 25 AND THE EVIDENCE HERE SHOWS THAT YES, HE DID. 03:37PM

1 03:37PM 2 03:37PM 3 03:37PM 4 03:37PM 03:37PM 03:38PM 03:38PM 8 03:38PM 9 03:38PM 10 03:38PM 03:38PM 11 12 03:38PM 13 03:38PM 14 03:38PM 15 03:38PM 16 03:38PM 17 03:38PM 18 03:38PM 19 03:38PM 03:38PM 20 21 03:38PM 22 03:38PM 23 03:39PM 24 03:39PM 25 03:39PM

I WANT TO SWITCH GEARS HERE.

BY THE WAY, IF ANYONE NEEDS A BREAK, YOU CAN RAISE YOUR HAND. WE CAN TAKE A BRIEF BREAK. I HAVE JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE. OKAY. WE'LL JUST CONTINUE.

I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE MILITARY RELATIONSHIPS THAT
THERANOS HAD, BECAUSE THERE'S BEEN SOME TALK IN THIS CASE ABOUT
SOME MISREPRESENTATIONS WHERE SUPPOSEDLY INVESTORS WERE TOLD
THAT THERANOS TECHNOLOGY WAS ACTUALLY IN USE WITH THE MILITARY
TREATING SOLDIERS, FLYING ON MEDEVAC HELICOPTERS, THINGS LIKE
THAT.

LET ME START OUT BY JUST TALKING ABOUT THAT ISSUE. AND,
YOU KNOW, THIS CAME UP WITH A NUMBER OF INVESTORS, BUT AS I'VE
SAID A FEW TIMES NOW, MR. TOLBERT TESTIFIED, THERE WAS THIS
TAPE RECORDING, AND THAT WAS OUR CHANCE TO HEAR EXACTLY WHAT
MS. HOLMES WAS SAYING, IF ANYTHING, ABOUT THE RELATIONSHIP WITH
THE MILITARY AND ANY USE OF THERANOS TECHNOLOGY ON MEDEVAC
HELICOPTERS.

AND WE'LL SEE THIS TESTIMONY IN DETAIL WHEN I TALK ABOUT MR. TOLBERT, BUT THE GOVERNMENT COULD HAVE PLAYED THE TAPE AND WE WOULD HAVE KNOWN EXACTLY WHAT HAPPENED, INSTEAD OF RELYING ON MEMORIES THAT ARE NINE YEARS DISTANT IN SOME CASES.

BUT I WANT TO TALK ABOUT WHAT THERANOS ACTUALLY HAD IN TERMS OF RELATIONSHIPS WITH THE MILITARY.

AND YOU WILL SEE THAT THIS IS LIKE A TELEPHONE GAME. I
DON'T KNOW IF YOU REMEMBER THE GAME CHILDREN PLAY WHERE YOU

1 03:39PM 2 03:39PM 3 03:39PM 4 03:39PM 03:39PM 5 03:39PM 03:39PM 8 03:39PM 9 03:39PM 10 03:39PM 03:39PM 11 12 03:39PM 13 03:39PM 14 03:39PM 15 03:39PM 16 03:39PM 17 03:40PM 18 03:40PM 19 03:40PM 03:40PM 20 21 03:40PM 22 03:40PM 23 03:40PM 24 03:40PM 25 03:40PM

PASS A MESSAGE ACROSS THE LINE OF PEOPLE AND BY THE END OF THE LINE THE MESSAGE IS A LITTLE GARBLED BECAUSE IT'S GONE THROUGH THE LINE OF TEN PEOPLE OR SOMETHING.

AND THIS IS LIKE THAT KIND OF TELEPHONE GAME BUT OVER A
PERIOD OF YEARS WHERE OVER TIME YOU CAN SEE WHAT HAPPENED HERE,
INVESTORS TOOK THE INFORMATION THAT DID EXIST AND MORPHED IT
INTO, OH, I WAS REALLY TOLD THAT THEY WERE FLYING ON MEDEVAC
HELICOPTERS.

THERE'S A STRONG RELATIONSHIP WITH THE MILITARY THAT

EXISTED, AND I'M GOING TO GO THROUGH THAT, BUT THE IDERE THAT

MR. BALWANI TOLD PEOPLE THAT IT WAS ACTUALLY FLYING AND

TREATING SOLDIERS IS PREPOSTEROUS.

SO LET'S TALK ABOUT WHAT EXISTED. SO THIS IS THE MILITARY RELATIONSHIP THAT THERANOS HAD. IT HAD A RELATIONSHIP WITH THE U.S. ARMY BURN CENTER DATED IN 2009; IT HAD A RELATIONSHIP WITH THE SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND OF THE U.S. ARMY; THE U.S. AFRICA COMMAND; AND THE U.S. CENTRAL COMMAND, AND I WANT TO GO THROUGH EACH OF THOSE.

MR. EDLIN TESTIFIED THAT IT WAS MS. HOLMES WHO HAD THIS
RELATIONSHIP. SO PHARMACEUTICAL AND MILITARY WERE MS. HOLMES'S
RESPONSIBILITIES. YOU MAY REMEMBER THAT THESE RELATIONSHIPS
STARTED BEFORE MR. BALWANI GOT TO THERANOS SO IT MADE SENSE
THAT MS. HOLMES HAD THE RELATIONSHIPS WITH PHARMA AND THE
MILITARY. SO THAT'S WHAT MR. EDLIN KNEW, IT WAS MS. HOLMES'S
RELATIONSHIPS.

BUT HERE'S THE ACTUAL RELATIONSHIP. SO HERE'S THE BURN 1 03:40PM STUDY. SO IN JULY OF 2013, THIS EMAIL, WHICH IS EXHIBIT 20550, 2 03:40PM THIS IS MR. EDLIN SENDING AN EMAIL ABOUT ALL OF THE EDISON 3 03:40PM 4 READERS THAT ARE IN THE FIELD. 03:40PM AND HE SAYS, THERE WERE 40 READERS IN THE FIELD FOR THE 03:40PM AMERICAN BURN ASSOCIATION TRIAL AT EIGHT SITES. 03:41PM THIS IS THE SAME EMAIL WHERE HE ALSO TALKED ABOUT ONE WAS 03:41PM AT WALGREENS DURING THIS PERIOD. BUT THERE WERE 40. 8 03:41PM 9 SO THERANOS IS PUTTING THEIR TECHNOLOGY OUT THERE IN THE 03:41PM FIELD AT EIGHT DIFFERENT SITES FOR USE IN THIS BURN STUDY. 10 03:41PM THAT'S WHAT THIS EMAIL SAYS. 03:41PM 11 12 AND THE BURN STUDY CONDUCTED OVER SEVERAL YEARS RESULTED 03:41PM 03:41PM 13 IN A PUBLISHED REPORT AND THAT'S THE TITLE OF IT, HIGH-VOLUME 14 HEMOFILTRATION IN ADULT BURN PATIENTS WITH SEPTIC SHOCK AND 03:41PM ACUTE KIDNEY INJURY; A MULTICENTER RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIAL. 15 03:41PM SO THE THERANOS TECHNOLOGY, THE EDISON WAS USED IN A STUDY 16 03:41PM 17 OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS, AND THE INVESTIGATORS WHO WERE 03:41PM 18 CONDUCTING THE STUDY WERE ABLE TO PUBLISH A PEER REVIEWED STUDY 03:41PM 19 AND USED THE THERANOS DEVICE TO MONITOR THE PATIENTS IN THE 03:41PM BURN STUDY. 03:41PM 20 21 SO IF SOMEONE WANTED TO SAY THAT, HEY, THE TECHNOLOGY IS 03:41PM NOT WORKING, WE CAN'T USE THIS, THEY CERTAINLY COULD HAVE SAID 22 03:42PM 23 THAT. 03:42PM INSTEAD, THEY COMPLETED THE STUDY AND PUBLISHED A PEER 24 03:42PM REVIEWED PAPER AND THAT CAME OUT IN 2017. 25 03:42PM

IN THE BURN STUDY, HERE ARE THE ACTUAL CYTOKINES. SO 1 03:42PM RIGHT AWAY YOU CAN SEE THESE PARTICULAR MARKERS WERE MEASURED 2 03:42PM BY A SANDWICH ELISA METHOD ON THE THERANOS 3.0 DEVICE. 3 03:42PM 4 SO THE GOVERNMENT HAS TRIED TO CLAIM IN THIS CASE, WELL, 03:42PM IT COULD ONLY DO 12 TESTS. 03:42PM WELL, THAT'S NOT TRUE. IT COULD ADAPT THE 3.0 TO DO OTHER 03:42PM TESTS THAT WERE RELEVANT TO THE BURN STUDY THAT YOU SEE ON THE 03:42PM SCREEN RIGHT HERE (INDICATING). 8 03:42PM 9 AND THEN THE NEXT PAGE, THAT'S THE CONCLUSION, THE HVHF 03:42PM TREATMENT WAS EFFECTIVE IN REVERSING SHOCK AND IMPROVING ORGAN 10 03:42PM FUNCTION IN CRITICALLY ILL BURN PATIENTS WITH SEPTIC SHOCK AND 03:42PM 11 12 AKI AND APPEARS SAFE. 03:42PM 13 SO IN ORDER TO GET TO THAT POINT OF CONCLUDING THAT THAT 03:43PM 14 TREATMENT WAS EFFECTIVE, THEY USED THE THERANOS TECHNOLOGY TO 03:43PM MONITOR THOSE PATIENTS OVER A PERIOD OF YEARS, AND, AGAIN, 15 03:43PM 16 RESULTED IN THIS PEER REVIEWED PUBLICATION. 03:43PM LET'S SWITCH TO AFRICOM. THAT'S THE U.S. AFRICAN COMMAND. 17 03:43PM SO MR. EDLIN HAD, YOU KNOW, DEEP INVOLVEMENT IN THESE 03:43PM 18 03:43PM 19 RELATIONSHIPS. AND HE SAYS, THE FIRST STEP OF THAT RELATIONSHIP WITH AFRICOM WAS JUST TO SEE HOW THE DEVICE 03:43PM 20 21 PERFORMED IN CONDITIONS IN AFRICA? 03:43PM 22 HE SAID RIGHT. 03:43PM BECAUSE THERE ARE EXTREME TEMPERATURES IN AFRICA? 23 03:43PM 24 RIGHT. 03:43PM AND AFRICOM HAD TO MAKE SURE THE DEVICE COULD WITHSTAND 25 03:43PM

THOSE CONDITIONS? 1 03:43PM MR. EDLIN SAID CORRECT. 2 03:43PM SO THAT'S THE FIRST STEP. YOU'VE GOT TO MAKE SURE IT 3 03:43PM 4 COULD WITHSTAND CERTAIN TEMPERATURES THAT EXIST IN OTHER 03:43PM COUNTRIES, IN AFRICA. 03:43PM AND THEN WHAT DID THERANOS ACTUALLY DO? 03:43PM SO IN JUNE OF 2012, DR. YOUNG REPORTS THAT THEY PERFORMED 03:43PM 48 HOURS OF CONTINUAL TESTING OF THE READER AT 110 DEGREES 8 03:44PM 9 FAHRENHEIT THAT WAS COMPLETED SUCCESSFULLY TONIGHT. WE RAN 100 03:44PM 10 PROTOCOLS SEQUENTIALLY. 03:44PM SO DR. YOUNG FELT GOOD ABOUT RELIABILITY. 03:44PM 11 12 AND THEN HE SAYS AFTER REMOVING THE DEVICE FROM THE 03:44PM 13 THERMAL TESTING CHAMBER, THE AFRICOM PROTOCOL WAS TESTED 03:44PM 14 SUCCESSFULLY, SO IT IS GOOD TO GO FOR THE DEMO TOMORROW. AND 03:44PM THEN HE TALK TALKS ABOUT A PARTICULAR READER. 15 03:44PM THEY ARE ACTUALLY TESTING THE DEVICE BECAUSE THEY WANT TO 16 03:44PM 17 DO WORK WITH AFRICOM. 03:44PM 18 AND YOU MIGHT REMEMBER, BY THE WAY -- JUST GO BACK TO THAT 03:44PM 03:44PM 19 SLIDE FOR A MINUTE, MR. ALLEN, IF YOU CAN. THANK YOU. 20 YOU MIGHT REMEMBER, MR. GROSSMAN TESTIFIED ABOUT HAVING 03:44PM 21 SOME INFORMATION ABOUT THERANOS TESTING THE DEVICE IN EXTREME 03:44PM 22 TEMPERATURES. 03:44PM WELL, THEY DID TEST IT AND OVER THE COURSE OF MANY YEARS, 23 03:44PM YOU KNOW, COULD MR. GROSSMAN HAVE MORPHED, YOU KNOW, THIS TYPE 24 03:45PM OF TESTING WITH TESTING IN THE FIELD? YOU KNOW, SURE. YOU 25 03:45PM

1 03:45PM 2 03:45PM 3 03:45PM 4 03:45PM 03:45PM 03:45PM 03:45PM 8 03:45PM 9 03:45PM 10 03:45PM 03:45PM 11 12 03:45PM 13 03:46PM 14 03:46PM 15 03:46PM 16 03:46PM 17 03:46PM 18 03:46PM 03:46PM 19 20 03:46PM 21 03:46PM 22 03:46PM 23 03:46PM 24 03:46PM 25

03:46PM

KNOW, MEMORIES FADE AND GET DIFFERENT AFTER MANY YEARS, BUT THERANOS DID ACTUALLY TEST THE DEVICE.

WHAT HAPPENED IN AFRICA?

SO MR. EDLIN WAS DEALING WITH LIEUTENANT COLONEL MELISSA GIVENS, AND YOU CAN SEE HERE THAT MR. EDLIN ASKED SOME QUESTIONS ON THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE. HE SAID HOW WOULD WILL THE DEVICE BE TRANSPORTED IN THE FIELD? IE, DO YOU PLAN ON KEEPING THE DEVICE IN ITS PACKAGING IN BETWEEN USE? WHAT KIND OF CONDITIONS MIGHT BE ON THE MEDEVAC FROM GERMANY TO UGANDA?

AND DR. GIVENS, OR LIEUTENANT COLONEL GIVENS RESPONDED, "THE EQUIPMENT AND CARTRIDGES WILL BE FLOWN COMMERCIAL AIR WITH ME ON THE 23RD AND I WILL FLY BACK TO GERMANY ON THE 2ND OF JULY (I CAN'T GIVE FURTHER DETAIL ON TRAVEL). THEY WILL BE STORED IN A HOTEL ROOM WITH AC, BUT TAKEN OUT TO THE TRAINING SITE DURING THE DAY. THE TRAINING SITE HAS AN OPEN AIR BUILDING WITH NO AC AND THE TEMPERATURE WILL BE BETWEEN 100-110 DEGREES FAHRENHEIT. I WILL BE TRANSPORTING/STORING ALL IN A HARDENED PELICAN CASE -- THE EQUIVALENT OF A HARD SUITCASE. THIS IS WHAT WE USUALLY USE WHEN WE DEPLOY FOR MOST EQUIPMENT."

AND THEN SHE GOES ON, "WHEN WE FLY THE EQUIPMENT FROM UGANDA IT WILL BE ON A NON-PRESSURIZED AIR CRAFT AT ALTITUDES LESS THAN 10,000 FEET. TEMPERATURES WILL RANGE FROM 100 DEGREES FAHRENHEIT WITH HIGH HUMIDITY TO 60 DEGREES IN FLIGHT."

SO AFRICAN IS TESTING THE DEVICE IN TERMS OF WHETHER IT

CAN WITHSTAND THESE CONDITIONS, INCLUDING ON A HELICOPTER IN 1 03:46PM AFRICA. THAT'S EXHIBIT 1113. 2 03:46PM SO WHAT HAPPENED AFTER THAT TESTING? LIEUTENANT COLONEL 3 03:46PM 4 GIVENS, SHE REPORTS BACK TO MR. EDLIN, ELIZABETH HOLMES, AND 03:47PM CHRISTIAN HOLMES. MR. BALWANI WAS NOT ON THIS EMAIL BECAUSE 03:47PM THIS WAS A RELATIONSHIP THAT MS. HOLMES HAD. 03:47PM BUT IT SAYS, "THERANOS TEAM. 03:47PM "I MADE IT BACK FROM 2 TRIPS TO AFRICA AND MANAGED TO WORK 8 03:47PM THROUGH ALL OF THE CASES IN THE PROTOCOL." 9 03:47PM LIEUTENANT COLONEL GIVENS REPORTS, "THE MACHINE TRAVELLED 10 03:47PM WELL AND FUNCTIONED WELL. MY ONLY COMPLAINT IS THE 03:47PM 11 12 TOUCHSCREEN -- VERY FRUSTRATING." 03:47PM 13 SHE SAID "I WILL BE PREPARING A FULL REPORT AND HAVE 03:47PM 14 SEVERAL PICTURES OF THE MACHINE BEING USED IN CAMEROON, UGANDA 03:47PM AND SOUTH SUDAN." 15 03:47PM 16 SHE GOES ON. "BECAUSE THE MACHINE SEEMED TO FUNCTION WELL 03:47PM 17 IN THE ENVIRONMENT, I AM GOING TO WRITE A PREPROPOSAL TO SUBMIT 03:47PM TO THE USSOCOM BISC IN HOPES OF GAINING FUNDING FOR A FULL 03:47PM 18 19 PROPOSAL THROUGH THE USSOCOM BAA FOR EXTRAMURAL BIOMEDICAL 03:47PM RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. 03:48PM 20 21 "MY GOAL WILL BE TO DEPLOY 3-5 MACHINES IN AFRICA TO USE 03:48PM REAL TIME AT LOCATIONS WHERE WE HAVE PERSISTENT PRESENCE AND 22 03:48PM COLLECT REAL LABORATORY DATA AND COMPARE IT TO THE CURRENT 23 03:48PM STANDARD OF CARE." 24 03:48PM SO THAT WOULD BE THE NEXT STEP THAT LIEUTENANT COLONEL 25 03:48PM

GIVENS AND HER TEAM WOULD TAKE AFTER THIS INITIAL TEST OF 1 03:48PM WHETHER THE DEVICE COULD WITHSTAND THE CONDITIONS. 2 03:48PM AND DOCTOR -- I'M SORRY, MR. EDLIN RESPONDS "WE ARE VERY 3 03:48PM 4 HAPPY TO HEAR THE TRIP WENT WELL AND THAT THERE WERE NO ISSUES 03:48PM WITH THE TRAVEL AND THE FUNCTION MUCH THE DEVICE. 03:48PM 5 "PLEASE NOTE THAT WE HAVE DEVELOPED A FAMILY OF NEXT 6 03:48PM GENERATION SYSTEMS WHICH INCLUDE A MUCH MORE DYNAMIC AND 03:48PM SENSITIVE TOUCHSCREEN." 8 03:48PM 9 SO THERANOS TOOK THE INFORMATION TO HEART AND WORKED ON 03:48PM THIS BECAUSE THEY WANT TO HAVE A RELATIONSHIP WITH THE 10 03:49PM 03:49PM 11 MILITARY. 12 LET'S TALK ABOUT THE SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND. 03:49PM 13 SO WHERE DID THIS CONCEPT OF USING A MEDEVAC -- OR THE 03:49PM 14 DEVICE ON A MEDEVAC HELICOPTER FOR THE MILITARY COME FROM? 03:49PM THIS IS THE PROJECT SCOPE WITH THE SPECIAL OPERATIONS 15 03:49PM 16 COMMAND. 03:49PM 17 MILITARY APPLICATIONS. 03:49PM 18 "MEDEVAC: THE ABILITY TO TEST AND TRIAGE WOUNDED SOLDIERS 03:49PM 03:49PM 19 AT THE TIME OF IMPACT AND DURING EVACUATION (E.G., IN A HELICOPTER) AND AVOID DELAYED CARE DUE TO UNAVAILABLE MEDICAL 03:49PM 20 21 INFORMATION WHILE THE SOLDIER IS IN TRANSIT." 03:49PM SO THAT WAS THE PROJECT SCOPE THAT THERANOS WANTED TO DO 22 03:49PM WITH THE SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND. 23 03:49PM AND JUST LIKE IN THE CASE OF THE BURN STUDY, THERANOS 24 03:50PM 25 SHIPPED THE DEVICES, AND JUST LIKE IN THE AFRICOM COMMAND, 03:50PM

THERANOS SHIPPED THE DEVICES TO SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND, AND 1 03:50PM THAT'S WHAT MR. EDLIN CONFIRMED. 2 03:50PM SO SOCOM HAD THE DEVICES IN THEIR POSSESSION? 3 03:50PM 4 AND HE SAID CORRECT. 03:50PM SO LET'S TALK ABOUT THE CENTRAL COMMAND. 03:50PM SO WHERE DID THE CONCEPT OF AFGHANISTAN COME FROM? 03:50PM THE GOAL OF THE RELATIONSHIP WITH CENTCOM WHICH IS THE 03:50PM PART OF THE MILITARY THAT DEALS WITH THE MIDDLE EAST WAS TO 8 03:50PM 9 DEPLOY THERANOS DEVICES TO AFGHANISTAN, AND THE ANSWER IS YES. 03:50PM HERE'S THE EMAIL FROM LIEUTENANT MAJOR CHRISTINE MURPHY. 10 03:50PM SHE SAYS "FOR YOUR REFERENCE, PLEASE FIND ATTACHED THE APPROVED 03:51PM 11 12 VERSION OF THE PROTOCOL." 03:51PM 03:51PM 13 AND IF WE LOOK AT THAT ON THE NEXT PAGE, THE STUDY 14 FACILITIES ARE GOING TO BE THE COMBINED JOINT THEATER HOSPITAL, 03:51PM BAGRAM AIRFORCE BASE, AFGHANISTAN. 15 03:51PM AND THEN THEY BRIEFLY DESCRIBE THE FACILITY. IT'S A 16 03:51PM 17 ROLE 3 MILITARY TREATMENT FACILITY. TESTING WILL BE PERFORMED 03:51PM 18 IN THE CLINICAL LABORATORY PORTION OF THE FACILITY. 03:51PM 19 SO THAT'S THE PROJECT THAT IS BEING DISCUSSED WITH THE 03:51PM 03:51PM 20 CENTRAL COMMAND. 21 LET'S GO TO THE NEXT PAGE. 03:51PM 22 THE PLAN HERE -- LOE STANDS FOR LIMITED OBJECTIVE 03:51 PM EXPERIMENT, IT'S A MILITARY TERM. "THIS LOE WILL DOCUMENT THE 23 03:51PM 24 FUNCTIONALITY OF THE THERANOS DEVICE IN A FIELD ENVIRONMENT 03:51PM 25 AFTER DEPLOYMENT AND TRANSPORT FROM THE UNITED STATES. THE LOE 03:51PM

WILL ALSO DETERMINE THE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY CAPABILITY OF 1 03:51PM THE THERANOS DEVICE WITH PRE-EXISTING DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 2 03:52PM NETWORK COMMUNICATIONS HARDWARE AND NETWORK SECURITY 3 03:52PM 4 CONFIGURATIONS." 03:52PM SO THIS IS WHAT THE MILITARY AND THERANOS ARE AGREEING 03:52PM THEY'RE GOING TO DO. 03:52PM AND THEN MR. EDLIN ACTUALLY TRAVELLED TO A CENTCOM 03:52PM 8 MILITARY BASE IN TAMPA, FLORIDA, MACDILL AIRFORCE BASE, IN 03:52PM 9 SEPTEMBER OF 2012. 03:52PM AND THEN THERE WAS SOME SECURITY TESTS OF THE DEVICE AND 10 03:52PM 03:52PM 11 IN RESPONSE TO INPUT FROM THE MILITARY, THERANOS ACTUALLY 12 STARTED MODIFYING THE DEVICE TO MAKE IT SMALLER AND LIGHTER AND 03:52PM 13 TRYING TO FULFILL THOSE STANDARDS. 03:52PM 14 SO THERANOS IS DOING REAL WORK WITH THE SCIENTIFIC TEAM ON 03:52PM PREPARING THE DEVICES FOR THE MILITARY. 15 03:52PM SO WE SAW A MINUTE AGO ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAD TO BE 16 03:52PM 17 SOLVED WAS MILITARY AND PARTICULAR NETWORK SECURITY CONCERNS 03:52PM THAT ARE UNDERSTANDABLE FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE WITH 03:52PM 18 19 COMPUTERS. 03:53PM SO IF WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, THIS IS MR. BALWANI'S ROLE 03:53PM 20 21 IN THIS. IT WASN'T HIS RELATIONSHIP BUT AS YOU HEARD HE HAS A 03:53PM BACKGROUND IN SOFTWARE AND HE WAS INVOLVED IN THAT TYPE OF 22 03:53PM TECHNOLOGY AT THERANOS. 23 03:53PM 24 SO WHAT HAPPENED? MR. BAINIWAL WRITES, DAN, HOW MANY 4S 03:53PM ARE WE DEPLOYING TO AFGHANISTAN? 25 03:53PM

AND MR. EDLIN RESPONDS, WE ARE DEPLOYING 2 BUT MAY ALSO 1 03:53PM SEND A BACKUP. I'LL CONFIRM THIS. 2 03:53PM AND THEN MR. EDLIN WRITES TO SUNNY BALWANI, SHOULD WE PLAN 3 03:53PM 4 ON SENDING A THIRD DEVICE TO BAGRAM, THAT'S THE AIRFORCE BASE 03:53PM IN AFGHANISTAN, AS A BACK UP? SO THAT'S WHAT MR. BALWANI IS 03:53PM BEING ASKED IN FEBRUARY OF 2013. 03:53PM SO THEN IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, MR. BALWANI SAYS ON 03:53PM FEBRUARY 15TH, 2013, "CAN YOU ASK THEM THE FOLLOWING OF THE 8 03:53PM 9 TEAM IN AFGHANISTAN: 03:53PM "WHAT IS YOUR NETWORK CONFIGURATION?" 10 03:53PM HE'S ASKING QUESTIONS ABOUT HOW THE SECURITY FOR THE 03:54PM 11 12 NETWORK IS GOING TO WORK FOR A DEVICE. 03:54PM 13 AND AS YOU'VE HEARD, THE THERANOS DEVICE NEEDS 03:54PM 14 CONNECTIVITY. IT'S TESTING BLOOD SAMPLES, BUT IT'S GOT TO 03:54PM CONNECT TO THE CLOUD TO COMMUNICATE WITH THERANOS COMPUTERS AND 15 03:54PM 16 ANALYZE BLOOD TESTS AND GIVE RESULTS. 03:54PM SO THEY'RE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT HOW THEY'RE GOING TO SOLVE 17 03:54PM 18 THIS I.T. PROBLEM AND MR. BALWANI IS ASKING THIS QUESTION AND 03:54PM 19 HE'S ASKING A SERIES OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT SUBJECT. 03:54PM MR. BALWANI WRITES ON FEBRUARY 8TH, "WE WILL NEED TO 03:54PM 20 21 DEPLOY 4S IN AFGHANISTAN AT THE END OF THE MONTH AND NEED TO 03:54PM ABSOLUTE MAKE SURE THAT THE NETWORK UTILITY IN THE DEVICE WORKS 22 03:54PM FLAWLESSLY. BASICALLY, IN THE ADMIN MUST BE ABLE TO CONNECT TO 23 03:54PM WI-FI NETWORK AND ALSO CHANGE ANY OR ALL ETHERNET NETWORK 24 03:54PM 25 SETTINGS." 03:54PM

SO MR. BALWANI WANTS TO MAKE SURE IT'S WORKING PROPERLY 1 03:54PM AND THE NETWORKING IS CORRECT. 2 03:55PM IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 3 03:55PM HE WRITES TO ANTTI KORHONEN, "CAN YOU CREATE A SMALL 4 03:55PM NETWORK IN A SMALL ROOM DOWNSTAIRS (LIKE AN I.T. LAB) WHERE WE 03:55PM 5 6 HAVE A ROUTER THAT CREATES AN ETHERNET NETWORK BUT BLOCKS ANY 03:55PM DEVICE FROM CONNECTING TO THE INTERNET USING DIFFERENT 03:55PM NETWORKING POLICIES AND ONLY LEGITIMATE DEVICE THAT CONNECT TO 8 03:55PM 9 THE ETHERNET ARE ABLE TO CONNECT TO THE INTERNET. WE SHOULD 03:55PM KEEP THIS ROOM TO SIMULATE ALL DIFFERENT CONNECTIVITY SCENARIOS 03:55PM 10 WE WILL SEE IN AFGHANISTAN." 03:55PM 11 12 SO MR. BALWANI WANTS TO SET UP A LITTLE LAB TO MAKE SURE 03:55PM 13 THAT THEY CAN TEST THE CONNECTIVITY AND MAKE SURE IT WILL 03:55PM 14 FUNCTION CORRECTLY IN AFGHANISTAN. 03:55PM SO THAT'S GOING ALONG. THAT'S IN FEBRUARY OF 2013. 15 AND 03:55PM THE PLAN IS TO SEND THE DEVICE TO AFGHANISTAN. 16 03:56PM BUT THEY RUN INTO A ROADBLOCK YOU TYPICALLY SEE IN 17 03:56PM GOVERNMENT AND THAT'S THE FUNDING WAS EXPIRING. 03:56PM 18 19 SO THIS IS ABOUT SEQUESTRATION, AND THAT'S A FANCY WORD 03:56PM FOR GOVERNMENT BUDGET PROBLEMS BASICALLY. 03:56PM 20 21 SO IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT PAGE. 03:56PM THIS IS ON JULY 24TH AND THIS IS FROM MARTIN DRAKE, WHO IS 22 03:56PM A CIVILIAN FROM THE U.S. AIRFORCE U.S. CENTRAL COMMAND. HE 23 03:56PM SAYS "FUNDING IS EXPIRING AND PERSONNEL ARE BEING RE-DIRECTED 24 03:56PM 25 TO OTHER ONGOING PROJECTS." 03:56PM

IF YOU GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 1 03:56PM THIS IS ON FEBRUARY 27TH, 2013, SO AS EARLY AS THAT. 2 03:56PM SO REMEMBER, IN FEBRUARY OF 2013 THEY WERE TESTING THE 3 03:56PM DEVICES THAT THEY WERE SHIPPING TO AFGHANISTAN. THEY WERE 4 03:56PM GETTING READY TO SHIP THEM. MR. BALWANI WAS WORKING ON THE 03:57PM 5 NETWORKING PIECE WITH OTHER PEOPLE. 03:57PM THEN ON FEBRUARY 27TH, AT THE END OF THAT MONTH, 03:57PM JAMES SOMMER WHO IS A CIVILIAN EMPLOYEE WITH U.S. CENTRAL 8 03:57PM COMMAND SAYS TO MR. EDLIN, THINGS AT THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 9 03:57PM ARE REALLY GETTING MESSED UP WITH THE UPCOMING SEQUESTRATION. 10 03:57PM 03:57PM 11 THIS WILL AFFECT HOW WE DO THE UPCOMING LOE, LIMITED OBJECTIVE 12 EXPERIMENT. 03:57PM 13 AND THEN MR. EDLIN RESPONDS AND HE SAYS THAT HE HAD SENT 03:57PM 14 AN UPDATE TO MAJOR MURPHY EARLIER THIS MORNING AND HE PASTED 03:57PM THAT IN RESPONSE TO MR. SOMMER. 15 03:57PM 16 HE SAYS "AS PER OUR PREVIOUS DISCUSSIONS, THE 4S DEVICES 03:57PM 17 WE ARE SHIPPING HAVE BEEN SPECIALLY BUILT FOR THE PURPOSES OF 03:57PM OUR LOE. IN DOING SO WE HAVE HAD TO REVALIDATE AND RETEST 03:57PM 18 19 EVERY ASPECT OF THE DEVICE CONFIGURATION TO ENSURE THAT THESE 03:57PM SYSTEMS MEET OUR STANDARDS AND EXPECTATIONS. WE HAVE 03:57PM 20 21 SIGNIFICANTLY ACCELERATED THERANOS'S PREVIOUSLY PLANNED RELEASE 03:58PM OF 4S IN ORDER TO MEET OUR OBJECTIVES FOR THIS PROGRAM. 22 03:58PM FURTHER, WE HAVE ADDED ADDITIONAL FEATURES," AND IT GOES ON. 23 03:58PM THEY WANT TO MAKE SURE IT PERFORMS CORRECTLY IN THE THEATER. 24 03:58PM SO MR. EDLIN IS TALKING ABOUT ALL OF THE WORK THAT 25 03:58PM

THERANOS DID, AND THE MILITARY IS TALKING ABOUT BUDGET 1 03:58PM PROBLEMS. SO IT GOES WITH THE GOVERNMENT. 2 03:58PM SO WHAT HAPPENED? THERANOS HAD TO TURN TO OTHER MATTERS. 3 03:58PM 4 MR. EDLIN CONFIRMED THAT HE WAS DOING EVERYTHING HE COULD DO TO 03:58PM MAKE SURE THE PROJECTS WERE GOING TO SUCCEED. AND THEN HE'S 03:58PM 5 ASKED, AND RESOURCES DID NEED TO BE ALLOCATED? 03:58PM HE SAYS YES, THERANOS COULDN'T DO EVERYTHING ALL AT ONCE. 03:58PM AND THERANOS HAD A CONTRACT WITH WALGREENS? 8 03:58PM 9 RIGHT. 03:58PM AND IT HAD TO LIVE UP TO THAT CONTRACT? 10 03:58PM 03:58PM 11 SO THAT WAS ANOTHER EXTENSIVE LABOR INTENSIVE RETAIL 12 ROLLOUT? 03:58PM 13 AND HE SAYS YES. 03:59PM 14 SO THERANOS HAD NOT UNLIMITED RESOURCES EITHER. THE 03:59PM MILITARY WAS HAVING BUDGET PROBLEMS. THEY WERE WORKING ON THIS 15 03:59PM REALLY HARD AND DEVELOPING THE TECHNOLOGY AND MAKING 16 03:59PM 17 IMPROVEMENTS. THEY WERE GETTING READY TO SHIP THESE TO BAGRAM 03:59PM 18 AIRFORCE BASE IN AFGHANISTAN, AND THEN THE MILITARY SAID WE 03:59PM 19 HAVE BUDGET PROBLEMS AND THEN THERANOS HAD TO TURN TO THE 03:59PM 20 WALGREENS LAUNCH. 03:59PM 21 SO THAT'S THE STORY OF THE MILITARY RELATIONSHIPS, AND 03:59PM 22 IT'S NOT WHAT THE GOVERNMENT WOULD HAVE YOU BELIEVE, THAT 03:59PM THERE'S BASICALLY NOTHING GOING ON AND THAT ANYTHING ABOUT THE 23 03:59PM MILITARY REPRESENTED TO INVESTORS IS FALSE. 24 03:59PM IT IS TRUE THAT THERANOS NEVER HAD ITS DEVICES ACTUALLY 25 03:59PM

TREATING SOLDIERS ON THE BATTLEFIELD, AND IF INVESTORS SAID 1 03:59PM THAT OR THOUGHT THAT, THEY WERE MISTAKEN. 2 03:59PM 3 AND IF THEY REMEMBERED THAT AFTER MANY, MANY YEARS, THEY 03:59PM 4 WERE MISTAKEN OR WORSE. 03:59PM BUT AGAIN, AS I'LL TALK ABOUT AGAIN WITH MR. TOLBERT, WE 03:59PM HAD ONE CHANCE TO HEAR WHAT INVESTORS WERE REALLY TOLD ABOUT 03:59PM THAT BY MS. HOLMES, AND THE GOVERNMENT HAD THE TAPE AND WOULD 04:00PM NOT PLAY IT FOR YOU. 8 04:00PM 9 LET'S TALK ABOUT ANOTHER SUBJECT. 04:00PM 10 YOUR HONOR, I'M HAPPY TO CONTINUE, BUT I'M AWARE IT'S 4:00 04:00PM O'CLOCK. 04:00PM 11 12 THE COURT: I THINK OUR JURORS SAID THEY COULD 04:00PM 13 EXTEND UNTIL 4:30 TODAY. 04:00PM 14 IS THAT CORRECT? IS THAT INCORRECT FOR ANYONE? 04:00PM I SEE NO HANDS, SO WHY DON'T YOU PRESS ON AND WE'LL STOP 15 04:00PM 16 AT YOUR NEXT LOGICAL BREAK. 04:00PM MR. COOPERSMITH: YES, YOUR HONOR. THANK YOU. 17 04:00PM 18 OKAY. I WANT TO SWITCH TOPICS NOW AND TALK ABOUT THE 04:00PM RISKS THAT INVESTORS ACCEPTED. 04:00PM 19 OKAY. LET'S START WITH MR. LUCAS. HE KNOWS AS A SAVVY 20 04:00PM 21 INVESTOR THAT THERE ARE ALL SORTS OF RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE 04:00PM 22 DEVELOPMENT OF THE COMPANY. OF COURSE HE KNOWS THAT. 04:00PM EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THESE INVESTORS, AND YOU SAW THIS 23 04:00PM 24 SEVERAL TIMES DURING THE TESTIMONY IN THIS CASE, HAD TO SIGN 04:00PM 25 THIS AGREEMENT. 04:01PM

1 04:01PM 2 04:01PM 3 04:01PM 4 04:01PM 04:01PM 04:01PM 04:01PM 8 04:01PM 9 04:01PM 10 04:01PM 04:01PM 11 12 04:01PM 04:01PM 13 14 04:01PM 15 04:01PM 16 04:01PM 17 04:02PM 18 04:02PM 04:02PM 19 04:02PM 20 21 04:02PM 22 04:02PM 23 04:02PM 24 04:02PM 25 04:02PM

AND IN THIS AGREEMENT, WHICH IS EXHIBIT 3530, IS A SERIES OF REPRESENTATIONS THAT THE INVESTORS HAD TO MAKE TO THERANOS ABOUT THEIR WHEREWITHAL, THEIR FINANCIAL ABILITY TO MAKE THIS TYPE OF INVESTMENT.

AND MR. LUCAS CERTAINLY TOOK THAT SERIOUSLY.

MR. EISENMAN SAID, WELL, HE SIGNED IT, A FORMER LAWYER SIGNED IT, BUT HE DIDN'T REALLY MEAN IT.

BUT THESE WERE THE RISKS THAT INVESTORS WERE ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THEY TOOK ON WHEN THEY INVESTED WITH THIS EARLY STAGE COMPANY CALLED THERANOS.

FIRST OF ALL, THEY HAD TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THEY UNDERSTOOD
THAT THERANOS -- I'M SORRY, THEY UNDERSTOOD THAT EACH INVESTOR
COULD BEAR THE ECONOMIC RISK OF THE INVESTMENT, COULD DO SO
WITHOUT IMPAIRING THE INVESTOR'S FINANCIAL CONDITION, THAT IT
COULD HOLD THE SHARES FOR AN INDEFINITE PERIOD AND IT COULD
SUFFER, EACH OF THE INVESTORS COULD SUFFER A COMPLETE LOSS OF
THE INVESTOR'S INVESTMENT.

SO THAT MEANS THAT WHETHER THEY INVESTED \$99,000 IN THE CASE OF MR. EISENMAN IN 2013 OR THEY INVESTED \$99 MILLION OR \$100 MILLION LIKE THE DEVOS FAMILY, THEY WERE ACKNOWLEDGING, EACH ONE OF THEM, THAT THEY COULD AFFORD TO LOSE THAT ENTIRE AMOUNT OF MONEY BECAUSE THEY UNDERSTOOD THAT THIS WAS A SPECULATIVE INVESTMENT.

THEY ALSO REPRESENTED THAT THEY HAD ACCESS TO DATA. AND I'VE HIGHLIGHTED THE PART THAT I WANT TO POINT OUT TO YOU.

THE INVESTORS UNDERSTOOD THAT DISCUSSIONS THAT THEY HAD 1 04:02PM WITH THE COMPANY WERE INTENDED TO DESCRIBE CERTAIN ASPECTS OF 2 04:02PM THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS BUT WERE NOT NECESSARILY A THOROUGH OR 3 04:02PM 4 EXHAUSTIVE DESCRIPTION. 04:02PM THE INVESTORS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT ANY BUSINESS PLANS 04:02PM PREPARED BY THE COMPANY HAVE BEEN AND CONTINUE TO BE SUBJECT TO 04:02PM CHANGE AND THAT ANY PROJECTIONS, PROJECTIONS, INCLUDED IN SUCH 04:02PM BUSINESS PLANS OR OTHERWISE ARE NECESSARILY SPECULATIVE IN 8 04:02PM 9 NATURE, AND IT CAN BE EXPECTED THAT SOME OR ALL OF THE 04:03PM 10 ASSUMPTIONS UNDERLYING THE PROJECTIONS WILL NOT MATERIALIZE OR 04:03PM 04:03PM 11 WILL VARY SIGNIFICANTLY FROM ACTUAL RESULTS. 12 SO IN THE CASE OF THE DEVOS FAMILY, IF THERANOS PROJECTED 04:03PM 13 WE THINK IT'S POSSIBLE THAT WE'LL BE IN 900 STORES NEXT YEAR 04:03PM 14 AND WE'RE WORKING TO ACHIEVE THAT, BUT THERE'S EXECUTION RISK, 04:03PM IT MAY NOT HAPPEN, AND THEY'RE ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THESE 15 04:03PM 16 PROJECTIONS MAY NOT PAN OUT. 04:03PM THAT'S THE NATURE OF THIS KIND OF RISK. AND IF YOU INVEST 17 04:03PM 18 IN A COMPANY LIKE THERANOS AND YOU'RE TRYING TO MAKE A BOATLOAD 04:03PM 19 OF MONEY, YOU'RE TAKING ON THE RISK, AND THE INVESTORS WERE 04:03PM ACKNOWLEDGING THAT THEY UNDERSTOOD THAT, AND THEY COULD AFFORD 04:03PM 20 21 TO LOSE THEIR ENTIRE INVESTMENT. 04:03PM GOING TO THE NEXT PAGE. 22 04:03PM ALL OF THESE INVESTORS ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THIS AGREEMENT WAS 23 04:03PM 24 THE ENTIRE AGREEMENT THAT THEY HAD WITH THERANOS. 04:03PM 25 LET'S TALK ABOUT SOME ADDITIONAL RISKS THAT INVESTORS 04:04PM

1 UNDERSTOOD. 04:04PM MR. LUCAS, HE HAS HIS INVESTORS SIGN THE VERY SAME THINGS 2 04:04PM WHEN HE TOOK ON HIS OWN INVESTORS. 3 04:04PM 4 BECAUSE REMEMBER PEOPLE LIKE MR. LUCAS OR BRIAN GROSSMAN 04:04PM FROM PFM, THEY WEREN'T INVESTING JUST THEIR OWN MONEY, THEY MAY 04:04PM 5 HAVE, BUT THEY ALSO TOOK IN MONEY FROM THEIR OWN INVESTORS TO 04:04PM FUND WHATEVER INVESTMENT THEY WERE MAKING. 04:04PM SO IN THIS CASE MR. LUCAS IS SAYING YEAH, HE HAD HIS OWN 8 04:04PM 9 INVESTORS SIGN THIS SAME SORT OF STUFF, AND HE WOULDN'T EXPECT 04:04PM THEM TO SIGN IT, HIS OWN INVESTORS, IF HE DIDN'T BELIEVE THAT 10 04:04PM 04:04PM 11 THOSE REPRESENTATIONS WERE CORRECT. 12 YOUR HONOR, THAT'S THE END OF A TOPIC AND I'M ABOUT TO 04:04PM 13 START A VERY DIFFERENT TOPIC ABOUT CERTAIN INVESTORS AND IT'S A 04:04PM 14 SOMEWHAT LENGTHY SECTION, SO --04:04PM THE COURT: WELL, I'D LIKE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE 15 04:05PM 16 TIME IF POSSIBLE. OUR JURORS HAVE BEEN VERY GENEROUS, SO WHY 04:05PM 17 DON'T WE STOP AT THE BOTTOM OF THE HOUR SHARP. 04:05PM 18 MR. COOPERSMITH: I'M HAPPY TO CONTINUE. 04:05PM 04:05PM 19 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 20 MR. COOPERSMITH: OKAY. LET'S SWITCH TOPICS TO THE 04:05PM 21 SPECIFIC THERANOS INVESTORS THAT YOU'VE SEEN IN THIS CASE ON 04:05PM 22 THE WITNESS STAND. 04:05PM AND THE FIRST ONE I'M GOING TO TALK ABOUT SPECIFICALLY IS 23 04:05PM 24 BLACK DIAMOND VENTURES. THAT'S THE NAME OF THE COMPANY THAT 04:05PM INVESTED IN THERANOS, BUT THAT'S CHRIS LUCAS'S COMPANY. 25 04:05PM

SAID HE WAS THE MOST SENIOR PERSON. HE FOUNDED THE COMPANY. 1 04:05PM THIS IS AN INVESTMENT ON DECEMBER 31ST, 2013. 2 04:05PM MR. LUCAS'S PRIMARY CONTACT WAS ELIZABETH HOLMES. HE HAD 3 04:05PM NO CONTACT WITH MR. BALWANI. HE NEVER MET MR. BALWANI. 4 04:05PM AND AS HE TESTIFIED, NOTHING THAT MR. BALWANI EVER SAID OR 04:05PM DID INFLUENCED MR. LUCAS'S DECISIONS TO INVEST IN THERANOS. 04:05PM SO LET'S START WITH THAT AS A BASELINE. 04:05PM THEN AS I POINTED OUT TO YOU BEFORE, MR. LUCAS UNDERSTOOD 8 04:06PM 9 FULL WELL THAT BEYOND THE DOZENS OF TESTS THAT THERANOS THOUGHT 04:06PM 10 THEY COULD DO ON THEIR OWN TECHNOLOGY, WHICH WAS TRUE, THERE 04:06PM MIGHT BE TRADITIONAL METHODS BEYOND THAT, AND HE SAID HE KNEW 04:06PM 11 12 THAT BEFORE HE INVESTED IN THERANOS. 04:06PM 13 SO HE DIDN'T THINK THIS WAS -- THAT EVERYTHING AT THERANOS 04:06PM 14 WAS TOTALLY COMPLETED OR THERE WASN'T ANY MORE ROOM TO DO MORE 04:06PM RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. 15 04:06PM MR. LUCAS KNEW FROM EVEN AN EARLY TIME WHEN HE INITIALLY 16 04:06PM 17 INVESTED BEFORE MR. BALWANI WAS AT THERANOS, BUT BACK IN 2006 04:06PM HE KNEW THAT OVER TIME THERANOS WOULD HAVE DIFFERENT VERSIONS 04:06PM 18 19 OF THE THERANOS DEVICE, AND THAT THE CONTEMPLATION BACK IN THAT 04:06PM EARLY TIME PERIOD WAS THAT EACH OF THE ITERATIONS WOULD HAVE 04:06PM 20 21 ADDITIONAL ASSAYS AND DIFFERENT -- MORE BLOOD TESTS THAT THE 04:06PM DEVICES COULD DO. 22 04:06PM AND THIS IS JUST A PROJECTION BACK IN 2006. OBVIOUSLY IT 23 04:06PM TOOK LONGER THAN THAT. 24 04:06PM BUT THAT'S WHAT MR. LUCAS UNDERSTOOD. HE ALWAYS 25 04:07PM

UNDERSTOOD THERE WOULD BE THESE VERSIONS, WHICH IS NOT UNUSUAL, 1 04:07PM 1.0, 2.0, AND SO FORTH, RIGHT? 2 04:07PM MR. LUCAS UNDERSTOOD, AS I POINTED OUT EARLIER, THAT THE 3 04:07PM 4 RAGO ARTICLE IN "THE WALL STREET JOURNAL" WAS MARKETING. 04:07PM DIDN'T THINK IT COULD DO A THOUSAND TESTS. HE KNEW THAT FULL 04:07PM WELL. THAT WASN'T SOMETHING THAT AFFECTED HIS INVESTMENT. 04:07PM AND THEN MR. LUCAS DID SOMETHING VERY -- WELL, SAID 04:07PM SOMETHING INTERESTING, RIGHT? 8 04:07PM SO THIS IS EXHIBIT 20698, AND IT'S AN INVESTORS' RIGHTS 9 04:07PM AGREEMENT FROM FEBRUARY 3RD, 2006. SO THE NUMBER OF INVESTORS 10 04:07PM THAT YOU HEARD FROM, MR. LUCAS, MR. EISENMAN, 04:07PM 11 12 PATRICK MENDENHALL, ALSO THE HALL GROUP, ALTHOUGH IN 2006 THEY 04:07PM 13 INVESTED THROUGH MR. LUCAS'S FIRM, BUT THIS INVESTORS' RIGHTS 04:07PM 14 AGREEMENT WAS WHAT THEY HAD TO SIGN BACK IN 2006. SO THIS WAS 04:07PM BEFORE MR. BALWANI WAS AT THERANOS. HE HAD NOTHING TO DO WITH 15 04:08PM 16 THIS INVESTMENT. 04:08PM BUT HERE'S WHY I'M SHOWING IT TO YOU. SO REMEMBER THESE 17 04:08PM 18 INVESTORS LIKE MR. LUCAS AND MR. EISENMAN, THESE 2013 04:08PM 04:08PM 19 INVESTORS, THEY WERE INVESTING AT THE END OF THE YEAR IN 2013. AND AT TRIAL, THE GOVERNMENT TRIED TO PAINT THIS PICTURE 04:08PM 20 21 THAT THERE WAS SOME KIND OF HIGH PRESSURE SALES TACTIC, THAT 04:08PM THEY WERE GIVEN A LIMITED AMOUNT OF TIME AND THEY HAD TO MAKE A 22 04:08PM DECISION BY THE END OF THE YEAR, AND IT WAS BASICALLY TRYING TO 04:08PM 23 PAINT THIS PICTURE OF SOME KIND OF, AGAIN, I'LL CALL IT A HIGH 24 04:08PM PRESSURE SALES TACTIC. 25 04:08PM

THAT IS NOT WHAT HAPPENED. AND THIS INVESTORS' RIGHTS 1 04:08PM AGREEMENT, IF WE LOOK AT IT, GAVE THESE EARLIER INVESTORS THIS 2 04:08PM RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL WHERE THE COMPANY HAD TO OFFER THEM A 3 04:08PM 04:08PM 4 CHANCE TO INVEST, AND MR. LUCAS TESTIFIED ABOUT THAT. AND BY THE WAY, THAT'S EXHIBIT 20698 IF YOU WANT TO LOOK 04:08PM AT THAT. 04:08PM MR. LUCAS TESTIFIED ABOUT THAT POINT, AND HE SAID IT GAVE 04:09PM THE INVESTORS THE RIGHT, BUT NOT THE OBLIGATION, TO INVEST IF 8 04:09PM 9 THEY WANTED TO, AND THAT ANYONE WHO SIGNED THIS 2006 INVESTOR 04:09PM RIGHTS AGREEMENT WOULD BE IN THE SAME BOAT. 10 04:09PM IN OTHER WORDS, YOU CAN SEE ON LINE 15 THERE, THERANOS WAS 04:09PM 11 12 ENGAGING IN NEW FUNDRAISING, IT WOULD HAVE TO GO TO INVESTORS 04:09PM 04:09PM 13 LIKE MR. LUCAS AND SAY, HEY, DO YOU WANT TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS 14 NEW FUNDRAISING ROUND? 04:09PM AND HE SAID YES. 15 04:09PM SO THEY HAD TO GIVE THEM THE FIRST RIGHT. THEY DIDN'T 16 04:09PM 17 HAVE TO DO IT. THEY COULD HAVE PASSED. 04:09PM 18 MR. LUCAS SAID, YOU KNOW, THAT HE HAD TO WORK REALLY HARD 04:09PM 04:09PM 19 IN DECEMBER OF 2013 TO GET ALL OF THIS DONE BEFORE THE END OF 20 THE YEAR. IN FACT, HE SAID HE DIDN'T SHAVE DURING THAT WHOLE 04:09PM 21 TIME. 04:09PM 22 WELL, MR. LUCAS COULD HAVE SHAVED AND HE COULD HAVE NOT 04:09PM 23 DONE IT, AND THEN HE WOULD HAVE HAD A MUCH NICER HOLIDAY SEASON 04:09PM 24 I'M SURE THAN HE HAD. 04:09PM 25 BUT THAT'S WHAT IS GOING ON. IT'S NOT A HIGH PRESSURE 04:09PM

SALES TACTIC. IT'S JUST THERANOS FULFILLING THEIR OBLIGATION 1 04:10PM 2 FROM 2006 TO GIVE THESE PEOPLE THE OPPORTUNITY. 04:10PM AND MR. LUCAS TESTIFIED ABOUT THIS SOME MORE. 3 04:10PM 4 WALGREENS HAD MADE THIS COMMITMENT TO DO THE NATIONAL 04:10PM ROLLOUT ON DECEMBER 31ST AND WAS GOING TO FUND AN ADDITIONAL 04:10PM 5 6 \$75 MILLION. 04:10PM MR. LUCAS UNDERSTOOD THAT WHEN WALGREENS IS MAKING THAT 04:10PM KIND OF COMMITMENT AND PUTTING THAT KIND OF MONEY INTO 8 04:10PM THERANOS, THE SHARE PRICE, THE VALUE OF THERANOS IS LOGICALLY 9 04:10PM GOING TO GO UP. SO HE WANTED TO GET THIS DONE SO HE COULD GET 10 04:10PM THE \$15 A SHARE RATHER THAN \$17 A SHARE. 04:10PM 11 12 MR. LUCAS WAS OFFERED AN OPPORTUNITY IN JANUARY OF 2014 TO 04:10PM 13 BUY MORE SHARES AT THE HIGHER PRICE, AND HE DIDN'T DO THAT. 04:10PM 14 SO THAT'S WHAT WAS GOING ON HERE. AGAIN, THERANOS JUST 04:10PM HONORING ITS COMMITMENT. 15 04:10PM LET'S GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE. 16 04:10PM 17 MR. LUCAS DID SOMETHING VERY INTERESTING. HE TESTIFIED HE 04:10PM 18 NEVER HAD DONE THIS BEFORE WITH ALL OF THE INVESTMENTS HE'S 04:11PM 19 BEEN DOING, HE'S A PROFESSIONAL INVESTOR AND HE'S BEEN WORKING 04:11PM AT THIS FOR MANY, MANY YEARS AND HE'S NEVER DONE THIS BEFORE, 04:11PM 20 21 BUT THAT'S TO SEND A PARTICULAR LETTER TO HIS INVESTORS 04:11PM 22 POINTING OUT THAT HE DOESN'T HAVE THE INFORMATION THAT YOU 04:11PM WOULD WANT TO SEE NORMALLY AND HE WANTED TO MAKE SURE HIS 23 04:11PM 24 INVESTORS KNEW THAT. 04:11PM AND WHAT DID HE TELL HIS OWN INVESTORS? THEY DIDN'T HAVE 25 04:11PM

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, THEY DIDN'T HAVE MATERIAL CONTRACTS, AND 1 04:11PM THERE WERE OTHER THINGS THAT THEY DIDN'T HAVE. 2 04:11PM SO ALL OF THESE PEOPLE KNEW THIS. MR. LUCAS AND HIS OWN 3 04:11PM 4 INVESTORS KNEW THAT THERE WAS INFORMATION THAT WAS LACKING. 04:11PM WHY WOULD THEY TAKE A FLYER ON THIS WHEN THEY DIDN'T HAVE IT? 04:11PM WELL, BECAUSE IF THE WALGREENS ROLLOUT WAS SUCCESSFUL, 04:11PM THEY STOOD TO MAKE GAZILLIONS OF DOLLARS AND THAT WAS THE 04:11PM DRIVING FORCE. 8 04:11PM SO WHEN YOU'RE DOING THIS AND MR. LUCAS IS DOING THIS AND 9 04:11PM HE'S TELLING THE INVENTORS, HEY, I WANT TO COVER MY OWN SELF 10 04:11PM HERE AND TELL YOU WE DON'T HAVE THE INFORMATION SO THE 04:12PM 11 12 INVESTORS DON'T HOLD HIM RESPONSIBLE, THAT IS A COMMUNICATION 04:12PM 13 THAT THIS IS A VERY, VERY RISKY INVESTMENT. 04:12PM 14 THE GOVERNMENT WILL WANT YOU TO BELIEVE THAT THE RISK WAS 04:12PM BACK IN 2006 AND THAT BY 2013 THIS INVESTMENT WAS SOMEHOW 15 04:12PM DERISKED. BUT THAT'S NOT TRUE. WE ALL KNOW THAT GOING FROM 16 04:12PM 17 THREE STORES TO THOUSANDS OF STORES, USE YOUR COMMON SENSE, 04:12PM 18 THAT'S NOT AN EASY TEST, AND THAT IS NOT A GUARANTEED TASK, 04:12PM 04:12PM 19 AND THAT IS NOT SOMETHING THAT YOU CAN COUNT ON. BUT CERTAINLY EVERYBODY WAS TRYING THEIR BEST TO MAKE THAT 04:12PM 20 21 HAPPEN, INCLUDING THERANOS AND INCLUDING WALGREENS. 04:12PM SO THAT'S MR. LUCAS. 22 04:12PM LET'S SWITCH TO THE HALL GROUP. THAT'S THE INVESTOR. 23 04:12PM 24 THIS IS A COMPANY LED BY CRAIG HALL, WHO WE DID NOT HEAR, AND 04:12PM HE WAS A DECISION-MAKER AT THE HALL GROUP. AS YOU HEARD, HE 25 04:12PM

WAS, AT ONE POINT, YOU THE LARGEST SHAREHOLDER IN AMERICAN 1 04:12PM AIRLINES, AND HE'S FROM TEXAS. 2 04:12PM HIS CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER IS BRYAN TOLBERT. THAT'S THE 3 04:13PM 4 PERSON THAT THE GOVERNMENT BROUGHT IN TO TESTIFY. 04:13PM MR. TOLBERT HAD NO CONTACT WITH MR. BALWANI. MR. BALWANI 04:13PM HAD NO INFLUENCE ON THE DECISION BY THE HALL GROUP TO INVEST. 04:13PM THEN MR. TOLBERT WAS GETTING PERIODIC UPDATES FROM 04:13PM MR. LUCAS. SO WHAT MR. LUCAS KNEW, MR. TOLBERT KNEW. 8 04:13PM 9 BUT THAT'S BECAUSE BACK IN 2006 AS YOU HEARD, THE HALL 04:13PM 10 GROUP HAD INVESTED IN THERANOS ORIGINALLY, BEFORE MR. BALWANI'S 04:13PM TIME, THROUGH MR. LUCAS'S FIRM, BLACK DIAMOND VENTURES, AND 04:13PM 11 12 THIS INVESTMENT ULTIMATELY THE HALL GROUP MAKES IN 2013 IS A 04:13PM 13 DIRECT INVESTMENT IN THERANOS. 04:13PM 14 SO MR. TOLBERT AND MR. LUCAS WERE IN CONTACT. 04:13PM AND LET'S GET TO THE THING I'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT NOW FOR 04:13PM 15 16 A COUPLE DAYS, AND THAT IS THIS TAPE. SO HERE'S WHAT HAPPENS, 04:13PM 17 OKAY? DECEMBER 2013, AND IN PARTICULAR, THERE'S A 04:14PM 18 DECEMBER 20TH, 2013 CALL WHERE MS. HOLMES IS ON THE LINE WITH 04:14PM 04:14PM 19 INVESTORS. THOSE INVESTORS INCLUDED MR. LUCAS AND MR. TOLBERT, DECEMBER 20TH OF 2013. MR. BALWANI IS NOT ON THE CALL. 04:14PM 20 21 BUT THE GOVERNMENT ASKED THE QUESTION ON DIRECT 04:14PM 22 EXAMINATION, DO YOU REMEMBER WHETHER MS. HOLMES TALKED ABOUT 04:14PM THE KIND OF TUBES OF BLOOD THAT THERANOS USED VERSUS WHAT 23 04:14PM TRADITIONAL LAB TESTING INVOLVED? 24 04:14PM 25 NOW, MR. TOLBERT SAYS IN HIS ANSWER, NOT BECAUSE HE WAS 04:14PM

04:14PM	1	ASKED THE QUESTION BUT HE JUST SAID THIS IN HIS ANSWER, "YOU
04:14PM	2	KNOW, I WOULD HAVE TO LOOK BACK AT THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE CALL,
04:14PM	3	BUT I THINK THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT, YOU KNOW, THE
04:14PM	4	SMALL NANOTAINERS OR CONTAINERS, AND HE GOES ON.
04:14PM	5	AND THEN THE QUESTION FROM THE GOVERNMENT IS, YOU
04:14PM	6	REFERENCED THE TRANSCRIPT OF THE CALL, WHAT DO YOU MEAN? LIKE
04:14PM	7	THEY NEVER HEARD OF THIS BEFORE.
04:14PM	8	WELL, AT SOME POINT THAT PHONE CALL WAS RECORDED, AND
04:14PM	9	THERE WAS A TRANSCRIPTION MADE OF IT.
04:15PM	10	THE QUESTION THEN IS I SEE. LIKE THE GOVERNMENT NEVER
04:15PM	11	HEARD OF THIS.
04:15PM	12	ANSWER: AND SO, YOU KNOW, I'VE SO I KNOW THERE'S A
04:15PM	13	TRANSCRIPT OF THAT CALL, AND I JUST HAVEN'T REVIEWED IT.
04:15PM	14	SO OBVIOUSLY IT'S NINE YEARS EARLIER FOR MR. TOLBERT.
04:15PM	15	HE'S TESTIFYING IN APRIL OF 2022, AND THE CALL IS IN DECEMBER
04:15PM	16	OF 2013.
04:15PM	17	SO WHAT ACTUALLY HAPPENED HERE? LET'S GO TO
04:15PM	18	CROSS-EXAMINATION OF MR. TOLBERT.
04:15PM	19	QUESTION: YOU'VE PRODUCED A COPY OF THAT RECORDING IN THE
04:15PM	20	COURSE OF THESE INVESTIGATIONS, HAVEN'T YOU?
04:15PM	21	ANSWER: THAT'S CORRECT.
04:15PM	22	QUESTION: AND YOU'VE DISCUSSED THAT RECORDING WITH THE
04:15PM	23	GOVERNMENT, HAVEN'T YOU?
04:15PM	24	ANSWER: I HAVE.
04:15PM	25	YOU DON'T REMEMBER WORD FOR WORD WHAT MS. HOLMES SAID THAT

DAY? 1 04:15PM I DO NOT. 2 04:15PM THAT'S HARDLY SURPRISING AFTER NINE YEARS. 3 04:15PM 4 SO THIS IDEA THAT THE GOVERNMENT WAS SOMEHOW SURPRISED 04:16PM ABOUT THAT TAPE. THEY KNEW ABOUT THE TAPE BECAUSE MR. TOLBERT 04:16PM 5 PRODUCED IT AND DISCUSSED IT WITH THE GOVERNMENT. AND THE 6 04:16PM GOVERNMENT HAD THAT OPPORTUNITY RIGHT THEN AND THERE TO PLAY 04:16PM YOU EXACTLY WHAT MS. HOLMES SAID. 8 04:16PM 9 AND WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT THIS CASE AND YOU DELIBERATE, AND 04:16PM YOU THINK ABOUT JUDGE DAVILA'S INSTRUCTIONS HE'S GOING TO GIVE 10 04:16PM YOU HAVE ABOUT THE LACK OF EVIDENCE, THINK ABOUT WHY THE 04:16PM 11 12 GOVERNMENT IS NOT AND DID NOT PLAY YOU THAT TAPE OF EXACTLY THE 04:16PM 13 BEST EVIDENCE OF WHAT MS. HOLMES SAID ON THAT DAY, 04:16PM DECEMBER 20TH, 2013, TEN DAYS OR SO BEFORE MR. LUCAS AND 14 04:16PM MR. TOLBERT INVESTED IN THERANOS. 15 04:16PM LET'S GO ON. 16 04:16PM 17 I TOLD YOU MR. LUCAS WAS ON THAT PHONE CALL AS WELL. 04:16PM 18 COURSE HE DOESN'T REMEMBER THE EXACT WORDS EITHER. SO WE COULD 04:16PM 04:17PM 19 HAVE LEARNED EXACTLY WHAT THEY WERE TOLD, AND WE COULD HAVE 20 LEARNED MORE THAN THAT. 04:17PM WE COULD HAVE LEARNED HOW MS. HOLMES INTERACTED WITH 21 04:17PM 22 INVESTORS, WHAT SORT OF STATEMENTS SHE MADE. IF SHE WAS 04:17PM TALKING ABOUT THE MILITARY, WHAT DID SHE SAY IN THIS TIMEFRAME? 23 04:17PM 24 REMEMBER, MR. GROSSMAN HAD A MEETING JUST AROUND THAT SAME 04:17PM TIME IN DECEMBER OF 2013 WITH THERANOS. 25 04:17PM

SO WHAT IS MS. HOLMES SAYING ABOUT THE MILITARY 1 04:17PM RELATIONSHIP? THE TECHNOLOGY? ALL OF THAT IN THIS TIME 2 04:17PM 3 PERIOD? 04:17PM 4 SHE'S GOING TO MEET WITH DIFFERENT INVESTORS. SHE'S 04:17PM TALKING TO DIFFERENT INVESTORS. 04:17PM 5 THAT TAPE WOULD HAVE BEEN VERY HELPFUL TO KNOW. AND THE 04:17PM FACT THAT THE GOVERNMENT DIDN'T PLAY IT JUST SPEAKS VOLUMES 04:17PM ABOUT WHAT THE GOVERNMENT IS TRYING TO DO HERE IN THIS CASE, 8 04:17PM WHICH IS TO GO WITH WITNESS'S MEMORIES FROM A LONG TIME AGO 9 04:17PM WITHOUT PLAYING YOU THE ACTUAL WORDS. 10 04:17PM I WANT TO TALK ABOUT MR. GROSSMAN NEXT. HIS COMPANY WAS 04:17PM 11 12 CALLED PARTNER FUND MANAGEMENT. THAT WAS AN INVESTMENT MADE BY 04:18PM 13 PFM ON FEBRUARY 6TH, 2014. 04:18PM 14 SO PFM HAD PUT TOGETHER A VERY SOPHISTICATED DEAL TEAM. 04:18PM MR. GROSSMAN, HE HAD DECADES OF EXPERIENCE INVESTING IN 15 04:18PM 16 THE HEALTH CARE SPACE; MR. KHANNA, WE TALKED ABOUT A LITTLE BIT 04:18PM 17 EARLIER BECAUSE HE HAD TESTS DONE AT THERANOS, A SIMILAR 04:18PM EXPERIENCE IN INVESTING; DR. RABODZEY, INVESTMENT EXPERIENCE. 18 04:18PM 19 HE WAS ALSO A PH.D. IN BIOENGINEERING FROM M.I.T. SO THIS WAS 04:18PM MR. GROSSMAN'S TECHNICAL EXPERT TO LOOK AT DATA AND REALLY DIVE 04:18PM 20 21 INTO IT, THAT'S WHAT MR. GROSSMAN SAID. 04:18PM MR. BALASURYAN, HE FOCUSSED ON FINANCIAL MODELING. 22 04:18PM SO MR. GROSSMAN PUT TOGETHER A SOPHISTICATED TEAM TO HELP 23 04:18PM 24 EVALUATION THIS INVESTMENT. 04:18PM AND WHEN WE TALK ABOUT MR. GROSSMAN, IT'S REALLY IMPORTANT 25 04:19PM

TO KEEP IN MIND, MR. GROSSMAN ON THE WITNESS STAND, HE 1 04:19PM TESTIFIED FROM DIRECT EXAMINATION MOSTLY FROM MEMORY. THERE 2 04:19PM WERE A FEW DOCUMENTS. MOSTLY HE JUST TALKED. 3 04:19PM 4 IT WAS THE DEFENSE WHO HAD TO SHOW HIM DOCUMENT AFTER 04:19PM DOCUMENT. AND THE BEST EVIDENCE OF WHAT REALLY HAPPENED AND 04:19PM WHAT PFM KNEW, AND THE RISKS THAT THEY KNEW THEY WERE TAKING, 04:19PM AND THE FLYER THEY TOOK ON THERANOS AND ITS WALGREENS ROLLOUT 04:19PM ARE ALL IN THOSE DOCUMENTS, AND THAT'S WHAT I'M GOING TO GO 8 04:19PM 9 THROUGH WITH YOU IN THE TIME WE HAVE REMAINING TODAY AND WHEN 04:19PM 10 WE MEET AGAIN TOMORROW. 04:19PM SO MR. GROSSMAN. 04:19PM 11 12 GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE, MR. ALLEN. 04:19PM 13 SO MR. BALWANI SENT MR. GROSSMAN THE EXCEL VERSION OF THE 04:19PM 14 SPREADSHEET SO HE COULD SEE ALL OF THE ASSUMPTIONS BEHIND THE 04:19PM NUMBERS. THAT'S WHAT MR. GROSSMAN WANTED. 15 04:19PM 16 AND MR. GROSSMAN SAID YES. 04:19PM 17 AND MR. BALWANI ANSWERED QUESTIONS FOR MR. GROSSMAN WHEN 04:19PM 18 MR. GROSSMAN HAD QUESTIONS, AND MR. GROSSMAN AGREED WITH THAT. 04:20PM 04:20PM 19 SO WHAT THIS IS, IS MR. BALWANI IS NOT JUST SENDING A PIECE OF PAPER, HE'S GIVING MR. GROSSMAN AND PFM THE ACTUAL 04:20PM 20 21 SOFT COPY, THE EXCEL VERSION OF THE FINANCIAL MODEL WITH ALL OF 04:20PM 22 THE ASSUMPTIONS, AND WE'RE GOING TO TALK ABOUT THAT IN SOME 04:20PM DETAIL PROBABLY TOMORROW. 23 04:20PM MR. GROSSMAN UNDERSTOOD THAT THERE WERE TWO PHASES, THAT'S 24 04:20PM WHAT I TALKED ABOUT BEFORE, THE PHASE I, THE CENTRAL LAB MODEL 25 04:20PM

WHERE BLOOD WAS COLLECTED AT WALGREENS AND THEN SHIPPED TO 1 04:20PM THERANOS'S CENTRAL LAB, AND THEN LATER THE PHASE II WHERE UPON 2 04:20PM FDA APPROVAL THEY COULD PUT DEVICES IN THE STORES. 3 04:20PM 4 MR. GROSSMAN KNEW FULL WELL THAT AT THE TIME HE WAS 04:20PM MEETING WITH THERANOS TO TALK ABOUT THE INVESTMENT, THEY HAD 04:20PM THREE PATIENT SERVICE CENTERS IN ALL OF WALGREENS, AND THERE'S 04:20PM NO MISTAKE ABOUT THAT. 04:20PM THEY HAD THREE STORES. AND SO OF COURSE MR. GROSSMAN 8 04:21PM 9 KNOWS. HE'S A VERY SOPHISTICATED, IVY-LEAGUE EDUCATED 04:21PM 10 PROFESSIONAL. AND HE KNOWS GOING FROM THREE STORES TO WHAT HE 04:21PM HOPES TO BE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF STORES IS GOING TO BE A 04:21PM 11 12 HUGE, MASSIVE, HEAVY LIFT. 04:21PM 13 EXACTLY AS YOU WOULD EXPECT. WE'VE SEEN THIS BEFORE, BUT 04:21PM 14 WALGREENS WAS ONE OF THE LARGEST RETAILERS IN THE COUNTRY, AND 04:21PM IT HAD THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO ENABLE THERANOS TO DO THIS 15 04:21PM 16 NATIONWIDE ROLLOUT, AND THAT'S RIGHT IN THE PRESS RELEASE. 04:21PM WE'VE SEEN THIS LETTER BEFORE. WALGREENS WAS COMMITTED TO 17 04:21PM 18 TAKE ALL STEPS TO A NATIONAL ROLLOUT AND COMMITTED \$75 MILLION 04:21PM 04:21PM 19 TO HELP MAKE THAT HAPPEN. THIS IS HAPPENING RIGHT AROUND THE SAME TIME THAT MR. BALWANI AND MS. HOLMES ARE MEETING WITH 04:21PM 20 21 MR. GROSSMAN. 04:21PM SO OBVIOUSLY AT THIS POINT THERE ARE VERY, VERY HIGH HOPES 22 04:21PM FOR THIS. WALGREENS IS MAKING A MAJOR COMMITMENT AND PUTTING 23 04:21PM IN MAJOR MONEY, AND OF COURSE INVESTORS -- MR. BALWANI IS 24 04:22PM 25 EXCITED ABOUT THAT, AND HE'S REASONABLY COMMUNICATING THAT 04:22PM

EXCITEMENT TO INVESTORS. 1 04:22PM AND THEN WE'VE SEEN THESE PAGES BEFORE, COMMITMENT TO THE 2 04:22PM NATIONAL ROLLOUT. I WON'T READ IT AGAIN BECAUSE WE HAVE SEEN 3 04:22PM 04:22PM 4 IT BEFORE THIS WAS AN INTERESTING EXCHANGE WITH MR. GROSSMAN WHERE 04:22PM HE SAYS, I THINK WE ACTUALLY MADE THE MISTAKE OF CALLING IT A 04:22PM POINT OF CARE TESTING PLATFORM. AND HE IS TALKING ABOUT 04:22PM 8 THERANOS. 04:22PM 9 AND THEN HE IMMEDIATELY STOPPED NO, NO, NO, NO, NO. THIS 04:22PM IS NOT A POINT OF CARE PRODUCT. WE HAVE TAKEN THE ENTIRE LAB 10 04:22PM AND MINIATURIZED IT. THIS IS A MINILAB. MR. GROSSMAN IS 04:22PM 11 04:22PM 12 REPORTING THAT. BUT WHAT DID, BACK IN 2010, JOHNS HOPKINS SAY? IT'S NOT 04:22PM 13 14 REALLY A POINT OF CARE TECHNOLOGY, IT'S A MINILAB. SO WHY 04:22PM WOULD MR. BALWANI THINK THAT IT'S NOT A POINT OF CARE DEVICE, 15 04:23PM IT'S A MINILAB? 16 04:23PM 17 WELL, THREE JOHNS HOPKINS'S PHYSICIANS SAID SO ABOUT THE 04:23PM 18 THERANOS DEVICE. 04:23PM 04:23PM 19 OKAY. SO NOW I'M GOING TO START TO TALK ABOUT THE RISKS THAT IT WAS WELL AWARE OF. AND WE'RE NOT GOING TO FINISH, BUT 04:23PM 20 21 I'LL START. AND THIS IS IN DECEMBER OF 2013. AND THIS WAS AN 04:23PM 22 EMAIL FROM VIVEK KHANNA, WHO WAS MR. GROSSMAN'S COLLEAGUE, TO 04:23PM MR. GROSSMAN, AND HE'S REPORTING ON WHAT HE'S LEARNED. 23 04:23PM 24 SO LH, YOU MIGHT REMEMBER FROM MR. GROSSMAN'S TESTIMONY, 04:23PM THAT'S A REFERENCE TO LABCORP. THEY THINK THAT THE TECHNOLOGY 25 04:23PM

DOES NOT WORK. THE MENU IS VERY LIMITED COMPARED TO LABCORP 1 04:23PM AND DGX, WHICH IS QUEST DIAGNOSTICS. THE COST OF DISTRIBUTION 2 04:23PM TO TESTING CENTERS IS HIGH. HOW DO YOU GET PATIENT REFERRALS 3 04:23PM 4 INTO THE WAG STORE TO GET BLOOD DRAWN? THE STORE IN PALO ALTO 04:24PM IS NOT FUNCTIONAL... NOT SURE IF THIS IS TRUE. MOST DOCS HAVE 04:24PM A PHLEBOTOMIST IN THEIR OFFICE AND ARE UNLIKELY TO ALLOW THIS 04:24PM REVENUE LEAKAGE. 04:24PM NO OTHER COMPANY IN POINT OF CARE TESTING HAS BEEN 8 04:24PM 9 SUCCESSFUL. LOOK AT QUEST, THEY SOLD HOMOCUE AT A SUBSTANTIAL 04:24PM LOSS AFTER PAYING TOP DOLLAR. 10 04:24PM WAG THEY THINK IS VERY EXCITING AND WILL LIKELY BUNDLE 04:24PM 11 12 WITH THEIR EXISTING PAYOR CONTRACTS. 04:24PM 13 SO THAT'S THE INFORMATION THAT MR. KHANNA IS REPORTING. 04:24PM 14 SO RIGHT AWAY IN THIS EARLY CONSIDERATION, THERE'S INFORMATION 04:24PM THAT THEY'RE GETTING THAT THIS COULD BE RISKY, RIGHT? 15 04:24PM 16 OKAY. NEXT DOCUMENT, DECEMBER 24TH, SO A LITTLE LATER IN 04:24PM 17 THAT MONTH. 04:24PM 18 DR. RABODZEY, THEIR TECHNICAL EXPERT, HE HAS QUESTIONS. 04:24PM 19 HE HAS NOT SEEN MUCH OF AN ECONOMIC BENEFIT FOR THE HOSPITAL OR 04:24PM PRACTICE TO DRIVE VOLUME THROUGH THERANOS. THERANOS HAS A 04:25PM 20 21 LIMITED MENU OF TESTS, AND DOCTORS WILL HAVE PROBLEMS SENDING 04:25PM PATIENTS TO LABCORP FOR ONE TEST AND TO THERANOS FOR ANOTHER. 22 04:25PM SO THEY KNOW THERANOS HAS A LIMITED MENU AND THERE MIGHT 23 04:25PM BE PROBLEMS WITH THE BUSINESS MODEL. THAT'S EXHIBIT 4063. 24 04:25PM THE NEXT DOCUMENT, THIS IS IN EARLY JANUARY, MID-JANUARY, 25 04:25PM

REALLY, JANUARY 12TH, 2014. 1 04:25PM SO MR. KHANNA AGAIN REPORTS TO THE TEAM WITHIN PFM, 2 04:25PM THERANOS'S GREAT PARTNERS, WAG, SAFEWAY, DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE 3 04:25PM 4 STILL TO BE SIZED. AND THEN HE SAYS PRICING AT 50 PERCENT OF 04:25PM MEDICARE IS INTERESTING BUT OTHER COMMERCIAL PAYORS ARE ALREADY 04:25PM REIMBURSING AT 50 TO 70 PERCENT, SO NOT THAT MUCH OF A 04:25PM DISCOUNT. 04:25PM SO MR. KHANNA KNOWS THAT, WELL, MAYBE THE THERANOS PRICING 8 04:25PM ISN'T SO SPECIAL AFTER ALL. 9 04:26PM 10 THE RISKS: INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY AS YOU MENTIONED, 04:26PM EXECUTION. WE TALKED ABOUT THAT WHEN YOU START COLLECTING 04:26PM 11 12 SAMPLES AND RUNNING TESTS IN A REGIONAL CENTER, WHAT IS THE 04:26PM 04:26PM 13 IMPACT ON RESULTS? 14 SO THEY IMMEDIATELY RECOGNIZE THAT TRYING TO SCALE UP AND 04:26PM RUN ALL OF THESE TESTS, THERE COULD BE EXECUTION RISKS WHEN YOU 15 04:26PM START ACTUALLY COLLECTING SAMPLES. 16 04:26PM BUT IT'S ONE OF THE MOST INTERESTING COMPANIES THEY HAVE 17 04:26PM 18 MET. IT ALL COMES DOWN TO EXECUTION. SO GOING FROM THREE 04:26PM 19 STORES TO THOUSANDS. 04:26PM JANUARY 24TH. NOW, THIS IS INTERESTING. SO WHAT HAPPENED 04:26PM 20 21 HERE IS THAT DR. RABODZEY IS DIGGING INTO THE DATA, RIGHT? AND 04:26PM HE SAYS, "HERE IS SOMETHING TO DISCUSS WITH THERANOS: WHILE 22 04:26PM THERE IS NO CLEAR GUIDELINE ON COEFFICIENT OF VARIATION NEEDED 23 04:26PM FOR CLIA CERTIFICATION BY TEST, IT IS USUALLY UNDER 5 PERCENT." 24 04:27PM 25 HE SAYS, "BELOW IS A DESCRIPTION OF PARVOVIRUS, 04:27PM

P-A-R-V-O-V-I-R-U-S, TEST WITH A CV OF 4.5 PERCENT. 1 04:27PM "SAME TEST FROM THERANOS HAS ABOUT 15 TO 20 PERCENT 2 04:27PM INTERCARTRIDGE VARIATION. 3 04:27PM 4 "SOME OF THEIR TESTS," THERANOS TESTS, "HAVE POOR R2, 04:27PM WHICH IS A BIT CONCERNING." 04:27PM THAT'S THE CORRELATION BETWEEN THERANOS'S DEVICES AND THE 04:27PM COMMERCIAL DEVICES. 04:27PM SO HERE'S THE QUESTION, RIGHT? SO THIS DATA IS COMING 8 04:27PM 9 FROM THERANOS, THIS DATA FROM WITHING THERANOS'S RESEARCH AND 04:27PM DEVELOPMENT IS NOT AVAILABLE. SO THEY'RE GETTING THIS DATA 10 04:27PM FROM THERANOS. 04:27PM 11 12 SO THERANOS ISN'T SUGAR COATING THIS. THEY'RE NOT GIVING 04:27PM 13 PFM SOME KIND OF ROSY PICTURE, AND YOU'RE GOING TO WANT A FRAUD 04:27PM 14 AND LET'S GIVE THEM ALL OF THE GOOD DATA AND HIDE ALL OF THE 04:28PM 15 BAD DATA. 04:28PM THEY'RE GIVING THEM THE GOOD AND THE BAD. THEY ARE GIVING 16 04:28PM 17 DATA SO THAT MR. -- OR DR. RABODZEY CAN DIG INTO THIS AND 04:28PM FIGURE OUT WHAT IS GOING ON AND HE CAN SEE WITH HIS OWN EYES 04:28PM 18 19 THAT THERE ARE SOME ISSUES THAT HE'S RAISING. 04:28PM AND I'LL LEAVE YOU WITH THIS BEFORE WE BREAK FOR THE DAY, 04:28PM 20 21 IS THAT WHEN WE GET TO RDV, THE DEVOS FAMILY, THEY WERE GIVEN 04:28PM TWO BINDERS OF MATERIALS, AND HE'S TESTIFIED ONLY ABOUT ONE. 22 04:28PM THAT SECOND BINDER IS THE DATA. IT'S CHOCK-FULL OF ALL OF THE 23 04:28PM 24 SCIENTIFIC DATA. AND RDV DECIDED NOT TO LOOK AT THAT, BUT 04:28PM 25 DR. RABODZEY DID, AND HE KNOWS FULL WELL. AND IF MR. BALWANI 04:28PM

OR THERANOS HAD SOME KIND OF INTENT TO DEFRAUD MR. GROSSMAN, 1 04:28PM WHY WOULD THEY GIVE HIM THIS DATA TO ALLOW DR. RABODZEY TO MAKE 2 04:28PM THOSE CONCLUSIONS? 3 04:28PM 04:28PM 4 AND THAT RIGHT THERE IS REASONABLE DOUBT ON INTENT TO 04:28PM 5 DEFRAUD. THANK YOU FOR YOUR CAREFUL ATTENTION AND PATIENCE TODAY, 04:28PM AND I'LL SEE YOU TOMORROW WHERE I WILL RESUME MY REMARKS. 04:28PM 8 AGAIN, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE AND HAVE A GOOD 04:29PM 9 EVENING. 04:29PM THE COURT: THANK YOU. 10 04:29PM LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, WE'LL TAKE OUR BREAK. PLEASE 04:29PM 11 12 REMEMBER TOMORROW WE'LL BEGIN AT 12:00. I'LL HOPE YOU'LL 04:29PM 13 COLLECT YOURSELVES SO WE CAN BEGIN AT NOON. 04:29PM 14 AGAIN, I'LL REMIND YOU OF THE ADMONITION PLEASE. WHEN YOU 04:29PM LEAVE HERE IN BETWEEN THE TIME YOU RETURN, DO NOT DO ANYTHING 15 04:29PM 16 IN ANY WAY TO LEARN ANYTHING ABOUT THE CASE, DISCUSS, LISTEN, 04:29PM 17 OR IN ANY WAY LEARN ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CASE. 04:29PM 18 HAVE A GOOD EVENING. 04:29PM 04:29PM 19 THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE. I'LL ASK YOU TOMORROW 20 WHETHER WE CAN STAY UNTIL PERHAPS TO THE SAME AMOUNT OF TIME 04:29PM 21 TOMORROW OR EVEN CLOSER TO 5:00. I'M NOT TOO SORRY THAT I'M 04:29PM 22 TRYING TO SOUEEZE OUT AS MUCH TIME AS WE CAN. WE'RE VERY CLOSE 04:29PM TO GETTING THE CASE TO YOU, AND ALL OF US WOULD LIKE TO HAVE 23 04:29PM 24 THAT ACCOMPLISHED. I HAVE SOME CONFIDENCE WE'LL GET THAT DONE 04:29PM 25 THIS WEEK AFTER TALKING WITH THE LAWYERS. SO HAVE A GOOD 04:29PM

04:30PM	1	EVENING. WE'LL SEE YOU TOMORROW. THANK YOU.
04:30PM	2	(JURY OUT AT 4:30 P.M.)
04:30PM	3	THE COURT: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED. THE
04:30PM	4	RECORD SHOULD REFLECT THAT OUR JURY HAS LEFT FOR THE DAY.
04:30PM	5	ANYTHING FURTHER BEFORE I STEP DOWN?
04:30PM	6	MR. SCHENK: NO, YOUR HONOR.
04:30PM	7	MR. COOPERSMITH: NO, YOUR HONOR.
04:30PM	8	THE COURT: I'M GOING TO ASK MR. COOPERSMITH AND
04:30PM	9	MR. SCHENK, IF YOU COULD JUST CHECK WITH OUR COURTROOM DEPUTY
04:30PM	10	WHEN SHE RETURNS, SHE JUST HAS A LITTLE NOTE ABOUT SCHEDULING
04:30PM	11	FOR YOU.
04:30PM	12	MR. SCHENK: YES, YOUR HONOR.
04:30PM	13	THE COURT: GREAT. THANK YOU. WE'LL SEE YOU
04:30PM	14	TOMORROW.
04:30PM	15	(COURT ADJOURNED AT 4:30 P.M.)
	16	
	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

1	
2	
3	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTERS
4	
5	
6	
7	WE, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT REPORTERS OF THE
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
9	CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO
10	HEREBY CERTIFY:
11	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT, CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, IS
12	A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS IN THE
13	ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER.
14	Orene Rodriguez
15	Char woulded
16	IRENE RODRIGUEZ, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 8076
17	
18	Spe-Am Shorting
19	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595
20	
21	DATED: JUNE 22, 2022
22	
23	
24	
25	